

I. Meeting Information

04/26/2011, 1:30 – 2:30

Sheridan Hall, President's Conference Room

II. Committee Roster

Chapman Rackaway	crackawa@fhsu.edu	Attended
Chris Crawford	ccrawfor@fhsu.edu	Attended
Curtis Hammeke	chammeke@fhsu.edu	Attended
Dennis King	dking@fhsu.edu	Attended
Jeff Burnett	jburnett@fhsu.edu	Attended
Joseph Linn	jlinn@fhsu.edu	Attended
Paul Faber	pfaber@fhsu.edu	Attended
Rita Hauck	rhauck@fhsu.edu	Attended
Todd Powell	tpowell@fhsu.edu	Attended
Tyler Thompson	tathompson@fhsu.edu	In class

III. Agenda

A. Call to Order

1. Meeting called to order at 1:32

B. Information and Reports

- | | |
|---------------------|----------|
| 1. Shared Materials | Crawford |
|---------------------|----------|

C. Business

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Review Goal Statement and Plan | Crawford |
|-----------------------------------|----------|

Discussed goal statement. Concern was expressed over overemphasis on the modalities. No revision to the goal statement was the consensus of the task force. Discussed the goals of the plan. Discussed the potential need to adapt the plan to meet unknown circumstances. Membership agreed in concept that much of the input phase will be delayed until fall semester. Summer would be used to conduct research, plan input sessions, and prepare for phased approach for input, mission building, comment, and completion.

2. Mission Survey

Crawford

The Mission Evaluation Survey was given to all attendees. Survey completed, results tabulated. Results indicated good degree of uniformity among areas for improvement. Consensus was to have the survey as part of the campus input process. Subcommittee worked on revision to the survey to adapt language to higher education environment.

3. Key Principles

Crawford

Participants were asked to respond to the question "What must we do/assure as we work through this process?" Responses were shared, including:

- Candor and confidentiality
- Open-mindedness
- Where do we fit this in with KBOR process and expectations
- Impact of new leadership
- Look to the future
- Keep the future of the university and the stability, quality and integrity of its substantially important role in society at the forefront
- Have an "end game"
- Expect biased and unbiased opinions
- Rational and civil discourse
- How do we differentiate ourselves
- Keep the mission brief
- Transparency of process and outcomes
- Confidentiality as requested
- Ample consideration of stakeholder perspective

4. Assignments

Crawford

Attendees were asked to review materials sent in campus mail, to find mission statements of other universities, and review any relevant research on the topic. All materials are to be shared among the team digitally. Chris will begin development of the website with Tyler.

D. Announcements and Deadlines

1. NA

F. Adjourn

1. Meeting adjourned at 2:30
2. Next meeting: May 20, 2011 – 1:30 to 2:30 pm – Location TBD