Analysis of FHSU Enrollment Type for the 2009 National Survey of Student Engagement

A Study of the Differences Between Seniors Taking On-Campus or Virtual College Classes

©2009 Fort Hays State University Assistant Provost for Quality Management For Internal Use Only – All Rights Reserved

1. Analytic Parameters

These analyses are predicated on the following parameters, unless otherwise indicated:

Dependent measures: NSSE indexes and questions

Independent variable: Enrollment type (On-Campus or Virtual College)

Sample\Population: Students with class rank of senior

2. Results

The sampling (n = 710) is drawn from the larger senior population (N = 1670) submitted for analysis. The sample included 422 female students and 288 male students. Of the seniors, 654 were US residents and 23 were international students. Additionally, 233 seniors began their studies at FHSU, while 445 began their college education elsewhere. Regarding enrollment, 252 are characterized as less than full-time and 458 are considered full-time. The racial composition of this sample is noted below.

Native American	Asian	African American	White	Hispanic	Other/Not Identified
0	21	26	604	34	25

The senior sample is comprised of the following student age strata:

19 or younger	20 to 23	24 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 55	Over 55
0	325	130	114	102	7

<u>Analysis 2.1: Level of Academic Challenge.</u> This analysis explored the difference between senior students taking only distance education classes and those taking on-campus classes or a mix on their perception of academic challenge. The findings confirm that students taking classes at a distance feel about the same level of academic challenge as on-campus students (F = 1.06, P = 1.06).

	NSSE Seniors		FHSU Seniors			
ltem	NSSE Mean	Peer Mean	Mean	Campus Student	Virtual Only Student Mean	Difference (OC ≠ VC)
Worked harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations. (1r)	2.74	2.79	2.79	2.82	2.74	

Coursework emphasized: Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth and considering its components. (2b)	3.28	3.27	3.18	3.14	3.24	
Coursework emphasized: Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex, interpretations and relationships. (2c)	3.08	3.08	3.02	2.99	3.06	
Coursework emphasized: Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods, such as examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions. (2d)	3.03	3.01	3.02	3.00	3.04	
Coursework emphasized: Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations. (2e)	3.24	3.25	3.28	3.31	3.24	
Number of assigned textbooks, books, or booklength packs of course readings. (3a)	3.16	3.19	3.06	3.03	3.09	
Number of written papers or reports of 20 pages or more. (3c)	1.65	1.65	1.49	1.51	1.47	
Number of written papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages. (3d)	2.55	2.55	2.37	2.38	2.36	
Number of written papers or reports fewer than 5 pages. (3e)	3.00	2.96	3.03	3.14	2.87	F = 9.43; p = .002
Hours per 7-day week spent preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, doing homework or lab work, analyzing data, rehearsing, and other academic activities. (9a)	4.23	4.11	4.20	4.20	4.20	
Institutional emphasis: Spending significant amounts of time studying and on academic work. (10a)	3.13	3.12	3.13	3.12	3.15	

Analysis 2.2: Active and Collaborative Learning. This analysis explored the difference between senior students taking only distance education classes and those taking on-campus classes or a mix on their level of active and collaborative learning. The findings confirm that students taking on-campus classes feel that their educational experiences produced significantly more active and collaborative learning (F = 217.05, p = .000).

	NSSE Seniors	FHSU Seniors
--	--------------	--------------

Item	Overall Mean	Peer Mean	Senior Mean	On- Campus Student Mean	Virtual Only Student Mean	Difference (OC ≠ VC)
Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions. (1a)	3.11	3.17	3.17	3.19	3.14	
Made a class presentation. (1b)	2.78	2.85	2.45	2.84	1.88	F = 204.77; P = .000
Worked with other students on projects during class. (1g)	2.55	2.62	2.39	2.82	1.76	F = 239.77; P = .000
Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments. (1h)	2.76	2.71	2.43	2.85	1.82	F = 221.76; P = .000
Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary). (1j)	1.86	1.81	1.63	1.87	1.28	F = 85.66; P = .000
Participated in a community-based project (e.g., service learning) as part of a regular course. (1k)	1.70	1.73	1.81	1.97	1.57	F = 29.72; P = .000
Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of class. (1t)	2.08	2.09	2.03	2.26	1.70	F = 65.08; P = .000

<u>Analysis 2.3: Student-Faculty Interaction.</u> This analysis explored the difference between senior students taking only distance education classes and those taking a mix of on- and off-campus classes on their level of student-faculty interaction. Not surprisingly, findings confirm that **students taking on-campus classes feel that their courses have more student-faculty interaction** (F = 79.36, p = .000).

	NSSE S	Seniors	FHSU Seniors				
Item	Overall Mean	Peer Mean	Senior Mean	On- Campus Student Mean	Virtual Only Student Mean	Difference (OC ≠ VC)	
Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor. (1n)	2.83	2.85	2.97	2.99	2.94		
Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor. (10)	2.41	2.43	2.44	2.72	2.01	F = 90.93; P = .000	
Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of class. (1p)	2.08	2.09	2.03	2.26	1.70	F = 65.08; P = .000	
Received prompt written or oral feedback from faculty on your academic performance. (1q)	2.81	2.85	2.84	2.81	2.88		
Worked with faculty members on activities other	1.82	1.79	1.74	2.08	1.24	F = 163.86;	

than coursework (committees, orientation, student life activities, etc.). (1s)					P = .000
Work on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or program requirements. (7d)		2.17	2.30	1.97	F = 22.40; P = .000

<u>Analysis 2.4: Enriching Educational Experiences.</u> This analysis explored the difference between senior students taking only distance education classes and those taking on-campus classes or a mix on their level of enriching educational experiences. The findings show that **students taking on-campus classes reported significantly more enriching educational experiences** (F = 34.93; p = .000).

	NSSE	Seniors		FHSU	Seniors	
Item	Overall Mean	Peer Mean	Senior Mean	On- Campus Student Mean	Virtual Only Student Mean	Difference (OC ≠ VC)
Used an electronic medium (listserv, chat group, Internet, instant messaging, etc.) to discuss or complete an assignment. (11)	2.86	2.86	3.10	2.89	3.40	F = 46.54; P = .000
Had serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity than your own. (1u)	2.70	2.69	2.33	2.35	2.31	
Had serious conversations with students who are very different from you in terms of their religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values. (1v)	2.76	2.73	2.55	2.65	2.39	F = 12.90; P = .000
Practicum, Internship, field experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment. (7a)			2.96	3.22	2.56	F = 79.64; P = .000
Community service or volunteer work. (7b)			3.19	3.30	3.03	F = 10.93; P = .001
Foreign (additional) language coursework. (7e)			2.55	2.65	2.40	F = 10.14; P = .002
Study abroad. (7f)			2.04	2.07	1.98	
Independent study or self-designed major. (7g)			2.27	2.23	2.33	
Culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.). (7h)			2.42	2.57	2.20	F = 25.90; P = .000
Hours per 7-day week spent participating in co- curricular activities (organizations, campus publications, student government, fraternity or sorority, intercollegiate or intramural sports, etc.). (9d)	2.11	1.94	1.87	2.27	1.27	F = 93.05; P = .000

Institutional emphasis: Encouraging contact among students from different economic, social, and racial or ethnic backgrounds. (10c)	2.55	2.57	2.61	2.55	2.70	F = 4.05; P = .045
---	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

<u>Analysis 2.5: Supportive Campus Environment.</u> This analysis explored the difference between senior students taking all distance education classes and those taking on-campus classes or a mix on their level of supportive campus environment. The findings show that **students taking on-campus classes felt more of a supportive campus environment** (F = 12.50; p = .000).

	NSSE	Seniors		FHSU	Seniors	
Item	Overall Mean	Peer Mean	Senior Mean	On- Campus Student Mean	Virtual Only Student Mean	Difference (OC ≠ VC)
Quality: Your relationships with other students. (8a)	5.59	5.59	5.62	5.92	5.18	F = 65.58; P = .000
Quality: Your relationships with faculty members. (8b)	5.42	5.48	5.55	5.69	5.35	F = 12.53; P = .000
Quality: Your relationships with administrative personnel and offices. (8c)	4.60	4.64	5.05	4.90	5.27	F = 10.66; P = .001
Institutional Emphasis: Providing the support you need to help you succeed academically. (10b)	2.94	2.96	3.03	3.04	3.00	
Institutional Emphasis: Helping you cope with your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.). (10d)	2.00	2.03	2.01	2.10	1.89	F = 9.15; P = .003
Institutional Emphasis: Providing the support you need to thrive socially. (10e)	2.23	2.23	2.20	2.33	2.02	F = 18.41; P = .000

<u>Analysis 2.6: Satisfaction and Institutional Contribution.</u> This analysis explored the difference in perception between distance learners and on-campus students on additional satisfaction and institutional contribution questions included in NSSE.

	NSSE S	Seniors	FHSU Seniors			
Item	Overall Mean	Peer Mean	Senior Mean	On- Campus Student Mean	Virtual Only Student Mean	Difference (OC ≠ VC)
Overall, how would you evaluate the quality of academic advising you have received at your	2.89	2.90	3.13	3.04	3.25	F = 9.42; P = .002

institution? (12)						
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution? (13)	3.21	3.19	3.28	3.20	3.41	F = 14.91; P = .000
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending? (14)	3.20	3.17	3.37	3.27	3.53	F = 19.86; P = .000
Institutional contribution: Acquiring a broad general education (11a)	3.25	3.26	3.28	3.22	3.36	F = 5.05; P = .025
Institutional contribution: Acquiring job or work-related knowledge or skills (11b)	3.06	3.10	3.15	3.13	3.17	
Institutional contribution: Writing clearly and effectively (11c)	3.11	3.13	3.06	2.98	3.18	F = 9.44; P = .002
Institutional contribution: Speaking clearly and effectively (11d)	2.99	3.04	2.88	2.94	2.80	
Institutional contribution: Thinking clearly and effectively (11e)	3.36	3.36	3.31	3.27	3.38	F = 4.47; P = .035
Institutional contribution: Analyzing quantitative problems (11f)	3.08	3.08	3.01	2.98	3.07	
Institutional contribution: Using computing and information technology (11g)	3.21	3.23	3.31	3.23	3.43	F = 9.88; P = .002
Institutional contribution: Working effectively with others (11h)	3.16	3.18	3.14	3.24	3.00	F = 14.03; P = .000
Institutional contribution: Voting in local, state, or national elections (11i)	2.33	2.32	2.16	2.28	1.99	F = 12.92; P = .000
Institutional contribution: Learning effectively on your own (11j)	3.05	3.05	3.20	3.03	3.45	F = 43.59; P = .000
Institutional contribution: Understanding yourself (11k)	2.82	2.83	2.86	2.86	2.86	
Institutional contribution: Understanding people of other racial and ethnic backgrounds (111)	2.67	2.70	2.60	2.56	2.67	
Institutional contribution: Solving complex real- world problems (11m)	2.80	2.80	2.77	2.74	2.81	
Institutional contribution: Developing a personal code of values and ethics (11n)	2.72	2.75	2.70	2.69	2.72	
Institutional contribution: Contributing to the welfare of your community (110)	2.49	2.49	2.49	2.49	2.49	
Institutional contribution: Developing a deeper sense of spirituality (11p)	1.96	2.02	1.96	1.97	1.94	

Analysis 2.7: Enrollment Type (FT/PT). This analysis explored the difference in full-time and part-time seniors. This analysis did not separate on-campus from virtual students. The findings revealed that full-time students had a significantly higher level of academic challenge (F = 6.58, P = .011), active and collaborative learning (P = 139.75, P = .000), student-faculty interaction (P = 56.17, P = .000), and enriching educational experiences (P = 37.03, P = .000) than did part-time students.