



Kansas Speaks
Fall 2025
Statewide Public Opinion Survey

Prepared For
The Citizens of Kansas
By
The Docking Institute of Public Affairs
Fort Hays State University

Copyright © October 2025
All Rights Reserved



Fort Hays State University

600 Park Street

Hays, Kansas 67601-4099

Telephone: (785) 628-4197

www.fhsu.edu/docking



Brett Zollinger, Ph.D.
Director

Jian Sun, Ph.D.
Assistant Director

Michael S. Walker, M.S.
Research Scientist

Marisa M. Johnson, M.B.A
Administrative Specialist

Mission:

**To facilitate effective public policy decision-making
among governmental and nonprofit entities**

Kansas Speaks Fall 2025



Prepared By:

Jian Sun, Ph.D.
Assistant Director

Brett Zollinger, Ph.D.
Director

In service to Kansans, the Docking Institute of Public Affairs conducts the Kansas Speaks survey and offers this report of the survey's findings. The Institute is the primary sponsor of this survey, funding it with operating reserves made possible by the Institute's applied research and organizational planning consulting work.

Prepared For:

The Citizens of Kansas
In pursuit of
Fort Hays State University's public affairs mission

Copyright © October 2025
All Rights Reserved

Acknowledgement

The Docking Institute would like to extend our thanks to the following policy fellows for their valuable contribution to the survey questionnaire development:

Michael Smith, Ph.D.

Professor

Department of Political Science

Emporia State University

Alexandra Middlewood, Ph.D.

Chair and Associate Professor

Department of Political Science

Wichita State University

Table of Contents

List of Figures	2
Executive Summary.....	4
Introduction and Methods.....	9
Section 1: Overall Quality of Life in Kansas.....	11
Section 2: Economy.....	12
Section 3: Government, Politicians, and Elections	17
Section 4: Multiple Public Policy Issues	21
Section 5: Childcare and Long-term Care	33
Section 6: Water Conservation	38
Section 7. Gun Ownership	41
Section 8: Artificial Intelligence	42
Section 9. Perceived Cause of Homelessness	43
Appendix A: Demographic Characteristics	44
Appendix A: Demographic Characteristics (cont.).....	45
Appendix B: Survey Instrument	46
Appendix C: Crosstabulations	86

List of Figures

Figure 1: Rating of Kansas as a Place to Live.....	11
Figure 2: Residence in 5 Years	11
Figure 3: Rating of Kansas Economy	12
Figure 4: Opinion on Change of Kansas Economy	13
Figure 5: Level of Concern that the Kansas Economy Will Seriously Threaten Individual's or Family's Welfare Over the Next Year	13
Figure 6: Opinion on Whether Kansas is on the Right or Wrong Track	14
Figure 7: Rating of National Economy	14
Figure 8: Opinion on Change of National Economy.....	15
Figure 9: Level of Concern that the National Economy Will Seriously Threaten Individual's or Family's Welfare Over the Next Year	15
Figure 10: Opinion on Whether Nation is on the Right or Wrong Track	16
Figure 11: Satisfaction with the Overall Performance of Elected/Appointed Officials	17
Figure 12: Voted for Laura Kelly in the 2022 Governor Election	18
Figure 13: Vote in the 2024 Presidential Election.....	18
Figure 14: Kansas Gubernatorial Candidate Recognition	19
Figure 15: Kansas Gubernatorial Candidate General Evaluation.....	20
Figure 16: Stronger Government with Diverse Representation Among Elected Officials	20
Figure 17: Thinking about Medicaid Expansion in Kansas	21
Figure 18: Opinions on Medicaid Expansion in Kansas.....	21
Figure 19: Importance of Medicaid Expansion When Voting for the Kansas Legislature.....	22
Figure 20: Taxes on Cigarettes and Cigars, Alcohol, and Marijuana.....	22
Figure 21: Likelihood to Vote for A Candidate who Supports Medical Marijuana Legalization	23
Figure 22: Opinion on Legalizing Medical Marijuana in General	23
Figure 23: Opinion on Legalizing Recreational Marijuana in General	23
Figure 24: Opinions regarding Abortion	24
Figure 25: Willingness to Report Illegal Abortion	24
Figure 26: Who Should Pay Cost of Prosecuting Illegal Abortions.....	25
Figure 27: Opinion on New Restrictions on Abortion.....	25
Figure 28: Thinking about New Restrictions on Abortion from the Kansas Legislature	26
Figure 29: Opinion about Legal Immigrants.....	28
Figure 30: Opinion about Illegal Immigrants	29
Figure 31: Concern about Foreign Ownership of Farmland in Kansas.....	30
Figure 32: Support for Increasing the Minimum Wage in Kansas	31
Figure 33: Education Beyond High School as a Return on Investment.....	32
Figure 34: Goal of Higher Education	32
Figure 35: Importance of Childcare in Kansas	33
Figure 36: Opinions on Affordable Childcare.....	34
Figure 37: Opinions on Elderly Care in Kansas.....	35
Figure 38: Knowing Someone Requiring Long-term Care and Support.....	36
Figure 39: Having Family Members Requiring Long-term Care and Support	36
Figure 40: Providing Long-term Care for Family Members.....	36
Figure 41: State Regulation of Nursing Home Care in Kansas	37
Figure 42: Water Conservation by Local Government.....	38
Figure 43: Water Conservation by State Government.....	38
Figure 44: Investment in Water Conservation.....	39

Figure 45: Water Conservation Regulated by Local Government vs. Voluntary Action	39
Figure 46: Water Conservation Regulated by State Government vs. Voluntary Action.....	39
Figure 47: Concern about Water Supply in Kansas.....	40
Figure 48: Change of Farming Practices Due to Declining Water Levels	40
Figure 49: Concern About Water Quality in Kansas.....	40
Figure 50: Gun Ownership	41
Figure 51: Use of Artificial Intelligence Technology.....	42
Figure 52: Most Root Cause of Homelessness.....	43

Executive Summary

The 2025 Kansas Speaks fall survey was conducted from September 26 to October 14, 2025. A panel of 526 adult residents of Kansas age 18 and older were surveyed online to assess their attitudes and opinions regarding various issues of interest to Kansas citizens. The statewide online panel survey finds:

Section 1: Overall Quality of Life in Kansas

- 54.5% of respondents indicated Kansas is a very good or excellent place to live, and 4.7% said Kansas is a poor or very poor place to live. The rating in 2025 was more positive than 2024, which was better than in 2023.
- Asked where they will be in 5 years, 57.3% of respondents said they would be living in the same community that they live in now, 17.4% said they would be moving to another location in Kansas, and 13.9% said they would relocate to a state other than Kansas. The percentage of respondents who intended to move out of Kansas in 2025 is lower than the past two years.

Section 2: Economy

- 27.7% of respondents felt the state economy is very good or excellent this year, higher than the past two years. 12.8% felt the economy is poor or very poor, lower than the past two years.
- 16.9% of respondents felt that the Kansas economy was getting better in the last 12 months, higher than 2024 but lower than 2023. 43.5% felt that it stayed the same. 36.1% felt it was getting worse, down from 40.5% in 2023.
- 46.6% of respondents are very concerned or moderately concerned about the Kansas economy threatening their own or their family's welfare, higher than in 2024 and close to 2023. 19.4% are not at all concerned, the same as in 2024 and slightly lower than in 2023.
- 44.9% of respondents felt Kansas is on the right track, higher than in 2024 but lower than in 2023. 35.9% felt Kansas is on the wrong track this year, highest in three years.
- 17.5% of respondents rate the national economy as excellent or very good. 40.2% rate the national economy as poor or very poor.
- 21% of respondents felt that the national economy was getting better and 54.4% said it was getting worse.
- 59.3% of respondents were very concerned or moderately concerned that the national economy would seriously threaten their own or their family's welfare.
- 34% of respondents felt the nation was on the right track, and 56.7% felt the nation was on the wrong track.

Section 3: Government, Politicians & Election

- 47% of respondents were satisfied with the performance of Governor Laura Kelly, and 27.3% were dissatisfied.
- 43.5% of respondents were satisfied with President Trump, and 46.2% were dissatisfied.
- 35.1% of respondents were satisfied with the Kansas Supreme Court, and 21.1% were dissatisfied.

- 34% of respondents were satisfied with the Kansas legislature, and 31.2% were dissatisfied.
- 21.4% of respondents were satisfied with the U.S. Congress, and 55% were dissatisfied.
- 40.8% of respondents voted for Laura Kelly for governor in the 2022 election, and 31.7% did not vote for her.
- 43.4% of respondents voted for Donald Trump in the 2024 presidential election, and 32.1% voted for Kamala Harris.
- Among the 11 Kansas gubernatorial candidates, Jeff Colyer received the highest recognition, with 35.1% of respondents hearing about him, followed by Scott Schwab (29.1%). Jeff Colyer also had higher positive impression from those who had heard about him (44.8%) than Scott Schwab (42.3%). Among other candidates with greater than twenty percent name recognition, Vicki Schmidt had the highest positive impressions (46.2%), followed by Charlotte O'Hara (37.5%) and Ty Masterson (36.4%).
- 59.8% of respondents agreed that Kansas government becomes stronger with diverse representation among elected officials. 8.2% disagreed.

Section 4: Multiple Public Policy Issues

- In terms of amount of thought given to the issue, 42.2% of respondents thought a great deal or a lot of Medicaid expansion as a current political issue with the Kansas legislature.
- 74.3% of respondents agreed that expanding Medicaid would help rural Kansas hospitals remain in business. 9.6% disagreed.
- 72.3% of respondents agreed that the Kansans who might obtain health insurance from expanding Medicaid deserve this benefit from the state. 8% disagreed.
- 70.2% of respondents supported expanding Medicaid in Kansas. 10% disagreed.
- As they decide who got their vote to represent them in the Kansas Legislature, 60.7% of respondents felt the issue of Medicaid expansion in Kansas is highly or extremely important, 28.6% slightly important, and 6.9% not important at all.
- 63.6% of respondents supported increasing taxes on cigarettes and cigars to allow state taxation (18.7% opposed). 63.2% supported increasing taxes on alcohol (20% opposed).
- 64.8% of respondents supported legalizing recreational marijuana for individuals 21 and older to allow state taxation; 19.2% opposed.
- 65.4% of respondents were highly or somewhat likely to vote for a candidate who supported medical marijuana legalization as they decided who to vote to represent them in the Kansas Legislature; 16% were unlikely.
- 70.4% of respondents strongly or somewhat support legalization of medical marijuana in general; 14.2% opposed.
- 58.8% of respondents strongly or somewhat support legalization of recreational marijuana in general; 24.5% opposed.
- 72.2% of respondents agreed that women are in a better position than politicians to make their own choices about whether to get an abortion; 13% disagreed.
- 59.5% of respondents agreed that the Kansas government should not place any regulations on the circumstances under which women can get abortions, while 24.8% disagreed.

- 50.6% of respondents disagreed that if the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, it should also make it illegal for Kansas women to travel outside of the state to get abortions in other states where abortion is still legal. 30% agreed.
- 64.8% of respondents were not willing to contact authorities to report a woman who had an illegal abortion or to report her medical providers, if the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions.
- 36.3% of respondents thought the state government should pay most of the cost of prosecuting women who get illegal abortions or their medical providers, if the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions; 15.2% thought the local government should pay.
- 46% of respondents somewhat or strongly opposed new laws that restrict access to abortion passed by the Kansas Legislature. 32.5% supported new laws.
- 34% of respondents thought a great deal or a lot about the Kansas Legislature continuing to pass laws restricting abortion access, 30.1% thought a moderate amount, and 35.9% thought a little or not at all.
- About two-thirds of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that legal immigrants contributed to: the economic growth of the U.S. (66.2%), the economic vitality of Kansas (64.1%), and the economic vitality of their community (64.3%). About 15% disagreed with these statements.
- More than half of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that legal immigrants contributed to government revenue by paying taxes (55.1%); they enrich American culture and values (55.1%); and they take jobs that Americans don't want (56.1%). About 18% disagreed with these statements.
- 31.0% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that legal immigrants took jobs away from American citizens. 48.4% disagreed.
- 43.7% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that there were too many immigrants coming into the U.S. 31.2% disagreed.
- 48% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that the U.S. should accept more high-skilled immigrants. 17.8% disagreed.
- Respondents' opinions were polarized when they were asked whether legal immigrants took social services away from American citizens (38.1% agreed vs. 42.2% disagreed) and whether the U.S. should accept more low-skilled immigrants (27.4% agreed vs. 30.9% disagreed).
- 44.4% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that illegal immigrants should be left alone by authorities if they don't break other laws. 36.3% disagreed.
- 41.8% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that illegal immigrants contributed more to society than they take in terms of resources. 39.2% disagreed.
- 38.1% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that illegal immigrants should be arrested and put in detention camps while awaiting deportation hearings. 42.2% disagreed.
- 43% of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that illegal immigrants were a danger to public safety. 33.3% disagreed.
- Regarding foreign ownership of Kansas farmland, 33.2% of respondents were very concerned about foreign ownership, 25.7% moderately concerned, and 20.6% slightly concerned.

- Two versions of the question about people's opinion on raising Kansas minimum wage were fielded in the survey: one provided with a context about neighboring states' minimum wage levels; the other did not provide the context. Respondents randomly received one or the other version. When provided with the context, 81.4% of respondents supported raising the Kansas minimum wage, only slightly higher than when the context about neighboring states was not provided (79.5%).
- Almost two-thirds of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that education beyond high school is a good return on investment both for the student (64%) and for the general population of Kansas (63.2%), while less than 16% disagreed on both.
- 55.8% of respondents felt creating an educated citizenry is much or slightly more important as the main goal of higher education; 34.1% felt developing a workforce is much or slightly more important as the main goal.

Section 5: Childcare and Long-term Care

- 91.4% of respondents believed that high-quality, affordable infant and toddler childcare was extremely or highly important for families in Kansas, regardless of whether they had young children. 7.2% felt it was slightly important or not important at all.
- 86% of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that access to affordable childcare strengthened the economy. 3.3% disagreed.
- Regarding which levels of government should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare, 75.9% strongly or somewhat agreed that the federal government should; the percentages of strongly or somewhat agree was 77.7% for the state government and 75.3% for local governments.
- More than two thirds of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that affordable and accessible childcare was an obstacle to women's economic participation (70.7%) and an obstacle to women's progress (67.2%).
- 35.3% of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that mothers should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare. 39.0% strongly or somewhat disagreed.
- 21.4% of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that grandparents should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare. 51.9% strongly or somewhat disagreed.
- More than eighty percent of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that supporting mental health needs of aging Kansans is important (86.8%), that Kansans who require long-term care services should be able to get the care needed in their own community (84%), and that state policymakers had an important role in ensuring that elderly Kansans were taken care of (83.7%).
- More than seventy percent of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that larger investments are needed in services and care for elderly Kansans (77.3%), and that Kansas should invest more in developing workers for long-term care services (74.4%).
- 43.8% of respondents knew someone currently requiring long-term care and support.
- 32.5% of respondents had close family members currently requiring long-term care and support; among them, 66.9% helped provide care for those family members.
- 32.3% of respondents felt state regulation of nursing home care in Kansas was "a little bit too little" or "much too little." 26.3% felt it was "about right." 18.6% felt it was "a little bit too much" or "far too much." A large percentage, 22.7%, said "don't know."

Section 6: Water Conservation

- 33.5% of respondents felt that their local government was not doing enough for water conservation in their part of Kansas. 40.5% felt it was doing the right amount, and 6.8% felt it was doing too much.
- 36.9% of respondents felt the state government was not doing enough for water conservation in their part of Kansas. 36.7% felt the efforts from the state were the right amount, and 6.1% felt they were too much.
- 73% of respondents considered that state government investment in water conservation to be highly or extremely important. 2.9% indicated that it was not important at all.
- 41.8% of respondents felt that voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient for improving conservation of water, while 33.9% felt that local government should pass new laws. When asked if the state government should pass new laws, 40.4% said that voluntary action was sufficient and 35.7% said that the state government should pass new laws. On both questions, about 24% of respondents had no opinion.
- 51.6% of respondents were very or moderately concerned that Kansas might be running out of water, 27.6% were slightly concerned, and 12.5% were not concerned at all.
- 56.4% of respondents strongly agreed or agreed that Kansas farmers would need to make changes to their farming practices due to declining water levels; 7.1% disagreed or strongly disagreed.
- 48% of respondents were very or moderately concerned with water quality in Kansas; 28.7% were slightly concerned, and 17.5% were not concerned at all.

Section 7: Gun Ownership

- 44.2% of respondents indicated they or someone else in their household owned a gun.

Section 8: Artificial Intelligence

- 37.0% of respondents indicated that they did not use any artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in any part of their lives; 17.9% were unsure whether they use AI of any type.
- 24.4% of respondents used generative AI, and 23.2% used non-generative AI in their daily personal life.
- 18% of respondents used generative AI, and 13.9% used non-generative AI for tasks at work.

Section 9: Perceived Cause of Homelessness

- 32.3% of respondents said lack of affordable housing is the most root cause of homelessness, 27.2% said mental health issues, 21.1% said addictions, and 13.2% said personal responsibility.

Introduction and Methods

To assess attitudes and opinions of Kansans about public affairs matters, the Docking Institute of Public Affairs (Institute) has surveyed representative samples of Kansas residents age 18 and older every year since 2009. In 2020, the Institute switched from using random digit dial telephone surveying to an online survey panel. For the 2025 survey, the Institute developed the survey questionnaire (Appendix B) and contracted with QualtricsXM for data collection. QualtricsXM and its panel provider partners build and maintain panels on an ongoing basis that mirror the demographic characteristics of populations. Moreover, when conducting a statewide survey of Kansans, the Institute has QualtricsXM pursue quotas by gender, age, and non-metro/metro counties in order to further promote a representative set of Kansas adults. QualtricsXM distributed the online questionnaire to the panel from September 26 to October 14, and 526 respondents answered all or nearly all of the survey questions.

Appendix A provides comparison of the Kansas population and the final panel respondents on a list of demographic characteristics, including the three quotas used during data collection (gender, age, and county population). The final set of panel respondents is mostly within 5% of the Kansas population on the distribution of age and county population. Respondents who are female and Johnson County residents are overrepresented in the final panel. Overrepresentation and underrepresentations are also detected within categories of some other demographic variables (see the “Survey Panel Unweighted” column and the “Kansas Population” column in Appendix A). For example, in the survey, 2.5% of respondents reported that their highest education level was “less than high school,” whereas the 2023 American Community Survey¹ conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau shows that among 8.7% of Kansans the highest level of education “less than high school.” This 2025 survey for the first time asked respondents to report their party registration if they indicate being a registered voter. To increase the statistical presence of those who are underrepresented and decrease the presence of those who are overrepresented, the Institute weighted cases by age, gender, education, and party registration status (those who are not registered voters were retained in the final weighted data set). The weighted demographic statistics are presented under the “Survey Panel Weighted” column in Appendix A. The analyses throughout this report use the weighted data. To account for correlations among those variables used in weighting, weights to certain categories were iteratively adjusted so that the panel achieves a close approximation of the population in terms of age, gender, education and party registration status. Overall, more cases were weighted down than up, bringing the total count of cases in the panel to 488 after weighting.²

¹ At the time of data analysis, the 2024 American Community Survey (ACS) data was not accessible on the U.S. Census website due to the federal government shutdown. During the report writing, the 2024 ACS data were downloaded and compared with the 2023 ACS data. The differences between the 2023 and 2024 education data were negligible.

² Cases that are underrepresented on a variable receive a weight of more than one and therefore are counted as more than one case in the weighted data. Those that are overrepresented receive a weight of less than one and

The following analysis contains nine sections:

- 1) **Overall Quality of Life in Kansas.** This section shows how Kansans generally feel about Kansas as a place to live.
- 2) **Economy.** This section shows results on questions addressing various economic concerns to Kansans.
- 3) **Government, Politicians, and Election.** This section presents the results of ratings of the state government, elected officials and the U.S. and Kansas Supreme Courts, and attitudes about elections.
- 4) **Multiple Public Policy Issues.** This section looks at opinions on some contemporary state and/or national policies and issues, including Medicaid expansion, taxation, legalization of medical and recreational marijuana, abortion, immigration, minimum wage, etc.
- 5) **Childcare and Long-term Care.** This section presents opinion regarding the importance and support of childcare and elderly care in Kansas.
- 6) **Water Conservation.** This section examines attitudes and concern about water supply and quality, including opinions about governmental efforts for water conservation.
- 7) **Gun Ownership.** This section presents gun ownership within a household.
- 8) **Artificial Intelligence.** This section examines how artificial intelligence is used in people's daily life and at work.
- 9) **Perceived Cause of Homelessness.** This section presents opinion about the root cause of homelessness.

These sections present descriptive analyses of respondents' answers to each question. Except for the questions asking about respondents' demographic information, survey questions are displayed verbatim under those graphs presenting descriptive analyses. See Appendix B for all survey content as presented to respondents in the questionnaire.


Appendix C contains cross-tabulation analyses of survey questions by demographic variables. The tables are in the same order as the questions in the questionnaire. Each table shows how respondents' answers to each question varied by age group, education level, gender, race, political orientation, and household income. Each row contains the percentages of respondents selecting each answer option of the survey question within a demographic category. For example, the first table in Appendix C presents how respondent answered the question "In general, how would you rate Kansas as a place to live?" The first row in that table shows how respondents of 18-34 years old answered the question: 16.5% of respondents of 18-34 years old selected "excellent," 36.9% of respondents of that age group selected "very good," etc.

therefore are counted as less than one case. The total number of cases used for analyses after weighting can be greater or less than the number of cases in the original unweighted dataset.

Section 1: Overall Quality of Life in Kansas

When asked to rate Kansas as a place to live, 54.5 % indicated Kansas is a very good or excellent place to live, while only 4.7% said Kansas is a poor or very poor place to live. The rating in 2025 was more positive than last year, which was better than in 2023.


Figure 1: Rating of Kansas as a Place to Live



Question: Q6 *In general, how would you rate Kansas as a place to live?*

When asked where they will be in 5 years, 57.3% of respondents said they would be living in the same community that they live in now, 17.4% said they would be moving to another location in Kansas, 13.9% said they would relocate to a state other than Kansas, and 11.4% don't know (Figure 2). The percentage of respondents who intended to move out of Kansas in 2025 is lower than in 2024 (15.2%) and 2023 (19.9%).

Figure 2: Residence in 5 Years



Question: Q7 *By your best guess, where will you be in 5 years? Are you likely to still be living in the same community you are in now, move to another location in Kansas, or relocate to a state other than Kansas?*


Section 2: Economy

When ratings of the economy in 2025 are compared to 2024 and 2023 in the Kansas Speaks survey, there is improvement. When asked to rate the Kansas economy (Figure 3), 27.7% of respondents said they felt the state's economy is very good or excellent in 2025, higher than in 2024 (21.1%) and 2023 (18.8%). Over ten percent (12.8%) of respondents indicated they felt the Kansas economy was poor or very poor this year, which was lower than 2024 (14.5%) and 2023 (20.5%).

Figure 4 shows that in 2025 16.9% of respondents felt that the Kansas economy is getting better over the past year, which is higher than 2024 (13.3%) but lower than 2023 (18.7%). The percentage of respondents feeling the Kansas economy is getting worse is similar in 2025 (36.1%) to what it was in 2024 (37.6%), with both of most recent years being lower than the 40.5% in 2023. More than forty percent (43.5%) of respondents felt the Kansas economy stayed the same in 2025, similar to the level in 2024 (42.9%) but much higher than in 2023 (33.7%).


In 2025, 46.6% of respondents were very concerned or moderately concerned that the Kansas economy would seriously threaten their own or their family's welfare (Figure 5), higher than in 2024 (42.5%) and similar to 2023 (45.5%). Almost twenty percent (19.4%) of respondents said they were not concerned at all in 2025, the same as in 2024 (19.5%) and slightly lower than in 2023 (21.3%).

Figure 3: Rating of Kansas Economy




Question: Q8 *In general, how would you rate the Kansas economy?*

Figure 4: Opinion on Change of Kansas Economy



Question: Q9 Over the last 12 months, how would you say the Kansas economy has changed?


Figure 5: Level of Concern that the Kansas Economy Will Seriously Threaten Individual's or Family's Welfare Over the Next Year



Question: Q10 How concerned are you that the Kansas economy will seriously threaten you or your family's welfare in the coming year?

In 2025, 44.9% of respondents indicated they felt Kansas was on the right track, which was higher than 2024 (40.8%) and slightly lower than 2023 (46.3%). More than one-third (35.9%) believed Kansas was on the wrong track in 2025, the highest in three years (Figure 6).


Figure 6: Opinion on Whether Kansas is on the Right or Wrong Track



Question: Q11 Generally speaking, do you think Kansas is on the right track or wrong track?

Introduced into the questionnaire to follow the Kansas set of questions above, the 2025 Kansas Speaks survey for the first time added questions to gauge Kansan's perceptions of the national economy and whether the nation is more on the right or wrong track. A combined 17.5% rated the national economy as excellent or very good (see Figure 7), compared to 27.7% who felt this way about the Kansas economy. A combined 40.2% rated the national economy as poor or very poor, compared to only 12.8% who felt this way about the Kansas economy.


Figure 7: Rating of National Economy



Question: Q12 How would you rate the national economy?

Figure 8 shows that regarding the national economy, 21.0% said it is getting better, compared to 16.9% who said so about the Kansas economy. Well over half (54.4%) said the national economy is getting worse, compared to only 36.1% who said so about the Kansas economy.


Figure 8: Opinion on Change of National Economy



Question: Q13 Over the last 12 months, how would you say the national economy has changed?

In 2025, almost sixty-percent (59.3%) of respondents were very concerned or moderately concerned that the national economy would seriously threaten their own or their family's welfare over the next year, compared to less than half (46.6%) who said so about the Kansas economy.


Figure 9: Level of Concern that the National Economy Will Seriously Threaten Individual's or Family's Welfare Over the Next Year



Question: Q14 How concerned are you that the national economy will seriously threaten you or your family's well-being over the next year?

Only about 34% thought the nation is on the right track, compared to 44.9% who thought so about Kansas. Over half (56.7%) said the nation is on the wrong track, compared just a little over a third (35.9%) who said so about Kansas.

Figure 10: Opinion on Whether Nation is on the Right or Wrong Track




Question: Q15 Generally speaking, do you feel the nation is on the right track or wrong track?

Section 3: Government, Politicians, and Elections

Respondents were asked about their satisfaction with certain elected individuals, elected bodies, and courts (Figure 11). Among all in the list, satisfaction was highest for Governor Laura Kelly, as 47.0% of respondents were satisfied with Governor Kelly and 27.3% were dissatisfied. Slightly fewer were satisfied (43.5%) with President Donald Trump than dissatisfied (46.2%). About one-third (34%) were satisfied with the Kansas Legislature, while 31.2% were dissatisfied. The U.S. Congress received the lowest level of satisfaction and the highest level of dissatisfaction among all in the list, with only 21.4% satisfied and 54.5% dissatisfied. More were satisfied (33.8%) with U.S. Senator Jerry Moran than dissatisfied (28.3%). Nearly equal percentages were satisfied (34%) and dissatisfied (33.5%) with U.S. Senator Roger Marshall. Substantially more were satisfied (35.1%) with the Kansas Supreme Court than dissatisfied (21.1%). Substantially fewer were satisfied (33.3%) with the U.S. Supreme Court than dissatisfied (40.7%).


Figure 11: Satisfaction with the Overall Performance of Elected/Appointed Officials



Question: Q16 How satisfied are you with the overall performance of the United States Congress? United States Supreme Court? President Donald Trump? Kansas Supreme Court? Governor Laura Kelly? Senator Jerry Moran? Senator Roger Marshall? Kansas Legislature?

When asked whether they voted for Laura Kelly for governor in the 2022 election, 40.8% of respondents said yes, and 31.7% said no. Almost a quarter of respondents (24.2%) did not vote, and 3.4% answered don't know or prefer to not answer (Figure 12).


Figure 12: Voted for Laura Kelly in the 2022 Governor Election



Question: Q17 *If you voted in the 2022 election for governor, did you vote for Laura Kelly?*

When asked who they voted for in the 2024 presidential election, 43.4% of respondents said they voted for Donald Trump, and 32.1% said they voted for Kamala Harris. About seventeen percent (17.4%) said they did not vote in the 2024 presidential election (Figure 13).


Figure 13: Vote in the 2024 Presidential Election



Question: Q18 *If you voted in the 2024 presidential election, who did you vote for?*

Well ahead of the 2026 Kansas election for governor, the 2025 Kansas Speaks survey gauged candidate recognition for the 11 Kansas gubernatorial candidates in the race at the time the survey was conducted. Candidate recognition was highest for Jeff Colyer (35.1%) followed by Scott Schwab (29.1%) as shown in Figure 14. Other candidates with recognition above twenty percent were Ty Masterson (25.3%), Vicki Schmidt (24.4%), and Charlotte O’Hara (22.6%).


Figure 14: Kansas Gubernatorial Candidate Recognition



Question: Q20 below is a list of candidates who are running for governor in the 2026 election. Do you recall ever hearing anything about them?

If a respondent recalled hearing anything about the candidate, they were then asked whether their impression of the candidate is positive, negative, or neutral. Between the two most recognized candidates, from Figure 14, the rate of positive impression was slightly higher for Jeff Colyer (44.8%) than for Scott Schwab (42.3%) as shown in Figure 15. Among other candidates with greater than twenty percent name recognition, Vicki Schmidt enjoyed the highest positive impressions (46.2%), followed by Charlotte O’Hara (37.5%) and Ty Masterson (36.4%). Among the entire field of 11 candidates, the rate of positive impressions was highest for Joy Eakins (53.9%), though name recognition of the candidate was only 17.1% as of this survey.


Figure 15: Kansas Gubernatorial Candidate General Evaluation



Question: Q21 For each candidate you have heard of, would you say your impression of the candidate is positive, negative, or neutral?

When asked if Kansas government is stronger when it has diverse representation among its elected officials, about sixty percent (59.8%) of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that Kansas government becomes stronger with diverse representations among elected officials, while 8.2% disagreed (Figure 16).

Figure 16: Stronger Government with Diverse Representation Among Elected Officials




Question: Q19 How much do you agree or disagree that Kansas government is stronger when it has diverse representation among its elected officials?

Section 4: Multiple Public Policy Issues

When asked how much they think about Medicaid expansion, 42.2% of respondents think a great deal or a lot and another 30.5% think a moderate amount about Medicaid expansion as a current political issue with the Kansas legislature. Slightly more than 25 percent (27.4%) of respondents think a little or not at all of this issue (Figure 17).


Figure 17: Thinking about Medicaid Expansion in Kansas



Question: Q22 *Medicaid expansion is a current political issue with the Kansas Legislature. How much do you think about this issue?*

Turning to opinions on expansion (Figure 18), 74.3% of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that expanding Medicaid would help rural Kansas hospitals remain in business, while only 9.6% disagree³. About two-thirds (72.3%) strongly or somewhat agreed that the Kansans who might obtain health insurance from expanding Medicaid deserve this benefit from the state, while only 8.0% disagree. About seventy percent (70.2%) of respondents supported expanding Medicaid in Kansas, while only 10.0% do not.

Figure 18: Opinions on Medicaid Expansion in Kansas




Question: Q23 *If Kansas expands Medicaid under the Affordable Care Act, about 10% of the expansion would be paid by Kansas, and 90% by the federal government. Please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, are neutral, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements.*

³ For the 2025 survey, Docking Institute removed from this question the following additional context provided in previous years' Kansas Speaks surveys: "Studies estimate that expanding Medicaid would provide health coverage to approximately 150,000 Kansans and financially benefit rural hospitals." Though having removed the sentence, the Institute finds 2025 response to be highly comparable to previous years' response distributions.

Well over half (60.7%) of respondents felt the issue of Medicaid expansion in Kansas was highly or extremely important as they decided who gets their vote to represent them in the Kansas Legislature, and another 28.6% considered it slightly important (Figure 19).


Figure 19: Importance of Medicaid Expansion When Voting for the Kansas Legislature



Question: Q24 As you decide who gets your vote to represent you in the Kansas Legislature, how important is the issue of Medicaid expansion in Kansas?

Respondents were asked about increasing taxes on cigarettes and cigars, alcohol, and legalizing recreational marijuana to allow state taxation, as avenues for increasing State revenue. Over sixty percent (63.6%) somewhat or strongly supported increasing taxes on cigarettes and cigars. A similar percentage (63.2%) supported increasing taxes on alcohol. Slightly less than two-thirds (64.8%) supported legalizing recreational marijuana for individuals 21 and older to allow state taxation, while 19.2% opposed (Figure 20).


Figure 20: Taxes on Cigarettes and Cigars, Alcohol, and Marijuana



Question: Q25 There are other ways to increase the State of Kansas' revenue that would not include raising traditional taxes. Please tell me if you "Strongly Support", "Somewhat Support", "Neutral", "Somewhat Oppose", or "Strongly Oppose" the following alternative revenue sources: Increasing taxes on cigarettes and cigars, increasing taxes on alcohol, legalizing recreational marijuana for individuals 21 and older to allow taxation by the State of Kansas.

Sixty percent (65.4%) of respondents were highly or somewhat likely to vote for a candidate who supports medical marijuana legalization as they decided who gets their vote to represent them in the Kansas Legislature (Figure 21).


Figure 21: Likelihood to Vote for A Candidate who Supports Medical Marijuana Legalization



Question: Q26 As you decide who gets your vote to represent you in the Kansas Legislature, how likely are you to vote for a candidate who supports medical marijuana legalization?


More than seventy percent (70.4%) of respondents strongly or somewhat supported legalization of medical marijuana in general, while 14.2% opposed (Figure 22). Support for legalizing recreational marijuana was lower (Figure 23) than that of medical marijuana, with 58.8% of respondents supporting and 24.5% opposing.

Figure 22: Opinion on Legalizing Medical Marijuana in General



Question: Q27 How much do you support or oppose legalization of medical marijuana in general?


Figure 23: Opinion on Legalizing Recreational Marijuana in General



Question: Q28 How much do you support or oppose legalization of recreational marijuana in general?

The survey measured respondents' attitudes on a list of statements related to abortion. More than seventy percent (72.2%) of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that women were in a better position than politicians to make their own choices about whether to get an abortion, while 13% disagreed or strongly disagreed. Almost sixty percent (59.5%) of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that Kansas government should not place any regulations on the circumstances under which women can get abortions, while 24.8% disagreed or strongly disagreed. About half (50.6%) of respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed that "if the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, it should also make it illegal for Kansas women to travel outside of the state to get abortions in other states where abortion is still legal," and about 30% agreed or strongly agreed (Figure 24).


Figure 24: Opinions regarding Abortion



Question: Q29 How strongly do you agree or disagree with these statements regarding abortion?

Almost two-thirds (64.8%) of respondents are not willing to contact authorities to report a woman who had an illegal abortion or to report her medical providers, if the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions (Figure 25).


Figure 25: Willingness to Report Illegal Abortion



Question: Q30 If the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, how willing would you personally be to contact authorities to report a woman who had an illegal abortion or to report her medical providers?

Over one-third (36.3%) of respondents thought the state government should pay most of the cost of prosecuting women who get illegal abortions or their medical providers if the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, 15.2% thought the local government should pay, and 17.6% don't know (Figure 26).


Figure 26: Who Should Pay Cost of Prosecuting Illegal Abortions



Question: Q31 *If the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, who should pay most of the cost of prosecuting women who get illegal abortions or their medical providers?*

Respondents were asked about their opinion regarding new laws that restrict access to abortion passed by the Kansas Legislature even though Kansans voted to continue making abortion legal in Kansas in an August 2022 statewide referendum. A little under half (46.0%) strongly or somewhat opposed new laws that restrict access to abortion, while one-third (32.5%) of respondents strongly or somewhat support (Figure 27).


Figure 27: Opinion on New Restrictions on Abortion



Question: Q32 *In 2022, Kansas voters voted to continue making abortion legal in Kansas under most circumstances. However, the Kansas Legislature continues to pass new restrictions on the procedure. In general, how do you feel about new laws that restrict access to abortion?*

Respondents were then asked how much they thought about the Kansas Legislature continuing to pass laws restricting abortion access. About one-third (34.0%) of respondents said a great deal or a lot about; 30.1% said a moderate amount, and 35.9% said a little or not at all (Figure 28).


Figure 28: Thinking about New Restrictions on Abortion from the Kansas Legislature



Question: Q33 *How much do you think about the Kansas Legislature continuing to pass laws restricting abortion access? Would you say you think about it...*

A set of statements about legal immigration was presented to respondents. As shown in Figure 29, about two-thirds of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that legal immigrants contributed to the economic growth of the U.S. (66.2% agreed vs. 14.1% disagreed), the economic vitality of Kansas (64.1% agreed vs. 15.2% disagreed), and the economic vitality of their community (64.3% agreed vs. 14.2% disagreed). A little over half of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed with the following statements: they contribute to government revenue by paying taxes (55.1% agreed vs. 18.7% disagreed); they enrich American culture and values (55.1% agreed vs. 18.2% disagreed); and they take jobs that Americans don't want (56.1% agreed vs. 17.4% disagreed). Consistent with opinions about legal immigrants taking jobs Americans don't want, 48.4% of respondents somewhat or strongly disagreed that legal immigrants took jobs away from American citizens, while 31.0% somewhat or strongly agreed. More than forty percent (43.7%) of respondents agreed that there were too many immigrants coming into the U.S., however, a larger percentage (48.0%) believed the U.S. should accept more high-skilled immigrants. Respondents' opinions were polarized when they were asked whether legal immigrants took social services away from American citizens (38.1% agreed vs. 42.2% disagreed) and whether the U.S. should accept more low-skilled immigrants (27.4% agreed vs. 30.9% disagreed).


Figure 29: Opinion about Legal Immigrants



Question: Q34 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about migrants who are legally in the U.S.?

Regarding immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally, 44.4% agreed that illegal immigrants should be left alone by authorities if they don't break other laws, while more than one-third (36.3%) disagreed (Figure 30). Response is polarized on whether illegal immigrants contribute more to society than they take in terms of resources, with a little over forty percent (41.8%) who agreed and just under forty percent (39.2%) who disagreed. More than forty percent (42.2%) of respondents disagreed that illegal immigrants should be arrested and put in detention camps while awaiting deportation hearings, whereas 38.1% agreed. Over forty percent (43.0%) agreed that illegal immigrants were a danger to public safety, while one-third (33.3%) disagreed (Figure 30).


Figure 30: Opinion about Illegal Immigrants



Question: Q35 Now we have some statements about immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally (have no legal status to be in the country).

When asked if they are concerned about the foreign ownership of farmland in Kansas, about half of respondents expressed moderate to high level of concerns, with 33.2% saying very concerned and 25.7% saying moderately concerned. About one-third (32.7%) were slightly concerned or not concerned at all, and the remaining 8.4% chose don't know (Figure 31).

Figure 31: Concern about Foreign Ownership of Farmland in Kansas




Question: Q53 Are you concerned about the foreign ownership of farmland here in Kansas, or not?
Would you say you are...

The 2025 Kansas Speaks survey measured support for/opposition to raising the Kansas minimum wage. Two versions of the question were fielded. One version provided context about neighboring states' minimum wage levels, given that especially Kansas border counties compete for labor with other states, while the other version does not provide that context:

- *“Colorado, Nebraska, and Missouri have each set minimum wage at over \$13 per hour. Oklahoma and Kansas are both at \$7.25 per hour (the federal minimum wage). Minimum wage in Kansas is \$7.25 per hour (the federal minimum wage). Do you support increasing Kansas' minimum wage?” (Q56)*
- *“Minimum wage in Kansas is \$7.25 per hour (the federal minimum wage). Do you support increasing Kansas' minimum wage?” (Q55)*

Respondents randomly received one or the other version. Figure 32 shows that overall support for increasing the Kansas minimum wage is high. To both questions, majorities indicated they strongly support raising the minimum wage. And when those who strongly supported and somewhat supported are combined, support was only slightly higher among those respondents who were supplied with the context of neighboring states' minimum wages (81.4%) than among those who were not supplied with minimum wage levels of neighboring states (79.5%).


Figure 32: Support for Increasing the Minimum Wage in Kansas



Note: actual question wording appears in the narrative above this figure.

When asked if education beyond high school offers a good return on investment for the student and for the general population of Kansas, respondents' answers were very similar. Nearly two-thirds (64.0%) of respondents somewhat or strongly agreed that education beyond high school was a good return on investment for the student, while 16.0% somewhat or strongly disagreed. When asked if education beyond high school is a good return on investment for the general population of Kansas, 63.2% somewhat or strongly agreed and 12.8% somewhat or strongly disagreed (Figure 33).


Figure 33: Education Beyond High School as a Return on Investment



Question: Q58 How much do you agree or disagree that education beyond high school offers a good return on investment for the student and for the general population of Kansas?

Regarding the goal of higher education, 55.8% of respondents felt creating an educated citizenry for democratic functioning is much or slightly more important, and 34.1% felt developing a workforce is much or slightly more important. About ten percent (9.8%) don't know (Figure 34).

Figure 34: Goal of Higher Education




Question: Q59 Some people say the main goal of higher education is an educated citizenry for democratic functioning and others say it is to develop a workforce. Please select the statement you agree with most.

Section 5: Childcare and Long-term Care

A large percentage (91.4%) of respondents believed that high-quality, affordable infant and toddler childcare was extremely or highly important for families in Kansas, regardless of whether or not they had young children. About eleven percent (7.2%) felt it was slightly important or not important at all (Figure 35).


Figure 35: Importance of Childcare in Kansas



Question: Q37 *Regardless of whether or not you have young children, how important do you believe high-quality, affordable infant and toddler childcare is for families in Kansas?*

Respondents were asked about their opinions on a series of statements that were related to childcare (Figure 36). Almost eighty percent (86.0%) of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that access to affordable childcare strengthened the economy. Regarding which levels of government should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare, 77.7% strongly or somewhat agreed that state government should. Slightly less, 75.9%, strongly or somewhat agreed that the federal government should, close to the percentage, 75.3%, who strongly or somewhat agreed that local government should. About seventy percent (70.7%) of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that affordable and accessible childcare was an obstacle to women's economic participation, and about two thirds (67.2%) strongly or somewhat agreed it is an obstacle to women's progress (65.6%). When asked whether mothers or grandparents should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare, more people disagreed than agreed -- 35.3% strongly or somewhat agreed that mothers should (39.0% strongly or somewhat disagreed) and 21.4% strongly or somewhat agreed that grandparents should (51.9% strongly or somewhat disagreed).


Figure 36: Opinions on Affordable Childcare



Question: Q38 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Regarding elderly care, large percentages agree that supporting mental health needs of aging Kansans is important (86.8% strongly or somewhat agreed) and that Kansans who require long-term care services should be able to get the care needed in their own community (84.0% strongly or somewhat agreed). More than eighty percent (83.7%) of respondents strongly or somewhat agreed that state policymakers have an important role in ensuring that elderly Kansans are taken care of. Over three-fourths (77.3%) strongly or somewhat agreed that larger investments are needed in services and care for elderly Kansans, and 74.4% strongly or somewhat agreed that Kansas should invest more in developing workers for long-term care services. (Figure 37)


Figure 37: Opinions on Elderly Care in Kansas



Question: Q39 How strongly do you agree or disagree with these statements regarding elderly care in Kansas:


Over forty percent (43.8%) of respondents knew someone currently requiring long-term care and support (Figure 38). Almost one-third (32.5%) of respondents had close family members currently requiring long-term care and support (Figure 39), and among them 66.9% helped provide care for those family members (Figures 40).

Figure 38: Knowing Someone Requiring Long-term Care and Support




Question: Q40 Do you know someone currently requiring long-term care and support?

Figure 39: Having Family Members Requiring Long-term Care and Support



Question: Q41 Do you have any close family members currently requiring long-term care and support?


Figure 40: Providing Long-term Care for Family Members



Question: Q42 Do you help provide care for them (among those with a family member requiring long-term care and support)?

When asked about their opinion on state regulation of nursing home care in Kansas, a combined 32.3% of respondents felt state regulation was “a little bit too little” or “much too little,” 26.3% felt it was “about right,” and a combined 18.6% felt it was “a little bit too much” or “far too much.” A large percentage, 22.7%, don’t know. (Figure 41)

Figure 41: State Regulation of Nursing Home Care in Kansas




Question: Q43 What is your opinion about state regulation of nursing home care in Kansas? Would you say that the current regulations are...

Section 6: Water Conservation


About a third (33.5%) of respondents felt that their local government was not doing enough for water conservation in their part of Kansas. A larger percentage (40.5%) felt local government was doing the right amount, and 6.8% felt it was doing too much (Figure 42). When asked how they felt regarding efforts from the state government, 36.9% of respondents said it was not doing enough, 36.7% felt they were the right amount, and 6.1% felt they were too much (Figure 43). Figure 44 shows that a combined 73% consider state government investment in water conservation to be highly or extremely important; only 2.9% indicate it is not important at all.

Figure 42: Water Conservation by Local Government




Question: Q45 When it comes to water conservation in your part of Kansas, do you think that your local government is doing too much, the right amount, or not enough?

Figure 43: Water Conservation by State Government



Question: Q46 When it comes to water conservation in your part of Kansas, do you think that the state government is doing too much, the right amount, or not enough?


Figure 44: Investment in Water Conservation



Question: Q47 *How important is it for the state government to invest in water conservation for future use?*


Respondents were asked if they believed that voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient or that local government should pass new laws to limit consumer and business use of water. A larger percentage felt voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient (41.8%) than felt local government should pass new laws (33.9%) as shown in Figure 45. The survey continued by asking if state government should pass new laws (Figure 46). More felt voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient (40.4%) than felt that the state government should pass new laws (35.7%). On both questions, about 24% had no opinion.

Figure 45: Water Conservation Regulated by Local Government vs. Voluntary Action



Question: Q48 *In order to improve conservation of water, do you believe that voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient or that local governments should pass new laws to limit consumer and business use of water?*


Figure 46: Water Conservation Regulated by State Government vs. Voluntary Action



Question: Q49 *In order to improve conservation of water, do you believe that voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient or that the state governments should pass new laws to limit consumer and business use of water?*


In terms of water supply, just over half (51.6%) of respondents were very or moderately concerned that Kansas might be running out of water, and another 27.6% were slightly concerned. A little over ten percent (12.5%) were not concerned at all (Figure 47). More than half (56.4%) of respondents strongly agreed or agreed that Kansas farmers would need to make changes to their farming practices due to declining water levels; 7.1% disagreed or strongly disagreed (Figure 48). In terms of water quality, just under half (48.0%) were very or moderately concerned (Figure 49). Another 28.7% were slightly concerned, and 17.5% were not concerned.

Figure 47: Concern about Water Supply in Kansas




Question: Q50 How concerned are you that Kansas might be running out of water?

Figure 48: Change of Farming Practices Due to Declining Water Levels



Question: Q51 Kansas farmers will need to make changes to their farming practices due to declining water levels.

Figure 49: Concern About Water Quality in Kansas




Question: Q52 How concerned are you with the water quality in Kansas?

Section 7. Gun Ownership

Regarding gun ownership, 44.2% of respondents said they or someone else in their household owned a gun. More than fifty percent (55.8%) said no one in their household owned a gun (Figure 50).

Figure 50: Gun Ownership




Question: Q54 *Do you or does anyone else in your household own any guns (not including air guns, such as paintball BB, or pellet guns)?*

Section 8: Artificial Intelligence

Respondents were asked if they use any artificial intelligence (A.I.) technologies in their daily life or at work. Thirty-seven (37.0%) of respondents said they did not use any A.I. technologies in any part of their lives, and 17.9% are unsure whether they use AI of any type. Almost a quarter (24.4%) said they use generative A.I. in their daily personal life, and close to that percentage (23.2%) said they use non-generative A.I. in their personal daily life. A little under twenty percent (18.0%) said they use generative A.I. for tasks at work and 13.9% said they use non-generative A.I. for tasks at work. (Figure 51)

Figure 51: Use of Artificial Intelligence Technology




Question: Q57 *Generative AI (artificial intelligence) creates new content, and some examples of generative AI include ChatGPT, Copilot, Google Gemini, and Claude. Non-generative AI typically analyzes, classifies, or optimizes existing data. In thinking about how you do or do not utilize AI (artificial intelligence) technology, select any of the options below that describe your AI usage.*

Section 9. Perceived Cause of Homelessness

Almost a third (32.3%) of respondents thought lack of affordable housing is the most root cause of homelessness, 27.2% thought mental health issues, and 21.5% thought addictions. A little over ten percent (13.2%) chose personal responsibility (Figure 52).

Figure 52: Most Root Cause of Homelessness



Question: Q36 *Of these, which do you believe is the most root cause of homelessness?*

Appendix A: Demographic Characteristics

Social Indicators		Survey Panel Unweighted (n=526)	Survey Panel Weighted (n=488)	Kansas Population*
Age	18-24 Years Old	12.2%	12.3%	13.0%
	25-34 Years Old	22.8%	17.2%	16.9%
	35-44 Years Old	14.3%	16.5%	17.2%
	45-54 Years Old	16.3%	15.9%	14.4%
	55-64 Years Old	13.3%	14.4%	15.1%
	65 Years and Older	21.1%	23.7%	23.4%
Gender (18 & older)	Male	43.7%	47.5%	49.9%
	Female	54.9%	51.3%	50.1%
	Gender fluid/transgender/prefer not to say	1.3%	1.2%	/
County Population	Johnson County	27.8%	28.6%	21.2%
	Sedgwick County	19.0%	18.4%	18.0%
	Shawnee County	7.0%	6.4%	6.1%
	Wyandotte County	7.0%	7.6%	5.6%
	Douglas County	3.8%	4.3%	4.1%
	Leavenworth County	2.5%	2.4%	2.8%
	Riley County	1.3%	1.2%	2.4%
	Butler County	2.7%	2.2%	2.3%
	Other Counties	28.9%	28.9%	37.6%
Household Income	Less than \$10,000	7.0%	6.8%	4.3%
	\$10,000-\$24,999	12.2%	12.0%	9.2%
	\$25,000- \$34,999	10.0%	8.9%	7.0%
	\$35,000-\$49,999	13.5%	13.4%	11.2%
	\$50,000-\$74,999	22.4%	23.3%	17.9%
	\$75,000-\$99,999	11.4%	11.2%	14.0%
	\$100,000-\$149,999	9.9%	11.0%	17.5%
	\$150,000-\$199,999	4.9%	4.9%	8.9%
	\$200,000 or more	5.1%	6.0%	10.0%
	Unsure/Prefer to not answer	3.4%	2.5%	/
Education	Less Than High School	2.5%	6.8%	8.7%
	High School Diploma	22.8%	24.2%	26.3%
	Some College or Associate's Degree	39.7%	31.6%	32.2%
	Bachelor's Degree or higher	33.8%	36.7%	32.8%
	Don't Know/Prefer to not answer	1.1%	0.6%	/

Continues on next page

Appendix A: Demographic Characteristics (cont.)

Social Indicators		Survey Panel Unweighted (n=526)	Survey Panel Weighted (n=488)	Kansas Population
Hispanic Origin		9.9%	9.6%	14.2%
Race	White	78.3%	78.4%	75.8%
	Black or African American	8.6%	7.9%	5.4%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	2.3%	2.7%	3.2%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1.7%	1.3%	0.8%
	Some other race alone	2.9%	3.7%	4.0%
	Two or more races	5.3%	5.5%	11.8%
	Don't Know/Prefer to not answer	1.0%	0.6%	/
Political Party Orientation	Strong Republican	17.3%	18.3%	/
	Moderate Republican	18.6%	18.6%	
	Independent Leaning Republican	7.4%	7.2%	
	Independent	21.1%	23.2%	
	Independent Leaning Democrat	8.9%	8.7%	
	Moderate Democrat	11.2%	9.9%	
	Strong Democrat	9.5%	8.3%	
	Don't Know	5.9%	5.8%	
Ideology	Very conservative	11.0%	11.6%	/
	Conservative	16.2%	17.1%	
	Somewhat conservative	13.5%	13.8%	
	Moderate	25.9%	25.3%	
	Somewhat liberal	8.0%	8.5%	
	Liberal	8.7%	7.5%	
	Very liberal	7.8%	7.5%	
	Don't Know	8.9%	8.7%	
Registered Voters		82.5%	87.0%	87.5%
Party Registration** (n=434 unweighted; n=424 weighted)	Republican Party	44.2%	45.3%	44.9%
	Democratic Party	30.6%	25.3%	24.8%
	Libertarian Party	3.0%	1.2%	1.2%
	No Labels Kansas Party	2.1%	0.3%	0.3%
	United Kansas Party	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%
	Unaffiliated	15.9%	25.8%	28.8%
	Don't recall	3.5%		

*Kansas population data for Age, Gender, Household Income and Race were obtained from the U.S. Census: 2024 American Community Survey, 1-year estimates; Data for County Population and Education were obtained from the U.S. Census: 2023 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, due to the federal government shutdown in October 2025. The percentage of registered voters in Kansas is estimated using election statistics from the Kansas Secretary of State Election Statistics website and Kansas population estimate from U.S. Census 2024 American Community Survey estimates. Party registration information was obtained from the Kansas Secretary of State Election Statistics website.

** The Survey Panel Percentages in this section are based on the total number of respondents who indicated that they were registered voter (n=434 unweighted; n=424 weighted)

Appendix B: Survey Instrument

Kansas Speaks 2025

Start of Block: Block 1 - Actions

QIntro Welcome to the 2025 Kansas Speaks survey conducted by the Docking Institute of Public Affairs at Fort Hays State University. Kansas Speaks is a statewide survey measuring Kansans' evaluations of elected officials and opinions on economy, taxation, election, and some current public affairs issues. It takes about 15 minutes to answer all the questions. The survey has been conducted annually since 2009, and survey results have been reported in local, state, and national news media. Your opinions matter. By participating in this survey, you will give voice to thousands of Kansans similar to you. You are assured complete confidentiality. For more information about the Kansas Speaks survey, please visit our website: www.fhsu.edu/docking/Kansas-Speaks Should you have concerns with the survey, please contact Dr. Jian Sun via his email address: jsun@fhsu.edu

Q298 Before you proceed to the survey, please complete the captcha below.

Q1 With that out of the way, let's get right into it!

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)

Skip To: End of Block If Q1 = 2

Q2 Are you at least 18 years of age or older?

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)

Skip To: End of Block If Q2 = 2

Q3 What year were you born?

Skip To: End of Block If Condition: What year were you born? Is Greater Than or Equal to 2008. Skip To: End of Block.

Q4 What is your gender?

- ☐ Male (1)
- ☐ Female (2)
- ☐ Gender-fluid/transgender (3)

Q5 In which Kansas county do you primarily reside?

▼ Allen (1) ... Wyandotte (105)

Q6 In general, how would you rate Kansas as a place to live?

- ☐ Excellent (1)
- ☐ Very Good (2)
- ☐ Good (3)
- ☐ Fair (4)
- ☐ Poor (5)
- ☐ Very Poor (6)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q7 By your best guess, where will you be in 5 years? Are you likely to...

- ☐ Still be living in the same community you are in now (1)
- ☐ Move to another location in Kansas (2)
- ☐ Relocate to a state other than Kansas (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q8 In general, how would you rate the Kansas economy? Would you say it is...

- ☐ Excellent (1)
- ☐ Very Good (2)
- ☐ Good (3)
- ☐ Fair (4)
- ☐ Poor (5)
- ☐ Very Poor (6)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q9 Over the last 12 months, how would you say the Kansas economy has changed? Would you say it is...

- ☐ Getting Worse (1)
- ☐ Staying the Same (2)
- ☐ Getting Better (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q10 How concerned are you that the Kansas economy will seriously threaten you or your family's well-being over the next year? Would you say...

- ☐ Very Concerned (1)
- ☐ Moderately Concerned (2)
- ☐ Slightly Concerned (3)
- ☐ Not Concerned at all (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q11 Generally speaking, do you feel Kansas is on the right track or wrong track?

- ☐ Right Track (1)
- ☐ Wrong Track (2)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q12 Next, we'd like to ask you about the economy of the U.S. In general, how would you rate the national economy? Would you say it is...

- ☐ Excellent (1)
 - ☐ Very Good (2)
 - ☐ Good (3)
 - ☐ Fair (4)
 - ☐ Poor (5)
 - ☐ Very Poor (6)
 - ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)
-

Q13 Over the last 12 months, how would you say the national economy has changed? Would you say it is...

- ☐ Getting Worse (1)
- ☐ Staying the Same (2)
- ☐ Getting Better (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q14 How concerned are you that the national economy will seriously threaten you or your family's well-being over the next year? Would you say...

- ☐ Very Concerned (1)
- ☐ Moderately Concerned (2)
- ☐ Slightly Concerned (3)
- ☐ Not Concerned at all (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q15 Generally speaking, do you feel the nation is on the right track or wrong track?

- ☐ Right Track (1)
- ☐ Wrong Track (2)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q16 Please indicate if you are "Very Satisfied," "Somewhat Satisfied," "Neutral," "Somewhat Dissatisfied," or "Very Dissatisfied" with the following public entities/officials.

	Very Satisfied (1)	Somewhat Satisfied (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Dissatisfied (4)	Very Dissatisfied (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
The Kansas Legislature in general (Q16_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
U.S. Senator Jerry Moran (Q16_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
U.S. Senator Roger Marshall (Q16_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Governor Laura Kelly (Q16_4)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
President Donald Trump (Q16_5)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Kansas Supreme Court (Q16_6)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
The United States Supreme Court (Q16_7)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
The United States Congress in general (Q16_8)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q17 If you voted in the 2022 election for governor, did you vote for Laura Kelly?

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)
- ☐ Did not vote in the 2022 governor election (3)
- ☐ Don't know or prefer not to answer (88)

Q18 If you voted in the 2024 presidential election, who did you vote for?

- ☐ Kamala Harris (1)
- ☐ Donald Trump (2)
- ☐ Neither Kamala Harris nor Donald Trump (3)
- ☐ Did not vote in the 2024 presidential election (4)
- ☐ Don't know or prefer not to answer (88)

Q19 How much do you agree or disagree that Kansas government is stronger when it has diverse representation among its elected officials?

- ☐ Strongly agree (1)
- ☐ Agree (2)
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree (3)
- ☐ Disagree (4)
- ☐ Strongly disagree (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q20 Presented in alphabetical order, below is a list of candidates who are running for governor in the 2026 election. Do you recall ever hearing anything about them?

	Yes, I have heard things about this candidate. (1)	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate. (2)	Not sure (3)
Jeff Colyer (R) (Q20_1)	☐	☐	☐
Ethan Corson (D) (Q20_2)	☐	☐	☐
Joy Eakins (R) (Q20_3)	☐	☐	☐
Cindy Holscher (D) (Q20_4)	☐	☐	☐
Ty Masterson (R) (Q20_5)	☐	☐	☐
Charlotte O'Hara (R) (Q20_6)	☐	☐	☐
Stacy Rogers (R) (Q20_7)	☐	☐	☐
Philip Sarnecki (R) (Q20_8)	☐	☐	☐
Vicki Schmidt (R) (Q20_9)	☐	☐	☐
Scott Schwab (R) (Q20_10)	☐	☐	☐
Marty Tuley (D) (Q20_11)	☐	☐	☐

[NOTE: Asked a respondent about their impression of a candidate in the Q21 series ONLY IF in the Q20 series the respondent answered “Yes, I have heard things about this candidate”]

Q21 For each candidate you have heard of, would you say your impression of the candidate is positive, negative, or neutral?

	Generally positive (1)	Generally neutral (2)	Generally negative (3)	Not sure (4)
Jeff Colyer (R) (Q21_x1)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Ethan Corson (D) (Q21_x4)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Joy Eakins (R) (Q21_x5)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Cindy Holscher (D) (Q21_x6)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Ty Masterson (R) (Q21_x7)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Charlotte O’Hara (R) (Q21_x8)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Stacy Rogers (R) (Q21_x9)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Philip Sarnecki (R) (Q21_x10)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Vicki Schmidt (R) (Q21_x11)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Scott Schwab (R) (Q21_x12)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Marty Tuley (D) (Q21_x13)	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q22 Medicaid expansion is a current political issue with the Kansas Legislature. How much do you think about this issue?

- ☐ A great deal (1)
- ☐ A lot (2)
- ☐ A moderate amount (3)
- ☐ A little (4)
- ☐ Not at all (5)

Q23 If Kansas expands Medicaid under the Affordable Care Act, about 10% of the expansion would be paid by Kansas, and 90% by the federal government. Do you

"Strongly Agree," "Somewhat Agree," are "Neutral," "Somewhat Disagree," or "Strongly Disagree" with the following statements:

	Strongly Agree (1)	Somewhat Agree (2)	Neither agree nor disagree (3)	Somewhat Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
Expanding Medicaid would help rural Kansas hospitals remain in business. (Q23_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Kansans who might obtain health insurance from expanding Medicaid deserve this benefit from the State. (Q23_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
I support expanding Medicaid in Kansas. (Q23_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q24 As you decide who gets your vote to represent you in the Kansas Legislature, how important is the issue of Medicaid expansion in Kansas?

- ☐ Extremely Important (1)
- ☐ Highly Important (2)
- ☐ Slightly Important (3)
- ☐ Not Important at All (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q25 There are other ways to increase the State of Kansas' revenue that would not include raising traditional taxes. Please tell us if you "Strongly Support", "Somewhat

Support", "Neutral", "Somewhat Oppose", or "Strongly Oppose" the following alternative revenue sources:

	Strongly Support (1)	Somewhat Support (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Oppose (4)	Strongly Oppose (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
a. Increasing taxes on cigarettes and cigars (Q27_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
b. Increasing taxes on alcohol (Q27_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. Legalizing recreational marijuana for individuals 21 and older to allow taxation by the State of Kansas (Q27_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q26 As you decide who gets your vote to represent you in the Kansas Legislature, how likely are you to vote for a candidate who supports medical marijuana legalization?

- ☐ Highly Likely (1)
- ☐ Somewhat Likely (2)
- ☐ Neither more nor less likely (3)
- ☐ Somewhat Unlikely (4)
- ☐ Highly Unlikely (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q27 How much do you support or oppose legalization of medical marijuana in general?

- ☐ Strongly Support (1)
- ☐ Somewhat Support (2)
- ☐ Neutral (3)
- ☐ Somewhat Oppose (4)
- ☐ Strongly Oppose (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q28 How much do you support or oppose legalization of recreational marijuana in general?

- ☐ Strongly Support (1)
- ☐ Somewhat Support (2)
- ☐ Neutral (3)
- ☐ Somewhat Oppose (4)
- ☐ Strongly Oppose (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q29 How strongly do you agree or disagree with these statements regarding abortion:	Strongly Agree (1)	Agree (2)	Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3)	Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
The Kansas government should not place any regulations on the circumstances under which women can get abortions. (Q31_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
When it comes to abortion, women are in a better position than politicians to make their own choices about whether to get an abortion. (Q31_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

If the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, it should also make it illegal for Kansas women to travel outside of the state to get abortions in other states where abortion is still legal. For example, the Kansas government should make it a crime for a Kansas woman to travel to Colorado to get an abortion there.
(Q31_3)



Q30 If the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, how willing would you personally be to contact authorities to report a woman who had an illegal abortion or to report her medical providers?

- ☐ Very Willing (1)
- ☐ Somewhat Willing (2)
- ☐ Not Willing at All (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q31 If the Kansas government were to ban all or most abortions, who should pay most of the cost of prosecuting women who get illegal abortions or their medical providers?

- ☐ State government (1)
- ☐ Local government (2)
- ☐ None of the above (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q32 In 2022, Kansas voters voted to continue making abortion legal in Kansas under most circumstances. However, the Kansas Legislature continues to pass new restrictions on the procedure. In general, how do you feel about new laws that restrict access to abortion?

- ☐ Strongly Support (1)
- ☐ Somewhat Support (2)
- ☐ Neutral (3)
- ☐ Somewhat Oppose (4)
- ☐ Strongly Oppose (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q33 How much do you think about the Kansas Legislature continuing to pass laws restricting abortion access? Would you say you think about it...

- ☐ A great deal (1)
- ☐ A lot (2)
- ☐ A moderate amount (3)
- ☐ A little (4)
- ☐ Not at all (5)

Q34 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about migrants who are legally in the U.S.?	Strongly Agree (1)	Somewhat Agree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
They contribute to the economic vitality of my community. (Q36_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
They contribute to economic vitality of Kansas. (Q36_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
They contribute to economic growth of the U.S. (Q36_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
They take jobs that Americans don't want. (Q36_4)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

They contribute to government revenue by paying taxes.
(Q36_5)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

They enrich American culture and values.
(Q36_6)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Immigrants take jobs away from American citizens.
(Q36_7)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Immigrants take social services away from American citizens.
(Q36_8)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

There are too many immigrants coming to the United States.
(Q36_9)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Accepting more low-skilled immigrants into the United States.
(Q36_10)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Accepting
more high-
skilled
immigrants
into the
United
States.
(Q36_11)



Q35 Now we have some statements about immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally (have no legal status to be in the country).	Strongly Agree (1)	Somewhat Agree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
Immigrants who are in the country illegally contribute more to society than they take in terms of resources. (Q37_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Immigrants who are in the country illegally are a danger to public safety. (Q37_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Immigrants who are in the country illegally should be left alone by authorities if they don't break other laws. (Q37_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Immigrants who are in the country illegally should be arrested and put in detention camps while awaiting deportation hearings.
(Q37_4)



Q36 Of these, which do you believe is the most root cause of homeless?

- ☐ Lack of affordable housing (1)
- ☐ Mental health issues (2)
- ☐ Addictions (3)
- ☐ Domestic violence (4)
- ☐ Personal responsibility (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (6)

Q37 Regardless of whether or not you have young children, how important do you believe high-quality, affordable infant and toddler childcare is for families in Kansas?

- ☐ Extremely Important (1)
- ☐ Highly Important (2)
- ☐ Slightly Important (3)
- ☐ Not Important at All (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q38 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	Strongly Agree (1)	Somewhat Agree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
Access to affordable childcare strengthens the economy. (Q40_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Affordable and accessible childcare is an obstacle to women's economic participation. (Q40_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Affordable and accessible childcare is an obstacle to women's progress. (Q40_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Mothers should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare. (Q40_4)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Grandparents should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare. (Q40_5)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

The federal government should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare.
(Q40_6)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

The state government should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare.
(Q40_7)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Local governments should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare.
(Q40_8)

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Q39 How strongly do you agree or disagree with these statements regarding elderly care in Kansas:	Strongly Agree (1)	Somewhat Agree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
State policymakers have an important role in ensuring that elderly Kansans are taken care of. (Q41_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Larger investments are needed in services and care for elderly Kansans. (Q41_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Kansas should invest more in developing workers for long-term care services. (Q41_3)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Kansans
who require
long-term
care
services
should be
able to get
the care they
need in their
own
community.
(Q41_4)

☐☐☐☐☐☐

Supporting
the mental
health needs
of aging
Kansans is
important.
(Q41_5)

☐☐☐☐☐☐

Q40 Do you know someone currently requiring long-term care and support?

☐ Yes (1)

☐ No (2)

Q41 Do you have any close family members currently requiring long-term care and support?

☐ Yes (1)

☐ No (2)

Display this question:

If Q41 = 1

Q42 Do you help provide care for them?

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)

Q43 Next, we'd like to ask you a few questions about nursing home care. First, what is your opinion about state regulation of nursing home care in Kansas? Would you say that the current regulations are...

- ☐ Far too much (1)
- ☐ A little bit too much (2)
- ☐ About right (3)
- ☐ A little bit too little (4)
- ☐ Much too little (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q44 What about the support offered by the State of Kansas for people to remain in their homes as they grow older? Would you say that level of support is...

- ☐ About right (1)
- ☐ A little bit too little (2)
- ☐ Far too little (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q45 When it comes to water conservation in your part of Kansas, do you think that your local government is doing too much, the right amount, or not enough?

- ☐ Too much (1)
- ☐ The right amount (2)
- ☐ Not enough (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q46 When it comes to water conservation in Kansas, do you think that the state government is doing too much, the right amount, or not enough?

- ☐ Too much (1)
- ☐ The right amount (2)
- ☐ Not enough (3)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q47 How important is it for the state government to invest in water conservation for future use?

- ☐ Extremely Important (1)
- ☐ Highly Important (2)
- ☐ Slightly Important (3)
- ☐ Not Important at All (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q48 In order to improve conservation of water, do you believe that voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient or that local governments should pass new laws to limit consumer and business use of water?

- ☐ Voluntary action is sufficient (1)
- ☐ Government should pass new laws (2)
- ☐ No opinion (3)

Q49

In order to improve conservation of water, do you believe that voluntary action by individuals and businesses is sufficient or that the state government should pass new laws to limit consumer and business use of water?

- ☐ Voluntary action is sufficient (1)
- ☐ Government should pass new laws (2)
- ☐ No opinion (3)

Q50 How concerned are you that Kansas farms might be running out of water?

- ☐ Very Concerned (1)
- ☐ Moderately Concerned (2)
- ☐ Slightly Concerned (3)
- ☐ Not Concerned at all (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q51 Kansas farmers will need to make changes to their farming practices due to declining water levels.

- ☐ Strongly agree (1)
- ☐ Agree (2)
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree (3)
- ☐ Disagree (4)
- ☐ Strongly disagree (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q52 How concerned are you with the water quality in Kansas?

- ☐ Very Concerned (1)
- ☐ Moderately Concerned (2)
- ☐ Slightly Concerned (3)
- ☐ Not Concerned at all (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q53 Are you concerned about the foreign ownership of farmland here in Kansas, or not? Would you say you are...

- ☐ Very Concerned (1)
- ☐ Moderately Concerned (2)
- ☐ Slightly Concerned (3)
- ☐ Not Concerned at all (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q54 Do you or does anyone else in your household own any guns (not including air guns, such as paintball BB, or pellet guns)?

- ☐ Yes, I or someone else in my household owns a gun (1)
- ☐ No, no one in my household owns a gun (2)

Q55 Minimum wage in Kansas is \$7.25 per hour (the federal minimum wage). Do you support increasing Kansas' minimum wage?

- ☐ Strongly support (1)
- ☐ Somewhat support (2)
- ☐ Neutral (3)
- ☐ Somewhat oppose (4)
- ☐ Strongly oppose (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q56 Colorado, Nebraska, and Missouri have each set minimum wage at over \$13 per hour. Oklahoma and Kansas are both at \$7.25 per hour (the federal minimum wage). Minimum wage in Kansas is \$7.25 per hour (the federal minimum wage). Do you support increasing Kansas' minimum wage?

- ☐ Strongly support (1)
- ☐ Somewhat support (2)
- ☐ Neutral (3)
- ☐ Somewhat oppose (4)
- ☐ Strongly oppose (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q57 Generative AI (artificial intelligence) creates new content and some examples of generative AI include ChatGPT, Copilot, Google Gemini, and Claude. Non-generative AI typically analyzes, classifies, or optimizes existing data. In thinking about how you do or do not utilize AI (artificial intelligence) technology, select any of the options below that describe your AI usage.

- ☐ I use generative AI (creates new content) in my daily personal life (1)
- ☐ I use non-generative AI (analyzes, classifies, optimizes) in my daily personal life (2)
- ☐ I use generative AI (creates new content) for tasks at work (3)
- ☐ I use non-generative AI (analyzes, classifies, optimizes) for tasks at work (4)
- ☐ I am not sure if I use AI of any type (5)
- ☐ I do not use any artificial intelligence technologies in any part of my life (6)

Q58 How much do you agree or disagree that education beyond high school offers a good return on investment for the student and for the general population of Kansas?

	Strongly Agree (1)	Somewhat Agree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)	DON'T KNOW (88)
Good return on investment for the student (Q62_1)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Good return on investment for the general population of Kansas (Q62_2)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q59 Some people say the main goal of higher education is an educated citizenry for democratic functioning and others say it is to develop a workforce. Please select the statement you agree with most.

- ☐ Creating an educated citizenry is much more important (1)
- ☐ Creating an educated citizenry is slightly more important (2)
- ☐ Developing a workforce is slightly more important (3)
- ☐ Developing a workforce is much more important (4)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q60 Do you consider yourself a...

- ☐ Strong Republican (1)
- ☐ Moderate Republican (2)
- ☐ Independent, Leaning Republican (3)
- ☐ Independent (4)
- ☐ Independent, Leaning Democrat (5)
- ☐ Moderate Democrat (6)
- ☐ Strong Democrat (7)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q61 In general, would you describe your political views as very conservative, conservative, somewhat conservative, moderate, somewhat liberal, liberal or very liberal?

- ☐ Very conservative (1)
- ☐ Conservative (2)
- ☐ Somewhat conservative (3)
- ☐ Moderate (4)
- ☐ Somewhat liberal (5)
- ☐ Liberal (6)
- ☐ Very liberal (7)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Q62 Are you currently a registered voter in Kansas?

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)

Display this question:

If Q62 = 1

Q63 Which party are you registered with?

- ☐ Republican Party (1)
- ☐ Democratic Party (2)
- ☐ Libertarian Party (3)
- ☐ No Labels Kansas Party (4)
- ☐ United Kansas Party (5)
- ☐ Unaffiliated (6)
- ☐ DON'T RECALL (7)

Q64 Do you have children under 18 years old living in your household?

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)
- ☐ Prefer to not answer (99)

Q65 What is the highest level of education you have received?

- ☐ Less than high school (1)
- ☐ High school diploma or equivalency (2)
- ☐ Some college (3)
- ☐ Associates or technical degree (4)
- ☐ Bachelors degree (5)
- ☐ Postgraduate degree (6)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)
- ☐ Prefer not to answer (99)

Q66 Are you Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin?

- ☐ Yes (1)
- ☐ No (2)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)
- ☐ Prefer not to answer (99)

Q67 Which one or more of the following would you say is your race?

- ☐ White (1)
- ☐ Black or African American (2)
- ☐ Asian or Pacific Islander (3)
- ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native (4)
- ☐ Other (5)
- ☐ DON'T KNOW (88)
- ☐ Prefer not to answer (99)

Q68 Which category includes your total annual household annual income in 2024 before taxes and withholdings (i.e., total gross income)?

- ☐ Under \$10,000 (1)
- ☐ \$10,000 to \$24,999 (2)
- ☐ \$25,000 to \$34,999 (3)
- ☐ \$35,000 to \$49,999 (4)
- ☐ \$50,000 to \$74,999 (5)
- ☐ \$75,000 to \$99,999 (6)
- ☐ \$100,000 to \$149,999 (7)
- ☐ \$150,000 to \$199,999 (8)
- ☐ \$200,000 or more (9)
- ☐ Unsure (88)
- ☐ Prefer not to answer (99)

Appendix C: Crosstabulations

For reviewing these crosstabs, use the Zoom feature in Adobe.

Table Q6

		Q6 Rate KS as a place to live						DONT KNOW	Total
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor		
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	16.5%	36.9%	22.5%	13.5%	7.0%	2.6%	1.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	30.9%	26.6%	15.5%	23.5%	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	11.2%	42.1%	31.6%	12.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	16.0%	39.2%	27.4%	13.8%	1.6%	0.6%	1.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	13.2%	34.5%	25.3%	19.0%	4.7%	2.5%	0.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	13.6%	35.4%	30.1%	17.1%	3.3%	0.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	23.8%	41.7%	20.7%	9.8%	2.5%	0.5%	0.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	20.5%	48.4%	19.0%	7.3%	3.8%	0.7%	0.4%	100.0%
	Female	14.1%	27.9%	31.0%	21.8%	3.2%	1.2%	0.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	17.7%	38.5%	26.1%	13.0%	3.7%	0.6%	0.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	15.0%	33.4%	21.7%	22.7%	2.5%	3.1%	1.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	23.9%	47.8%	19.5%	7.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	11.4%	35.7%	29.0%	17.4%	3.4%	2.2%	0.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	17.4%	27.9%	28.9%	14.1%	10.1%	1.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	11.7%	11.3%	25.1%	46.0%	2.4%	2.1%	1.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	13.9%	28.6%	24.4%	19.9%	8.3%	4.1%	0.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	13.0%	35.0%	28.4%	20.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	25.5%	47.5%	21.2%	4.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	17.7%	36.6%	23.6%	16.0%	4.3%	1.5%	0.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	15.7%	39.1%	29.4%	12.2%	1.5%	0.7%	1.4%	100.0%

Table Q7

		Q7 Where will you be in 5 years				
		Still be living in the same community you are in now	Move to another location in Kansas	Relocate to a state other than Kansas	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	39.4%	25.1%	22.5%	13.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	56.0%	27.6%	10.8%	5.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	63.3%	16.3%	11.1%	9.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	72.8%	1.9%	8.9%	16.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	43.9%	24.1%	19.7%	12.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	55.8%	16.8%	16.7%	10.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	70.9%	12.4%	5.9%	10.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	63.4%	16.2%	12.0%	8.3%	100.0%
	Female	52.1%	18.3%	15.6%	14.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	63.8%	16.4%	9.9%	9.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	34.6%	21.4%	28.0%	16.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	68.1%	11.0%	6.8%	14.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	42.9%	25.2%	20.2%	11.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	73.2%	7.1%	14.5%	5.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	35.6%	37.1%	14.7%	12.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	49.6%	19.4%	20.2%	10.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	58.8%	15.3%	16.3%	9.6%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	63.7%	19.3%	6.2%	10.9%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	57.3%	16.5%	15.3%	11.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	57.4%	19.5%	10.5%	12.6%	100.0%

Table Q8

		Q8 Rate the KS economy							Total
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	11.8%	27.2%	22.9%	21.7%	11.3%	3.3%	1.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	10.3%	23.5%	22.2%	27.5%	15.4%	1.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	2.0%	15.4%	40.0%	29.2%	9.4%	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	3.7%	18.7%	42.1%	28.5%	5.7%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	5.4%	12.8%	29.2%	28.1%	20.4%	3.3%	0.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	4.2%	22.7%	27.1%	33.9%	8.2%	3.1%	0.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	9.7%	26.8%	39.8%	18.8%	2.8%	1.8%	0.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	9.2%	33.1%	33.9%	18.1%	3.0%	2.3%	0.4%	100.0%
	Female	4.4%	10.2%	31.2%	34.1%	16.6%	2.7%	0.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	6.4%	21.3%	34.6%	24.7%	9.4%	3.0%	0.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	7.4%	20.0%	25.5%	33.4%	12.1%	1.5%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	11.9%	31.9%	35.1%	15.4%	4.8%	0.4%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	4.6%	15.6%	32.0%	33.2%	9.5%	4.4%	0.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	2.3%	15.9%	32.2%	35.8%	9.9%	3.3%	0.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	1.4%	3.6%	20.4%	22.7%	48.2%	3.7%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	5.6%	11.1%	21.7%	36.1%	17.6%	7.2%	0.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	6.4%	12.7%	34.9%	32.7%	11.8%	1.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	7.9%	39.4%	38.8%	12.0%	1.2%	0.4%	0.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	7.0%	21.7%	33.8%	25.4%	9.4%	1.9%	0.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	5.8%	19.1%	29.3%	29.4%	11.7%	4.7%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q9

		Q9 Over the last 12 months, how would you say the KS economy has changed?				
		Getting Worse	Staying the Same	Getting Better	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	32.8%	36.5%	23.8%	6.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	43.1%	34.3%	21.0%	1.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	39.1%	47.3%	11.5%	2.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	31.5%	53.7%	12.4%	2.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	43.5%	41.4%	11.1%	3.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	44.4%	36.7%	14.7%	4.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	22.9%	51.2%	24.0%	1.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	18.7%	54.0%	26.0%	1.3%	100.0%
	Female	52.1%	34.0%	8.9%	5.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	36.6%	44.3%	15.6%	3.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	34.2%	41.1%	22.3%	2.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	20.2%	52.2%	26.5%	1.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	40.8%	41.0%	13.1%	5.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	44.3%	41.8%	11.0%	2.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	79.3%	9.7%	0.0%	11.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	57.3%	29.6%	10.3%	2.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	39.2%	43.6%	13.6%	3.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	14.4%	56.7%	27.4%	1.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	36.1%	43.7%	16.4%	3.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	36.2%	42.9%	18.1%	2.8%	100.0%

Table Q10

		Q10 KS economy will seriously threaten you or your family's well-being over the next year					
		Very Concerned	Moderately Concerned	Slightly Concerned	Not Concerned at all	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	18.8%	29.9%	28.2%	19.3%	3.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	20.6%	26.1%	36.8%	16.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	23.3%	27.3%	29.2%	18.6%	1.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	11.0%	27.6%	37.7%	22.6%	1.1%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	24.8%	26.4%	27.7%	17.8%	3.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	14.4%	33.2%	34.3%	16.7%	1.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	16.8%	25.2%	34.7%	22.6%	0.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	17.1%	25.2%	30.5%	25.9%	1.4%	100.0%
	Female	19.8%	31.1%	33.7%	13.7%	1.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	18.6%	29.1%	31.8%	19.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	18.3%	23.9%	33.8%	20.4%	3.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	11.0%	27.8%	31.4%	27.6%	2.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	23.8%	23.3%	35.4%	15.3%	2.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	22.5%	32.6%	30.3%	14.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	19.7%	45.5%	20.9%	10.0%	3.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	31.8%	21.9%	30.4%	13.3%	2.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	14.8%	32.4%	31.4%	20.5%	0.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	12.0%	27.5%	35.1%	23.5%	1.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	16.3%	26.2%	34.9%	21.0%	1.5%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	24.3%	32.2%	25.4%	15.4%	2.7%	100.0%

Table Q11

		Q11 Do you feel KS is on the right track or wrong track?			
		Right Track	Wrong Track	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	47.0%	33.8%	19.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	42.7%	44.9%	12.4%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	43.0%	36.4%	20.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	46.5%	31.8%	21.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	33.7%	44.6%	21.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	40.7%	32.3%	27.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	58.6%	32.0%	9.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	59.9%	26.8%	13.4%	100.0%
	Female	31.9%	44.1%	24.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	43.4%	36.7%	19.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	50.8%	33.6%	15.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	55.8%	20.5%	23.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	38.6%	44.4%	17.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	46.2%	39.1%	14.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	14.2%	66.8%	18.9%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	30.7%	48.4%	20.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	42.1%	34.5%	23.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	61.2%	28.3%	10.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	49.4%	33.3%	17.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	34.0%	42.5%	23.5%	100.0%

Table Q12

		Q12 Rate National conomy							Total
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	DONT KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	7.9%	18.5%	11.6%	19.3%	24.4%	15.7%	2.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	11.4%	14.1%	9.8%	20.0%	26.1%	17.6%	0.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	1.8%	11.1%	20.0%	25.9%	24.4%	16.4%	0.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	1.0%	5.6%	22.4%	33.5%	25.6%	11.6%	0.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	3.0%	12.8%	17.1%	20.2%	28.4%	16.2%	2.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	2.3%	10.6%	18.2%	23.8%	25.6%	18.3%	1.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	9.2%	14.0%	14.5%	28.7%	21.9%	11.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	7.7%	18.4%	18.5%	27.8%	17.1%	9.1%	1.5%	100.0%
	Female	2.7%	7.3%	14.8%	22.3%	31.8%	20.3%	0.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	4.9%	12.3%	15.5%	25.6%	26.2%	14.6%	1.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	5.8%	13.4%	19.3%	21.9%	21.1%	16.8%	1.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	7.0%	18.9%	27.3%	26.9%	15.6%	3.3%	0.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	5.1%	9.1%	10.4%	27.5%	26.6%	19.9%	1.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	2.4%	8.2%	11.7%	19.5%	31.3%	26.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	0.0%	7.7%	1.9%	9.4%	53.9%	23.0%	4.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	3.7%	4.1%	15.9%	17.4%	30.1%	25.4%	3.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	4.1%	12.1%	14.5%	25.5%	30.4%	13.2%	0.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	7.5%	20.8%	19.2%	29.1%	15.2%	8.2%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	4.5%	11.1%	16.1%	25.7%	25.9%	16.1%	0.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	6.3%	15.9%	17.1%	22.5%	22.6%	13.1%	2.5%	100.0%

Table Q13

Q13 Over the last 12 months, how would you say the National economy has changed?

		Getting Worse	Staying the Same	Getting Better	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	49.2%	28.6%	18.0%	4.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	52.7%	13.8%	30.3%	3.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	56.9%	19.1%	21.4%	2.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	59.0%	21.0%	17.8%	2.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	57.1%	22.9%	14.6%	5.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	53.8%	23.4%	19.8%	3.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	53.3%	18.6%	27.3%	0.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	44.6%	25.2%	27.3%	2.9%	100.0%
	Female	63.2%	17.9%	15.7%	3.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	55.3%	20.2%	21.8%	2.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	51.2%	26.5%	18.6%	3.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	28.3%	30.7%	36.8%	4.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	65.0%	18.5%	14.1%	2.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	77.8%	13.1%	9.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	75.5%	9.7%	5.3%	9.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	67.2%	16.2%	11.9%	4.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	54.3%	23.1%	20.1%	2.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	43.1%	24.7%	30.3%	2.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	59.6%	19.5%	19.1%	1.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	41.8%	26.4%	25.7%	6.2%	100.0%

Table Q14

		Q14 national economy will seriously threaten you or your family's well-being over the next year					
		Very Concerned	Moderately Concerned	Slightly Concerned	Not Concerned at all	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	29.3%	38.5%	20.4%	8.5%	3.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	29.5%	29.4%	25.8%	13.6%	1.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	32.7%	27.1%	27.2%	11.1%	1.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	20.3%	27.7%	36.7%	15.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	25.3%	34.8%	27.7%	9.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	29.2%	29.6%	28.5%	11.3%	1.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	30.1%	29.5%	25.7%	14.0%	0.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	24.6%	29.3%	29.5%	15.7%	0.9%	100.0%
	Female	31.6%	32.3%	25.8%	8.3%	2.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	28.0%	31.5%	27.2%	11.8%	1.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	28.7%	29.4%	27.6%	11.8%	2.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	12.5%	30.4%	33.9%	21.5%	1.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	37.8%	28.7%	23.8%	8.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	41.1%	33.0%	23.2%	1.9%	0.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	23.6%	43.9%	20.4%	4.5%	7.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	35.7%	29.8%	27.2%	5.6%	1.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	27.7%	30.3%	27.2%	13.0%	1.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	21.6%	34.2%	27.4%	15.7%	1.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	29.3%	32.4%	26.6%	10.4%	1.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	25.6%	27.6%	28.8%	14.9%	3.1%	100.0%

Table Q15

		Q15 Do you feel Nation is on the right track or wrong track?			
		Right Track	Wrong Track	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	27.2%	60.7%	12.1%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	36.3%	56.8%	6.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	34.6%	56.5%	8.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	40.1%	51.9%	8.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	27.7%	58.9%	13.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	35.0%	55.7%	9.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	38.4%	56.3%	5.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	44.7%	51.0%	4.4%	100.0%
	Female	24.9%	61.6%	13.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	33.7%	56.8%	9.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	35.7%	56.0%	8.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	59.5%	29.3%	11.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	23.2%	68.8%	8.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	15.3%	79.1%	5.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.8%	78.7%	17.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	27.7%	60.8%	11.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	32.0%	58.7%	9.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	42.8%	51.9%	5.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	31.2%	61.6%	7.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	40.9%	44.6%	14.5%	100.0%

Table Q16_1

		Q16_1 Public entities/officials- KS Legislature						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	13.4%	32.4%	24.5%	17.7%	6.3%	5.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	15.4%	16.0%	30.8%	20.2%	11.7%	6.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	3.0%	25.7%	29.9%	21.0%	14.8%	5.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	2.4%	25.3%	37.0%	22.3%	11.5%	1.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	6.3%	22.4%	30.1%	22.7%	10.8%	7.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	4.7%	24.7%	40.4%	15.5%	9.5%	5.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	12.0%	30.6%	21.1%	22.5%	12.3%	1.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	10.5%	38.4%	24.4%	15.1%	10.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	Female	5.8%	15.2%	34.8%	25.4%	10.9%	7.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	7.6%	27.0%	28.9%	20.4%	11.5%	4.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	9.4%	23.1%	34.2%	20.2%	8.8%	4.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	11.5%	36.5%	30.4%	14.0%	3.4%	4.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	4.9%	23.2%	25.2%	27.1%	13.7%	5.9%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	9.0%	17.1%	32.9%	18.9%	20.7%	1.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.1%	6.3%	53.1%	17.2%	10.2%	10.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	5.2%	19.5%	28.8%	23.0%	15.8%	7.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	5.5%	22.6%	38.7%	18.5%	11.3%	3.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	13.4%	36.3%	21.3%	20.9%	6.4%	1.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	6.8%	29.9%	31.0%	18.4%	10.9%	3.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	10.9%	16.5%	28.0%	24.7%	11.1%	8.9%	100.0%

Table Q16_2

		Q16_2 Public entities/officials- U.S. Senator Jerry Moran						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	14.4%	17.2%	30.6%	11.7%	10.5%	15.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	9.7%	19.2%	27.9%	14.2%	13.9%	15.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	7.8%	26.5%	23.8%	16.9%	14.6%	10.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	12.0%	27.4%	25.5%	11.9%	19.7%	3.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	10.1%	20.4%	31.7%	13.4%	8.3%	16.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	11.6%	18.7%	30.8%	12.3%	12.1%	14.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	11.3%	28.6%	19.1%	15.5%	22.0%	3.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	13.7%	30.3%	23.1%	13.3%	15.0%	4.5%	100.0%
	Female	8.8%	16.3%	30.5%	14.1%	13.7%	16.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	11.6%	24.1%	25.2%	14.1%	14.5%	10.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	9.3%	18.3%	33.4%	12.7%	14.9%	11.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	21.3%	33.3%	28.6%	5.5%	2.6%	8.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	6.1%	19.9%	23.4%	18.9%	19.3%	12.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	3.2%	14.0%	21.2%	20.1%	32.8%	8.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.1%	2.3%	57.3%	11.8%	0.0%	25.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	11.2%	15.9%	22.7%	12.5%	18.5%	19.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	7.6%	20.0%	29.9%	18.0%	15.6%	8.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	15.0%	32.6%	26.8%	11.1%	10.0%	4.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	10.0%	20.9%	26.3%	15.6%	17.1%	10.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	13.6%	27.3%	28.4%	9.2%	8.1%	13.4%	100.0%

Table Q16_3

		Q16_3 Public entities/officials- U.S. Senator Roger Marshall						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	18.7%	19.6%	25.6%	11.8%	10.9%	13.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	8.7%	20.6%	27.7%	14.6%	17.6%	10.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	11.4%	21.8%	19.2%	15.4%	22.0%	10.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	15.1%	17.9%	21.3%	15.7%	27.5%	2.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	13.4%	14.5%	31.6%	16.7%	9.9%	14.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	14.2%	16.7%	26.9%	13.3%	16.8%	12.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	14.4%	27.5%	12.6%	13.3%	29.8%	2.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	18.6%	23.6%	17.0%	17.9%	18.8%	4.0%	100.0%
	Female	10.1%	17.2%	28.6%	10.9%	19.2%	14.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	14.6%	18.9%	22.2%	14.8%	20.3%	9.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	12.1%	24.8%	26.2%	12.6%	16.0%	8.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.4%	33.9%	21.8%	7.6%	4.2%	7.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	7.6%	16.5%	21.8%	19.1%	25.0%	10.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	8.1%	5.9%	18.4%	17.5%	41.5%	8.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.1%	0.0%	53.2%	14.1%	6.9%	22.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	10.8%	9.9%	24.5%	17.3%	21.5%	16.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	12.3%	20.7%	21.0%	14.8%	22.2%	9.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	19.1%	28.6%	22.7%	12.2%	14.1%	3.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	11.8%	19.3%	21.0%	17.4%	22.0%	8.5%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	19.4%	21.8%	28.1%	6.4%	12.6%	11.7%	100.0%

Table Q16_4

		Q16_4 Public entities/officials- Governor Laura Kelly						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	17.9%	24.4%	26.0%	13.3%	9.6%	8.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	13.8%	32.3%	29.4%	9.1%	9.7%	5.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	20.6%	28.7%	13.9%	12.3%	18.8%	5.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	24.8%	25.7%	14.4%	17.2%	17.1%	0.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	13.8%	22.6%	23.5%	16.2%	14.4%	9.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	16.4%	28.4%	21.0%	14.1%	15.4%	4.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	27.5%	30.7%	16.6%	10.1%	12.6%	2.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	25.3%	34.4%	15.2%	11.6%	11.6%	1.9%	100.0%
	Female	13.7%	21.4%	24.7%	15.0%	16.8%	8.4%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	20.4%	29.1%	18.1%	13.2%	14.0%	5.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	17.5%	20.1%	27.8%	13.8%	15.2%	5.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	16.5%	28.3%	13.5%	14.0%	22.0%	5.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	17.7%	25.7%	21.7%	16.5%	14.3%	4.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	34.2%	35.4%	18.6%	5.6%	1.6%	4.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	7.8%	5.4%	56.7%	10.0%	2.5%	17.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	17.2%	20.6%	22.6%	19.1%	12.3%	8.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	18.1%	25.3%	24.5%	9.7%	16.0%	6.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	24.1%	34.8%	12.6%	12.3%	14.1%	2.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	21.1%	28.7%	19.9%	11.7%	13.3%	5.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	16.1%	23.8%	20.8%	16.9%	16.3%	6.2%	100.0%

Table Q16_5

		Q16_5 Public entities/officials- President Donald Trump						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	12.7%	30.0%	14.7%	7.4%	32.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	23.8%	9.2%	8.9%	9.6%	46.6%	1.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	25.4%	23.3%	7.0%	7.5%	36.4%	0.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	31.1%	14.0%	4.0%	4.9%	44.9%	1.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	23.0%	21.1%	10.3%	8.7%	33.9%	3.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	21.4%	24.0%	11.9%	3.5%	37.9%	1.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	23.7%	17.6%	5.0%	9.2%	44.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	24.6%	22.5%	9.4%	9.2%	34.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	21.5%	19.7%	8.0%	5.6%	42.5%	2.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	25.8%	20.2%	7.8%	7.1%	37.8%	1.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	11.8%	23.3%	12.4%	7.8%	42.9%	1.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	44.4%	33.3%	6.4%	7.1%	7.4%	1.5%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	14.3%	18.8%	12.5%	8.6%	44.2%	1.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	2.2%	6.3%	3.2%	3.1%	85.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	6.2%	0.0%	18.6%	11.0%	59.4%	4.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	21.0%	13.8%	7.7%	7.2%	47.1%	3.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	21.1%	20.2%	10.4%	6.0%	41.2%	1.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	26.7%	27.3%	6.8%	8.8%	30.5%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	18.8%	17.0%	9.5%	9.7%	44.2%	0.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	32.3%	30.1%	7.3%	1.0%	26.1%	3.2%	100.0%

Table Q16_6

		Q16_6 Public entities/officials- The KS Supreme Court						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	10.1%	24.9%	31.6%	15.8%	11.7%	6.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	16.6%	20.0%	30.2%	14.9%	10.3%	8.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	5.4%	24.2%	42.5%	9.5%	8.2%	10.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	6.4%	34.9%	39.1%	7.5%	7.1%	5.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	7.8%	24.9%	32.2%	13.4%	13.7%	8.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	6.7%	25.5%	37.8%	13.1%	8.6%	8.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	11.6%	28.4%	38.9%	9.4%	6.0%	5.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	12.2%	34.3%	36.2%	7.2%	6.1%	3.9%	100.0%
	Female	6.0%	19.0%	36.4%	15.8%	12.2%	10.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	9.4%	28.4%	36.0%	11.5%	7.2%	7.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	7.1%	19.0%	38.4%	13.1%	16.8%	5.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	11.7%	39.6%	28.4%	9.4%	2.2%	8.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	7.4%	20.6%	39.0%	12.7%	13.5%	6.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	8.1%	19.0%	38.2%	13.2%	16.2%	5.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.1%	2.4%	65.4%	16.3%	4.6%	8.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	9.4%	20.0%	24.9%	17.3%	16.6%	11.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	4.6%	24.9%	46.0%	10.1%	8.8%	5.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	13.6%	32.9%	35.9%	9.9%	3.4%	4.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	9.2%	24.8%	38.7%	11.6%	9.3%	6.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	8.1%	29.8%	30.9%	12.1%	9.4%	9.6%	100.0%

Table Q16_7

		Q16_7 Public entities/officials- The United States Supreme Court						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	14.1%	19.6%	22.7%	17.0%	22.3%	4.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	16.7%	19.6%	20.7%	9.9%	27.0%	6.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	11.3%	19.7%	24.7%	16.6%	23.0%	4.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	9.7%	23.8%	18.6%	22.8%	23.7%	1.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	11.8%	15.3%	29.0%	16.1%	20.3%	7.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	6.6%	21.3%	27.0%	19.4%	21.1%	4.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	18.5%	24.5%	11.9%	16.2%	28.6%	0.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	18.3%	26.6%	17.5%	15.2%	21.1%	1.3%	100.0%
	Female	7.7%	15.4%	25.7%	19.0%	25.6%	6.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	13.6%	22.6%	20.8%	16.9%	22.3%	3.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	9.5%	13.7%	26.2%	17.8%	28.7%	4.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	23.0%	32.8%	23.6%	10.5%	5.8%	4.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	7.4%	16.4%	19.7%	22.0%	29.9%	4.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	3.8%	10.4%	15.2%	17.1%	52.0%	1.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	9.6%	4.1%	48.9%	26.4%	4.6%	6.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	9.4%	11.1%	21.0%	17.3%	32.4%	8.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	10.5%	19.3%	24.8%	17.2%	25.4%	2.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	18.4%	29.6%	19.7%	17.2%	14.7%	0.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	11.7%	19.9%	21.6%	17.8%	26.0%	3.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	15.1%	22.4%	23.0%	15.4%	17.7%	6.5%	100.0%

Table Q16_8

		Q16_8 Public entities/officials- The United States Congress in general						Total
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DONT KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	9.1%	18.7%	24.6%	21.7%	21.2%	4.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	11.2%	19.5%	23.3%	10.7%	31.9%	3.4%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	3.0%	16.4%	18.0%	17.4%	40.6%	4.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	3.0%	6.3%	13.8%	29.8%	45.2%	2.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	6.4%	14.2%	27.0%	23.2%	22.9%	6.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	3.0%	12.4%	22.6%	21.9%	35.3%	4.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	8.7%	18.3%	11.4%	17.4%	43.9%	0.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	8.9%	21.4%	19.0%	15.1%	33.8%	1.8%	100.0%
	Female	3.7%	9.8%	20.5%	25.5%	34.8%	5.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	6.4%	15.6%	18.0%	20.7%	35.5%	3.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	5.3%	14.0%	26.7%	19.7%	30.5%	3.8%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	10.4%	25.5%	18.9%	19.9%	21.5%	3.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	2.5%	10.5%	19.7%	23.5%	40.4%	3.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	4.7%	8.2%	14.0%	16.2%	55.5%	1.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	8.1%	4.1%	45.0%	17.7%	11.4%	13.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	5.1%	6.5%	18.7%	24.0%	38.3%	7.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	3.6%	12.3%	22.8%	22.6%	36.3%	2.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	10.4%	25.6%	17.9%	15.3%	29.6%	1.2%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	5.4%	14.3%	20.8%	19.2%	37.2%	3.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	8.0%	17.5%	17.5%	23.8%	28.0%	5.2%	100.0%

Table Q17

Q17 If you voted in the 2022 election for governor, did you vote for Laura Kelly

		Yes	No	Did not vote in the 2022 governor election	Don't know or prefer to not answer	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	32.2%	29.5%	35.1%	3.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	39.3%	32.8%	26.5%	1.3%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	43.5%	30.3%	20.5%	5.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	49.1%	35.3%	13.7%	1.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	24.5%	34.1%	35.5%	5.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	39.0%	33.1%	25.4%	2.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	56.8%	28.1%	13.4%	1.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	50.1%	31.1%	18.1%	0.7%	100.0%
	Female	32.0%	32.9%	29.3%	5.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	45.1%	29.5%	22.2%	3.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	25.2%	40.5%	31.3%	3.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	35.4%	44.7%	17.7%	2.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	36.9%	29.2%	30.1%	3.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	69.5%	11.0%	16.4%	3.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	10.7%	31.1%	49.8%	8.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	33.6%	24.4%	39.4%	2.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	37.8%	37.9%	20.9%	3.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	51.1%	31.4%	14.0%	3.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	43.2%	32.5%	21.9%	2.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	34.9%	29.5%	29.7%	5.8%	100.0%

Table Q18

		Q18 If you voted in 2024, who did you vote for					
		Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Neither Kamala Harris nor Donald Trump	Did not vote in the 2024 presidential election	Don't know or prefer to not answer	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	30.9%	36.5%	5.2%	25.5%	1.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	24.4%	42.9%	7.8%	23.6%	1.3%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	30.1%	46.7%	4.9%	13.9%	4.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	41.6%	48.1%	1.1%	7.4%	1.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	20.9%	39.2%	2.6%	33.2%	4.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	31.6%	43.7%	6.1%	15.7%	3.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	42.3%	47.4%	5.0%	4.9%	0.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	37.7%	46.3%	5.4%	9.9%	0.7%	100.0%
	Female	26.4%	41.7%	3.9%	23.7%	4.4%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	29.9%	46.7%	3.8%	17.2%	2.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	39.5%	32.2%	7.5%	18.6%	2.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	7.3%	80.9%	1.4%	9.3%	1.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	36.6%	30.4%	8.3%	21.1%	3.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	81.5%	6.6%	3.6%	6.7%	1.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	4.3%	8.4%	2.5%	78.0%	6.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	31.1%	26.5%	4.9%	34.9%	2.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	33.6%	47.8%	4.1%	13.5%	1.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	31.8%	53.9%	4.3%	5.9%	4.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	37.2%	39.0%	5.9%	16.6%	1.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	19.6%	54.2%	1.3%	19.2%	5.7%	100.0%

Table Q19

		Q19 Kansas government is stronger when it has diverse representation among its elected officials						
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	19.7%	41.5%	26.4%	3.0%	1.8%	7.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	36.5%	28.6%	20.1%	7.1%	5.1%	2.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	26.7%	31.2%	25.9%	7.7%	5.5%	3.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	19.4%	37.5%	32.1%	4.5%	3.2%	3.3%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	18.6%	28.4%	34.7%	8.2%	2.3%	7.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	16.0%	40.9%	28.3%	4.5%	6.0%	4.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	37.2%	36.2%	18.5%	4.0%	3.2%	0.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	28.0%	42.0%	21.2%	4.2%	3.5%	1.1%	100.0%
	Female	20.7%	29.6%	31.2%	6.8%	4.2%	7.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	25.4%	35.0%	27.0%	6.0%	3.4%	3.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	20.6%	37.1%	25.4%	3.6%	5.3%	8.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	19.2%	36.7%	30.0%	4.7%	6.8%	2.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	23.6%	35.1%	25.7%	7.8%	3.0%	4.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	40.0%	30.6%	23.2%	1.9%	0.8%	3.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	15.9%	42.0%	20.9%	6.0%	0.0%	15.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.9%	25.4%	29.9%	5.1%	5.2%	10.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	20.1%	40.7%	27.6%	7.0%	2.3%	2.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	30.3%	38.6%	21.8%	4.2%	4.5%	0.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	26.9%	35.0%	25.1%	5.1%	3.4%	4.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	18.5%	36.1%	30.0%	6.3%	4.7%	4.3%	100.0%

Table Q20_1

		Q20_1 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Jeff Colyer			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	30.1%	57.8%	12.1%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	30.5%	47.8%	21.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	39.7%	43.8%	16.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	38.5%	47.3%	14.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	26.2%	52.5%	21.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	27.9%	56.7%	15.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	49.1%	40.9%	10.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	42.6%	46.7%	10.7%	100.0%
	Female	28.2%	51.7%	20.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	35.8%	48.4%	15.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	33.1%	53.0%	13.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	43.9%	44.3%	11.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	31.4%	53.9%	14.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	34.1%	49.3%	16.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	6.2%	52.7%	41.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	18.6%	62.3%	19.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	35.9%	46.1%	17.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	48.3%	42.3%	9.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	34.3%	49.3%	16.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	37.0%	49.6%	13.4%	100.0%

Table Q20_2

		Q20_2 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Ethan Corson			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	23.5%	63.8%	12.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	18.7%	65.1%	16.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	14.4%	74.2%	11.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	9.3%	81.5%	9.3%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	16.8%	69.3%	13.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	11.2%	75.7%	13.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	20.6%	70.3%	9.2%	100.0%
Gender	Male	23.6%	68.0%	8.4%	100.0%
	Female	9.8%	74.6%	15.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	15.0%	72.5%	12.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	22.9%	66.8%	10.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	18.8%	69.6%	11.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	16.7%	70.4%	12.9%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	15.9%	74.0%	10.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.8%	80.8%	15.3%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	11.3%	74.7%	14.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	10.3%	75.7%	14.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	28.9%	63.1%	8.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	15.1%	72.6%	12.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	20.1%	68.2%	11.7%	100.0%

Table Q20_3

		Q20_3 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Joy Eakins			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	21.7%	64.7%	13.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	24.5%	63.3%	12.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	17.2%	67.9%	14.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	6.2%	83.7%	10.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	10.5%	72.9%	16.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	12.2%	74.9%	12.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	26.6%	64.3%	9.1%	100.0%
Gender	Male	24.8%	62.9%	12.4%	100.0%
	Female	10.5%	76.6%	12.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	14.5%	72.5%	13.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	27.3%	60.5%	12.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	21.3%	66.4%	12.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	15.6%	71.4%	13.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	15.6%	73.6%	10.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	5.5%	70.9%	23.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	10.4%	76.6%	13.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	14.3%	72.4%	13.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	26.8%	61.5%	11.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	17.9%	68.7%	13.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	15.2%	73.0%	11.8%	100.0%

Table Q20_4

		Q20_4 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Cindy Holscher			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	14.6%	73.7%	11.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	22.8%	63.4%	13.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	12.3%	74.2%	13.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	16.4%	72.8%	10.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	8.0%	78.6%	13.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	12.1%	73.7%	14.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	25.3%	65.5%	9.1%	100.0%
Gender	Male	17.7%	71.4%	10.9%	100.0%
	Female	13.8%	72.4%	13.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	16.0%	71.2%	12.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	15.1%	75.0%	9.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	16.6%	70.9%	12.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	15.0%	70.8%	14.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	18.3%	74.9%	6.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	5.5%	77.1%	17.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	10.7%	76.5%	12.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	15.7%	71.4%	12.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	20.3%	69.1%	10.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	17.3%	69.6%	13.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	11.6%	77.7%	10.7%	100.0%

Table Q20_5

		Q20_5 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Ty Masterson			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	29.2%	57.4%	13.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	34.1%	50.7%	15.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	24.6%	60.7%	14.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	15.4%	71.1%	13.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	17.5%	66.5%	16.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	21.1%	63.7%	15.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	35.8%	53.3%	10.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	30.8%	58.4%	10.8%	100.0%
	Female	20.6%	62.2%	17.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	25.5%	59.9%	14.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	25.5%	62.7%	11.8%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	32.6%	53.1%	14.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	20.4%	65.9%	13.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	25.8%	61.7%	12.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	10.8%	68.0%	21.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	17.7%	67.6%	14.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	19.8%	62.2%	18.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	39.5%	51.4%	9.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	24.8%	60.5%	14.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	26.6%	60.6%	12.8%	100.0%

Table Q20_6

		Q20_6 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Charlotte O'Hara			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	32.4%	56.9%	10.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	30.4%	59.7%	9.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	15.7%	69.2%	15.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	13.8%	79.7%	6.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	15.2%	70.3%	14.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	20.7%	68.3%	11.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	30.2%	62.8%	7.1%	100.0%
Gender	Male	28.6%	62.1%	9.3%	100.0%
	Female	16.4%	71.0%	12.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	21.7%	67.9%	10.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	26.6%	61.3%	12.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.5%	60.6%	11.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	20.4%	68.6%	11.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	20.7%	73.8%	5.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	12.5%	66.4%	21.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	11.3%	76.9%	11.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	19.1%	69.9%	11.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	36.6%	54.5%	9.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	23.3%	65.6%	11.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	20.9%	68.6%	10.5%	100.0%

Table Q20_7

		Q20_7 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Stacy Rogers			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	30.0%	59.8%	10.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	25.4%	59.8%	14.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	15.3%	69.3%	15.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	8.5%	82.7%	8.8%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	16.8%	67.6%	15.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	13.7%	76.2%	10.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	26.9%	62.7%	10.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	23.6%	65.8%	10.6%	100.0%
	Female	16.0%	70.0%	14.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	17.4%	70.4%	12.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	28.7%	59.9%	11.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	22.3%	66.1%	11.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	20.5%	65.7%	13.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	17.8%	75.7%	6.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.8%	73.3%	22.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	14.7%	71.8%	13.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	18.5%	71.0%	10.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	26.2%	61.2%	12.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	21.8%	65.2%	13.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	14.5%	75.4%	10.1%	100.0%

Table Q20_8

		Q20_8 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Philip Sarnecki			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	19.9%	64.6%	15.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	22.2%	63.9%	13.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	10.8%	73.5%	15.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	7.3%	79.6%	13.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	10.5%	70.9%	18.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	12.9%	75.6%	11.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	19.4%	67.1%	13.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	19.8%	67.5%	12.7%	100.0%
	Female	9.8%	73.2%	17.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	12.6%	72.9%	14.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	22.3%	62.7%	15.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	19.7%	67.5%	12.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	13.1%	70.5%	16.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	11.3%	75.4%	13.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	1.4%	78.1%	20.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	10.1%	74.1%	15.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	11.9%	73.1%	14.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	22.0%	64.6%	13.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	15.1%	69.6%	15.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	13.2%	73.5%	13.3%	100.0%

Table Q20_9

		Q20_9 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Vicki Schmidt			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	26.2%	58.1%	15.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	28.3%	58.9%	12.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	27.6%	58.1%	14.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	15.5%	70.1%	14.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	19.5%	66.0%	14.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	21.0%	63.3%	15.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	31.8%	55.5%	12.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	26.9%	62.6%	10.5%	100.0%
	Female	22.4%	59.0%	18.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	24.4%	60.4%	15.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	24.9%	63.7%	11.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	34.0%	51.3%	14.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	19.0%	66.5%	14.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	21.2%	65.6%	13.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	10.6%	72.0%	17.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	16.5%	68.2%	15.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	21.0%	64.8%	14.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	36.4%	50.1%	13.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	23.3%	61.7%	15.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	27.2%	59.4%	13.4%	100.0%

Table Q20_10

		Q20_10 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Scott Schwab			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	28.7%	60.6%	10.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	33.2%	54.2%	12.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	26.9%	58.0%	15.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	29.4%	60.0%	10.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	19.9%	66.6%	13.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	24.6%	61.4%	14.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	40.5%	50.3%	9.2%	100.0%
Gender	Male	33.9%	55.4%	10.7%	100.0%
	Female	24.4%	61.6%	14.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	29.5%	57.6%	13.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	28.3%	62.4%	9.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	40.4%	47.5%	12.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	23.9%	62.5%	13.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	25.6%	66.1%	8.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.1%	79.5%	17.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	16.2%	69.3%	14.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	25.2%	62.9%	11.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	46.0%	44.5%	9.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	29.1%	57.6%	13.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	29.1%	61.0%	9.9%	100.0%

Table Q20_11

		Q20_11 Recognize Gubernatorial candidate_Marty Tuley			
		Yes, I have heard things about this candidate.	No, I have Not heard anything about this candidate.	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	24.7%	60.5%	14.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	28.3%	58.6%	13.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	8.2%	78.2%	13.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	7.5%	84.1%	8.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	13.2%	74.3%	12.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	10.2%	76.7%	13.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	23.7%	64.9%	11.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	23.7%	65.0%	11.2%	100.0%
	Female	9.0%	76.9%	14.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	14.8%	72.5%	12.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	21.8%	66.3%	11.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	16.9%	70.6%	12.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	13.3%	71.3%	15.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	24.8%	70.1%	5.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	4.7%	76.5%	18.9%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	14.7%	72.5%	12.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	10.1%	77.1%	12.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	24.9%	63.3%	11.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	19.0%	67.1%	13.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	9.3%	80.9%	9.8%	100.0%

Table Q21_1

		Q21_1 Impression_Jeff Colyer				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	55.3%	37.4%	7.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	70.4%	17.3%	12.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	40.2%	34.2%	14.5%	11.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	26.6%	31.1%	35.7%	6.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	48.0%	41.8%	10.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	34.2%	51.2%	8.2%	6.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	48.4%	17.9%	26.2%	7.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	54.2%	27.1%	14.3%	4.5%	100.0%
	Female	32.9%	38.0%	22.0%	7.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	48.2%	28.6%	17.5%	5.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	31.4%	44.4%	19.5%	4.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	65.0%	25.9%	7.5%	1.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	32.4%	34.4%	22.4%	10.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	18.2%	39.3%	36.8%	5.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	23.2%	76.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	40.1%	31.4%	21.4%	7.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	31.6%	41.7%	18.4%	8.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	58.7%	22.5%	17.1%	1.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	43.9%	32.4%	17.9%	5.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	46.9%	30.3%	17.7%	5.1%	100.0%

Table Q21_2

		Q21_2 Impression_Ethan Corson				
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	38.3%	59.0%	0.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	71.6%	26.0%	2.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	25.8%	52.0%	22.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	22.8%	55.8%	21.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	33.1%	54.0%	9.4%	3.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	44.8%	41.0%	14.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	41.0%	52.2%	6.8%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	47.5%	43.9%	8.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	23.1%	62.6%	10.6%	3.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	44.2%	44.8%	11.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	26.9%	64.8%	4.5%	3.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	50.3%	32.1%	17.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	24.2%	68.5%	4.4%	2.9%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	46.3%	53.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	37.6%	62.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	31.5%	55.7%	6.8%	6.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	25.3%	48.9%	25.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	46.6%	50.1%	3.3%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	34.6%	55.1%	8.6%	1.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	47.7%	42.4%	9.9%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q21_3

		Q21_3 Impression_Joy Eakins				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	51.0%	31.9%	10.3%	6.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	70.1%	28.1%	1.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	41.3%	41.6%	17.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	66.6%	0.0%	33.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	66.0%	8.5%	12.1%	13.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	41.3%	44.3%	14.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	53.6%	34.5%	11.9%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	56.8%	28.5%	11.0%	3.7%	100.0%
	Female	47.7%	37.1%	15.2%	0.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	54.9%	38.0%	5.4%	1.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	52.1%	17.8%	26.1%	4.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	57.6%	35.5%	7.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	47.9%	29.8%	15.2%	7.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	59.9%	17.9%	22.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	26.3%	73.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	48.5%	27.0%	9.3%	15.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	31.8%	54.1%	14.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	68.0%	19.6%	12.5%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	56.6%	31.5%	8.5%	3.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	46.1%	30.4%	23.5%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q21_4

		Q21_4 Impression_Cindy Holscher				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	51.0%	43.3%	5.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	37.8%	51.4%	10.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	55.9%	29.1%	12.8%	2.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	25.7%	48.2%	16.4%	9.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	51.5%	48.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	43.2%	25.4%	26.4%	5.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	39.6%	49.3%	8.2%	2.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	49.6%	36.8%	11.6%	2.0%	100.0%
	Female	35.5%	49.3%	11.2%	4.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	44.5%	41.3%	10.5%	3.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	35.4%	50.0%	14.5%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	42.9%	32.0%	21.7%	3.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	33.3%	60.7%	4.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	57.4%	32.5%	4.4%	5.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	56.5%	43.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	35.1%	50.8%	7.2%	6.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	44.4%	32.8%	18.4%	4.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	44.6%	48.2%	7.3%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	44.3%	40.3%	11.7%	3.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	36.6%	53.5%	9.8%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q21_5

		Q21_5 Impression_Ty Masterson				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	32.0%	60.4%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	58.7%	19.2%	13.1%	8.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	31.5%	32.1%	27.3%	9.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	22.9%	29.9%	41.6%	5.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	19.2%	65.9%	11.6%	3.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	42.7%	37.2%	14.3%	5.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	40.0%	28.2%	25.6%	6.2%	100.0%
Gender	Male	36.6%	41.2%	18.5%	3.7%	100.0%
	Female	36.7%	33.9%	21.4%	8.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	35.6%	41.5%	15.9%	6.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	39.5%	27.4%	33.0%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	52.0%	42.5%	3.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	29.7%	41.2%	20.7%	8.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	11.3%	17.8%	60.2%	10.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	13.4%	86.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	22.1%	50.1%	19.9%	7.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	31.8%	33.6%	25.7%	8.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	44.0%	37.2%	16.1%	2.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	32.7%	39.2%	21.0%	7.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	45.1%	37.1%	16.1%	1.7%	100.0%

Table Q21_6

		Q21_6 Impression_Charlotte O'Hara				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	31.3%	54.0%	9.2%	5.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	54.4%	30.5%	11.4%	3.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	41.0%	28.5%	27.6%	2.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	24.7%	26.4%	22.6%	26.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	38.5%	44.8%	10.0%	6.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	32.8%	45.2%	10.3%	11.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	40.7%	34.7%	19.7%	5.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	37.7%	41.2%	16.0%	5.1%	100.0%
	Female	39.8%	36.1%	15.8%	8.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	40.9%	37.0%	13.8%	8.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	27.0%	46.8%	20.5%	5.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	47.3%	35.5%	12.8%	4.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	35.3%	44.5%	12.9%	7.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	11.9%	41.0%	31.0%	16.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	57.9%	30.4%	0.0%	11.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	36.9%	52.7%	10.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	29.5%	39.2%	12.5%	18.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	41.1%	37.3%	19.0%	2.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	37.9%	35.1%	17.1%	9.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	36.3%	51.3%	11.0%	1.4%	100.0%

Table Q21_7

		Q21_7 Impression of Stacy Rogers				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	34.0%	54.1%	10.5%	1.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	37.7%	48.2%	14.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	35.7%	44.8%	18.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	57.3%	13.4%	16.0%	13.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equivalent or Some HS	37.1%	43.5%	19.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	35.6%	39.3%	16.0%	9.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	39.6%	50.7%	9.0%	0.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	44.8%	48.3%	5.8%	1.1%	100.0%
	Female	28.9%	42.2%	24.8%	4.1%	100.0%
White or Not	Identify as white	39.1%	45.8%	12.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	34.1%	48.0%	15.9%	2.0%	100.0%
Political Orientation	Identify Republican	53.8%	41.7%	4.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	24.0%	56.9%	13.4%	5.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	32.6%	31.9%	35.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	0.0%	62.4%	37.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Household Income	Less than \$35,000	56.7%	33.1%	10.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	29.3%	49.8%	16.0%	4.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	35.7%	51.0%	11.9%	1.4%	100.0%
Metro Level	Metro	34.1%	50.0%	12.9%	2.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	50.4%	33.4%	16.2%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q21_8

		Q21_8 Impression_Philip Sarnecki			
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	43.2%	30.9%	25.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	40.5%	59.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	26.9%	60.6%	12.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	39.4%	42.0%	18.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	23.4%	51.5%	25.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	36.3%	42.6%	21.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	45.7%	46.2%	8.1%	100.0%
Gender	Male	44.9%	45.6%	9.5%	100.0%
	Female	27.0%	46.0%	26.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	46.6%	48.9%	4.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	21.1%	40.3%	38.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	61.2%	35.2%	3.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	15.9%	55.8%	28.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	11.9%	62.2%	25.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	28.6%	52.9%	18.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	32.1%	45.1%	22.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	45.2%	44.7%	10.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	40.1%	47.2%	12.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	33.6%	43.1%	23.2%	100.0%

Table Q21_9

		Q21_9 Impression_Vicki Schmidt				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	60.4%	33.9%	5.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	41.8%	27.8%	24.9%	5.5%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	37.7%	46.3%	12.1%	3.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	41.2%	27.0%	26.3%	5.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	47.2%	38.7%	10.8%	3.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	35.8%	47.6%	12.7%	3.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	51.9%	28.1%	17.2%	2.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	55.3%	35.3%	9.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	36.7%	35.7%	20.7%	6.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	44.8%	39.9%	11.7%	3.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	52.0%	22.1%	25.9%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	60.0%	34.1%	4.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	38.3%	39.4%	22.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	15.4%	38.4%	33.9%	12.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	52.6%	15.8%	13.7%	18.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	38.1%	46.0%	9.1%	6.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	39.7%	38.3%	15.8%	6.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	53.7%	30.9%	15.4%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	44.8%	33.9%	17.7%	3.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	49.0%	40.3%	8.2%	2.5%	100.0%

Table Q21_10

		Q21_10 Impression_Scott Schwab				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	44.8%	42.1%	12.1%	1.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	39.8%	41.5%	15.3%	3.4%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	46.7%	36.1%	13.0%	4.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	35.9%	25.4%	28.7%	10.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	43.6%	38.3%	18.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	35.7%	44.5%	9.5%	10.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	45.8%	30.6%	20.7%	2.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	45.2%	36.9%	15.2%	2.6%	100.0%
	Female	40.0%	34.7%	18.3%	7.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	46.4%	35.0%	13.0%	5.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	26.2%	41.5%	32.3%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	64.4%	32.0%	0.0%	3.5%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	23.9%	46.8%	23.7%	5.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	8.1%	30.2%	58.0%	3.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	53.5%	0.0%	0.0%	46.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	37.2%	31.8%	31.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	41.8%	38.7%	14.7%	4.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	44.3%	36.4%	14.2%	5.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	40.7%	34.7%	19.5%	5.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	46.2%	40.2%	10.7%	2.9%	100.0%

Table Q21_11

		Q21_11 Impression_Marty Tuley				Total
		Generally positive	Generally neutral	Generally negative	Not sure	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	38.6%	52.4%	6.4%	2.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	25.9%	56.9%	17.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	36.5%	49.4%	14.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	52.8%	20.6%	26.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	41.1%	51.0%	3.4%	4.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	38.6%	31.7%	29.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	33.6%	54.9%	11.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	41.2%	44.0%	14.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	26.3%	60.5%	9.2%	4.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	30.1%	56.6%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	51.4%	32.7%	11.9%	4.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	38.2%	39.9%	21.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	22.7%	65.6%	8.2%	3.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	50.9%	42.4%	6.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	28.7%	52.7%	14.0%	4.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	30.9%	54.1%	15.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	42.1%	46.2%	11.7%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	39.4%	47.9%	11.4%	1.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	19.8%	59.6%	20.6%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q22

Q22 Medicaid expansion is a current political issue with the KS Legislature. How much do you think about this issue

		A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	Not at all	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	13.4%	36.9%	30.4%	9.1%	10.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	30.8%	23.0%	24.5%	9.3%	12.3%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	24.8%	21.3%	26.5%	18.6%	8.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	5.4%	13.8%	39.7%	29.2%	11.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	14.3%	27.3%	36.3%	11.8%	10.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	16.2%	24.5%	29.0%	22.0%	8.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	22.5%	22.3%	26.0%	16.7%	12.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	18.3%	23.0%	33.8%	14.7%	10.1%	100.0%
	Female	17.2%	25.8%	27.3%	19.0%	10.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	17.7%	23.8%	29.2%	18.1%	11.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	18.9%	26.2%	34.9%	12.4%	7.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	14.1%	18.1%	33.5%	19.5%	14.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	18.2%	26.5%	26.4%	16.6%	12.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	24.1%	29.7%	30.9%	14.7%	0.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	18.9%	34.0%	36.9%	7.3%	2.9%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	22.1%	16.4%	35.4%	17.6%	8.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	14.6%	27.5%	29.1%	16.8%	11.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	18.7%	27.3%	28.0%	15.9%	10.2%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	19.1%	22.4%	31.7%	17.2%	9.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	14.8%	29.4%	27.3%	15.6%	12.9%	100.0%

Table Q23_1

		Q23_1 Medicaid Expansion- Expanding Medicaid would help rural KS hospitals remain in business						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	40.0%	32.3%	12.0%	5.9%	3.3%	6.5%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	45.8%	37.7%	10.0%	2.8%	3.1%	0.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	37.0%	35.5%	12.7%	8.1%	4.8%	2.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	40.2%	32.9%	11.2%	4.3%	4.2%	7.3%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	33.0%	39.4%	10.6%	4.1%	6.3%	6.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	37.6%	34.6%	14.4%	7.0%	2.6%	3.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	48.0%	29.7%	10.3%	6.0%	3.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	42.2%	31.9%	13.3%	6.7%	3.9%	2.1%	100.0%
	Female	37.6%	37.1%	10.5%	4.9%	4.1%	5.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	42.0%	34.2%	11.4%	4.3%	3.8%	4.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	32.8%	35.0%	13.1%	10.9%	4.6%	3.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.7%	39.5%	17.2%	5.0%	5.4%	5.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	42.0%	31.3%	9.5%	7.5%	5.0%	4.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	61.9%	27.4%	3.6%	5.1%	0.0%	1.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	36.8%	42.9%	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	48.3%	25.1%	9.9%	3.5%	5.9%	7.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	38.4%	37.1%	11.7%	7.8%	0.5%	4.6%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	35.4%	38.4%	13.5%	5.7%	5.8%	1.2%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	40.6%	34.7%	10.8%	6.1%	2.9%	4.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	38.7%	33.3%	13.8%	4.7%	6.4%	3.1%	100.0%

Table Q23_2

Q23_2 Medicaid Expansion- The Kansans who might obtain health insurance from expanding Medicaid deserve this benefit from the State

		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	41.6%	33.8%	14.3%	3.6%	2.1%	4.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	51.9%	19.9%	17.4%	3.7%	7.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	43.5%	28.4%	16.4%	4.9%	5.2%	1.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	36.7%	32.4%	19.1%	5.2%	0.9%	5.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	38.7%	35.3%	14.1%	4.2%	2.7%	5.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	41.3%	24.2%	22.5%	5.2%	4.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	47.2%	29.7%	13.4%	4.0%	3.9%	1.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	41.3%	34.2%	13.8%	4.2%	4.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	Female	43.8%	25.4%	19.6%	4.7%	2.5%	3.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	43.2%	29.3%	15.7%	4.1%	4.1%	3.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	40.5%	30.6%	20.3%	5.6%	1.5%	1.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.9%	35.1%	19.6%	5.5%	6.6%	5.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	48.8%	30.0%	11.9%	3.5%	2.9%	2.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	61.9%	21.4%	14.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	35.1%	16.5%	36.7%	10.2%	0.0%	1.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	56.5%	22.5%	9.0%	4.4%	2.3%	5.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	40.5%	25.9%	22.0%	4.3%	3.6%	3.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	33.9%	39.6%	17.2%	4.6%	4.2%	0.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	43.6%	27.3%	17.5%	4.6%	3.1%	4.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	40.7%	35.1%	14.4%	4.0%	4.8%	1.1%	100.0%

Table Q23_3

		Q23_3 Medicaid Expansion- I support expanding Medicaid in KS						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	49.9%	22.9%	17.4%	2.1%	3.1%	4.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	48.2%	19.8%	20.3%	5.6%	4.5%	1.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	47.7%	23.2%	13.1%	6.3%	7.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	37.3%	30.3%	17.5%	10.0%	1.9%	3.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	38.3%	29.8%	19.0%	5.2%	2.4%	5.2%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	47.9%	19.6%	19.4%	6.7%	3.5%	3.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	50.8%	23.9%	11.9%	5.6%	6.5%	1.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	45.9%	26.3%	13.2%	5.5%	6.3%	2.8%	100.0%
	Female	45.9%	22.3%	20.1%	6.3%	2.4%	3.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	45.9%	25.7%	15.1%	6.0%	4.3%	3.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	45.5%	19.0%	22.4%	5.4%	4.1%	3.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	29.8%	31.0%	17.6%	9.5%	7.7%	4.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	50.2%	20.6%	18.3%	4.0%	3.6%	3.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	71.4%	21.6%	5.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	39.2%	14.2%	33.5%	10.2%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	55.6%	20.5%	10.6%	4.5%	3.4%	5.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	44.9%	24.0%	20.5%	4.5%	3.1%	3.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	39.5%	27.6%	17.0%	8.8%	5.9%	1.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	47.1%	24.1%	15.5%	6.0%	4.5%	2.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	43.1%	24.4%	19.4%	5.4%	3.6%	4.1%	100.0%

Table Q24

		Q24 The importance of Medicaid expansion in KS when voting					
		Extremely Important	Highly Important	Slightly Important	Not Important at All	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	22.7%	43.3%	25.9%	2.8%	5.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	39.1%	24.9%	26.7%	7.1%	2.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	26.1%	40.9%	22.2%	8.0%	2.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	17.0%	26.6%	41.6%	10.5%	4.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equivalent or Some HS	23.4%	36.9%	33.3%	0.4%	6.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	22.7%	36.7%	27.9%	8.3%	4.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	28.3%	34.2%	25.3%	11.3%	0.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	23.3%	37.3%	29.9%	7.3%	2.2%	100.0%
	Female	26.8%	34.1%	27.7%	6.7%	4.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	23.2%	36.3%	29.4%	7.1%	4.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	31.1%	33.6%	26.3%	6.4%	2.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	15.5%	34.9%	34.1%	10.5%	5.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	27.6%	36.3%	25.8%	6.8%	3.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	39.5%	35.9%	22.4%	2.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	23.7%	34.9%	32.2%	0.0%	9.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	35.1%	36.2%	20.9%	4.1%	3.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	23.3%	29.6%	33.5%	7.5%	6.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	19.0%	42.7%	28.6%	9.1%	0.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	26.7%	35.4%	27.9%	6.7%	3.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	21.0%	36.1%	30.4%	7.4%	5.1%	100.0%

Table Q25_1

		Q25_1 Revenue sources- Increasing taxes on cigarettes and cigars						Total
		Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neutral	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	27.2%	29.4%	18.8%	11.2%	12.1%	1.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	29.7%	41.2%	11.0%	5.4%	12.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	30.9%	30.4%	17.3%	9.6%	10.7%	1.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	33.0%	37.4%	17.2%	7.2%	4.1%	1.1%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	17.3%	40.4%	13.5%	11.6%	15.0%	2.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	31.7%	28.7%	20.3%	8.5%	10.6%	0.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	39.8%	32.5%	16.2%	6.2%	4.9%	0.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	29.5%	33.0%	20.0%	9.0%	7.8%	0.7%	100.0%
	Female	30.4%	34.6%	13.5%	8.4%	11.8%	1.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	32.7%	34.2%	15.1%	8.2%	8.8%	1.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	20.0%	32.0%	22.1%	11.2%	14.2%	0.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	29.4%	31.6%	17.5%	10.3%	9.2%	1.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	28.3%	32.6%	16.8%	8.7%	13.0%	0.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	39.7%	36.2%	13.2%	5.0%	5.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	16.2%	43.3%	21.4%	11.5%	6.3%	1.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	20.7%	22.0%	21.3%	16.2%	17.8%	2.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	34.1%	34.1%	15.9%	6.1%	8.9%	0.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	33.2%	43.3%	14.2%	5.5%	3.7%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	30.9%	33.8%	17.4%	8.6%	8.9%	0.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	28.1%	32.8%	15.0%	9.3%	12.4%	2.4%	100.0%

Table Q25_2

		Q25_2 Revenue sources- Increasing taxes on alcohol						Total
		Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neutral	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	35.4%	21.5%	21.4%	8.6%	12.2%	1.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	39.8%	25.3%	15.9%	8.6%	9.8%	0.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	31.3%	29.9%	12.9%	16.2%	9.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	30.1%	42.3%	13.6%	5.6%	6.9%	1.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	30.2%	30.2%	14.1%	9.2%	14.9%	1.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	33.7%	27.2%	20.5%	8.9%	9.4%	0.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	36.8%	31.6%	14.1%	12.1%	4.9%	0.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	29.9%	29.6%	16.6%	13.3%	9.9%	0.7%	100.0%
	Female	36.9%	30.0%	15.4%	7.1%	9.7%	0.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	33.7%	29.3%	16.7%	10.3%	9.5%	0.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	32.9%	31.5%	14.0%	9.9%	10.5%	1.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.9%	28.4%	19.0%	13.8%	11.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	38.4%	29.7%	14.1%	6.8%	11.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	35.5%	33.0%	14.5%	11.7%	5.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	44.7%	25.3%	15.4%	4.8%	6.6%	3.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	31.2%	24.7%	14.8%	11.3%	15.4%	2.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	35.9%	30.8%	18.2%	6.9%	8.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	33.6%	33.3%	14.3%	12.9%	5.9%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	34.3%	31.0%	15.6%	9.9%	8.8%	0.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	32.0%	26.1%	17.0%	10.8%	12.4%	1.7%	100.0%

Table Q25_3

Q25_3 Revenue sources- Legalizing recreational marijuana for individuals 21 and older to allow taxation by the State of KS

		Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neutral	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	46.1%	21.3%	15.9%	7.2%	9.2%	0.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	53.9%	19.2%	13.7%	7.1%	5.2%	0.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	43.9%	22.0%	15.1%	7.0%	10.3%	1.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	27.7%	26.3%	15.4%	5.8%	24.2%	0.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	47.5%	18.3%	14.8%	9.5%	9.5%	0.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	46.1%	17.9%	16.4%	4.3%	15.0%	0.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	35.2%	30.0%	14.2%	6.7%	12.3%	1.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	41.1%	25.1%	16.9%	6.5%	9.6%	0.7%	100.0%
	Female	42.4%	20.3%	13.9%	7.0%	15.4%	1.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	41.9%	23.9%	13.8%	6.7%	12.7%	0.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	44.1%	17.2%	20.5%	7.1%	11.1%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	28.5%	26.6%	14.4%	8.4%	21.8%	0.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	48.1%	23.9%	14.8%	5.6%	6.4%	1.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	53.6%	17.0%	14.5%	6.2%	8.5%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	56.7%	2.1%	25.4%	6.5%	5.9%	3.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	48.5%	13.2%	16.0%	8.0%	12.7%	1.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	50.5%	18.6%	15.0%	6.0%	9.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	28.6%	34.5%	15.2%	6.2%	14.9%	0.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	46.3%	21.4%	15.6%	5.3%	10.9%	0.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	32.6%	24.7%	14.1%	10.4%	16.1%	2.0%	100.0%

Table Q26

		Q26 Vote for a candidate who supports medical marijuana legalization?						Total
		Highly Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither more nor less likely	Somewhat Unlikely	Highly Unlikely	DONT KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	36.7%	31.7%	15.6%	7.1%	5.8%	3.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	44.3%	27.8%	13.0%	6.1%	7.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	40.9%	26.9%	15.4%	6.3%	9.7%	0.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	22.7%	31.2%	21.7%	5.9%	15.7%	2.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	37.7%	30.7%	14.7%	6.9%	8.0%	1.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	38.8%	27.1%	18.0%	5.4%	8.9%	1.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	32.2%	31.1%	16.8%	6.9%	11.4%	1.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	34.5%	32.6%	16.8%	5.9%	8.0%	2.2%	100.0%
	Female	36.6%	27.4%	15.9%	7.0%	11.3%	1.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	37.0%	30.6%	15.1%	6.0%	9.5%	1.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	32.3%	26.4%	21.9%	8.1%	10.1%	1.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.4%	34.3%	13.2%	6.3%	16.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	37.6%	28.8%	19.5%	7.1%	5.6%	1.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	41.7%	27.9%	16.6%	6.4%	6.1%	1.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	60.9%	9.0%	18.3%	2.3%	1.4%	8.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	41.2%	22.0%	16.4%	8.6%	9.6%	2.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	41.2%	26.9%	17.5%	4.0%	9.1%	1.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	26.9%	38.7%	14.3%	7.4%	10.6%	2.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	37.2%	27.7%	19.3%	6.8%	7.5%	1.5%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	32.7%	34.0%	9.7%	5.4%	14.8%	3.3%	100.0%

Table Q27

		Q27 Support or oppose legalization of medical marijuana in general						Total
		Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neutral	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	DONT KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	43.2%	25.0%	16.4%	6.5%	7.8%	1.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	55.7%	20.9%	9.7%	1.8%	10.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	47.5%	25.6%	13.8%	6.1%	5.8%	1.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	30.0%	35.4%	16.1%	6.3%	12.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	49.7%	22.4%	17.6%	2.8%	6.7%	0.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	46.2%	23.5%	12.8%	7.0%	9.5%	1.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	36.2%	34.3%	12.5%	6.7%	9.7%	0.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	39.8%	30.7%	14.1%	5.9%	9.0%	0.4%	100.0%
	Female	46.1%	24.1%	14.5%	5.3%	8.5%	1.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	44.7%	27.0%	12.3%	5.8%	9.1%	1.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	38.7%	27.7%	21.6%	4.9%	7.0%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	29.6%	29.8%	17.1%	5.5%	16.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	50.1%	28.0%	9.9%	7.4%	3.7%	1.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	50.5%	24.3%	17.2%	2.8%	5.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	64.6%	10.8%	19.4%	2.3%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	53.9%	19.4%	9.3%	6.4%	9.4%	1.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	48.7%	22.3%	19.1%	3.6%	5.9%	0.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	29.0%	39.2%	12.7%	7.2%	11.3%	0.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	44.4%	26.8%	14.7%	6.0%	7.7%	0.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	41.0%	27.5%	13.8%	4.5%	11.0%	2.2%	100.0%

Table Q28

		Q28 Support or oppose legalization of recreational marijuana in general						Total
		Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neutral	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	40.3%	22.8%	17.4%	5.7%	12.9%	0.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	49.4%	17.7%	15.1%	2.2%	15.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	41.9%	19.7%	16.1%	6.8%	14.0%	1.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	19.6%	24.4%	13.8%	11.4%	30.2%	0.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	46.1%	22.0%	13.3%	7.4%	10.8%	0.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	38.6%	17.9%	18.4%	5.7%	18.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	29.7%	23.9%	14.8%	7.2%	23.4%	1.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	38.8%	22.7%	14.4%	7.7%	16.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	35.4%	20.4%	16.9%	6.1%	19.2%	1.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	38.2%	21.7%	15.1%	6.4%	17.6%	1.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	35.5%	21.0%	17.0%	8.4%	18.1%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.6%	23.3%	13.9%	5.8%	31.0%	0.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	41.5%	22.5%	16.4%	7.1%	11.3%	1.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	45.5%	18.2%	16.7%	10.3%	8.4%	0.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	59.2%	12.2%	20.6%	0.0%	4.7%	3.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	46.1%	16.6%	12.6%	8.4%	15.0%	1.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	44.3%	20.0%	16.1%	4.0%	15.2%	0.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	24.2%	27.8%	15.8%	8.4%	23.3%	0.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	39.7%	18.5%	16.7%	8.4%	15.5%	1.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	31.6%	28.5%	13.4%	2.8%	22.9%	0.7%	100.0%

Table Q29_1

Q29_1 Abortion- The KS government should not place any regulations on the circumstances under which women can get abortions

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	41.8%	22.9%	13.7%	11.4%	7.1%	3.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	45.3%	20.6%	11.3%	7.7%	12.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	34.2%	18.4%	19.0%	10.5%	17.0%	0.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	35.9%	21.4%	8.8%	12.6%	19.9%	1.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	42.7%	12.9%	13.5%	14.8%	14.3%	1.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	34.4%	26.4%	12.4%	9.9%	14.2%	2.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	39.6%	23.0%	15.1%	7.9%	14.0%	0.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	40.6%	20.9%	16.4%	9.3%	11.7%	1.1%	100.0%
	Female	36.4%	20.6%	11.6%	12.1%	16.5%	2.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	40.1%	20.9%	12.6%	10.7%	13.8%	1.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	33.3%	20.9%	18.4%	11.3%	15.2%	0.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	23.6%	18.2%	17.1%	14.4%	25.6%	1.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	36.1%	27.9%	13.7%	10.9%	9.5%	1.9%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	66.8%	16.9%	6.4%	5.1%	4.6%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	63.4%	1.8%	16.4%	5.3%	1.4%	11.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	47.8%	14.5%	8.3%	12.5%	12.8%	4.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	40.9%	18.4%	18.9%	8.1%	12.8%	1.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	28.8%	29.0%	12.9%	12.6%	16.2%	0.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	39.2%	22.1%	14.6%	10.8%	11.8%	1.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	37.4%	17.6%	11.8%	10.9%	19.6%	2.7%	100.0%

Table Q29_2

Q29_2 Abortion- When it comes to abortion, women are in a better position than politicians to make their own choices about whether to get an abortion

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	44.0%	28.8%	13.5%	6.6%	4.4%	2.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	53.2%	23.1%	7.4%	7.1%	7.4%	1.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	40.7%	32.0%	12.3%	2.3%	12.4%	0.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	40.3%	27.4%	17.1%	6.0%	6.3%	2.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	37.7%	28.8%	18.8%	5.8%	6.6%	2.2%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	45.5%	27.5%	11.0%	5.6%	7.8%	2.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	47.7%	29.1%	9.6%	4.4%	8.8%	0.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	38.7%	36.0%	11.7%	5.9%	6.3%	1.5%	100.0%
	Female	47.9%	21.6%	14.1%	4.7%	9.3%	2.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	45.2%	27.5%	11.8%	5.4%	8.1%	2.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	37.9%	32.8%	17.8%	4.8%	6.6%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	22.7%	34.5%	14.5%	9.5%	16.9%	1.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	48.9%	30.1%	12.1%	3.9%	3.5%	1.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	67.8%	18.5%	12.3%	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	65.3%	11.2%	11.1%	2.3%	0.0%	10.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	52.4%	19.5%	11.3%	4.5%	8.9%	3.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	44.8%	25.5%	16.4%	5.3%	6.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	34.8%	39.8%	10.2%	6.1%	8.1%	1.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	46.1%	27.9%	12.8%	5.0%	6.4%	1.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	37.6%	30.1%	13.4%	5.9%	11.2%	1.9%	100.0%

Table Q29_3

Q29_3 Abortion- If the KS government were to ban all or most abortions, it should also make it illegal for KS women to travel outside of the state to get abortions in other states where abortion is still legal

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	20.1%	22.8%	10.3%	7.8%	35.8%	3.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	24.4%	10.2%	25.7%	8.3%	30.6%	0.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	15.4%	7.4%	22.6%	12.7%	41.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	6.8%	12.8%	13.1%	11.9%	50.5%	4.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	13.6%	17.9%	20.0%	10.1%	36.3%	2.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	19.2%	11.5%	14.7%	12.8%	37.9%	3.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	16.1%	11.7%	17.4%	8.4%	46.0%	0.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	18.2%	15.8%	18.6%	9.5%	36.1%	1.8%	100.0%
	Female	14.4%	12.0%	16.0%	10.7%	44.0%	2.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	15.7%	13.0%	19.1%	10.5%	40.0%	1.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	18.5%	16.5%	10.8%	10.0%	41.4%	2.8%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	24.4%	17.9%	19.8%	11.9%	23.9%	2.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	10.8%	13.9%	16.5%	11.4%	45.3%	2.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	13.9%	7.4%	8.1%	6.5%	63.0%	1.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	8.1%	5.3%	34.7%	5.5%	38.8%	7.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	14.0%	11.1%	12.0%	5.2%	54.2%	3.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	14.1%	11.2%	23.3%	12.3%	37.6%	1.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	21.3%	19.2%	14.1%	13.0%	31.4%	1.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	14.7%	13.3%	17.8%	8.9%	43.3%	2.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	20.1%	14.5%	15.9%	13.8%	32.8%	2.9%	100.0%

Table Q30

Q30 If the KS government were to ban all or most abortions, how willing would you personally be to contact authorities to report a woman who had an illegal abortion or to report her medical providers

		Very Willing	Somewhat Willing	Not Willing at All	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	13.7%	25.7%	52.8%	7.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	24.3%	7.8%	61.6%	6.3%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	8.0%	11.9%	67.2%	12.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	5.9%	7.0%	78.8%	8.3%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	5.8%	13.6%	67.0%	13.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	10.7%	12.9%	64.9%	11.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	18.3%	15.4%	63.2%	3.1%	100.0%
Gender	Male	16.9%	21.4%	54.7%	7.0%	100.0%
	Female	7.5%	7.7%	73.3%	11.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	10.0%	13.4%	66.8%	9.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	19.1%	17.2%	57.3%	6.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	19.3%	20.9%	47.0%	12.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	7.2%	12.0%	71.7%	9.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	10.3%	8.9%	79.3%	1.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	1.4%	2.3%	85.3%	11.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	11.0%	6.9%	69.8%	12.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	7.0%	14.5%	68.8%	9.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	18.6%	20.5%	55.5%	5.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	12.2%	12.3%	67.3%	8.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	11.1%	18.7%	58.4%	11.8%	100.0%

Table Q31

Q31 If the KS government were to ban all or most abortions, who should pay most of the cost of prosecuting women who get illegal abortions or their medical providers

		State government	Local government	None of the above	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	37.9%	27.9%	21.1%	13.1%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	43.6%	11.8%	30.5%	14.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	37.4%	12.3%	30.3%	20.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	27.6%	5.4%	44.4%	22.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	37.9%	17.9%	24.9%	19.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	34.1%	14.0%	32.3%	19.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	36.8%	14.2%	35.5%	13.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	41.4%	20.7%	23.4%	14.5%	100.0%
	Female	31.2%	10.2%	37.7%	20.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	35.5%	12.9%	33.6%	17.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	38.8%	23.9%	22.0%	15.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	34.9%	17.1%	28.2%	19.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	41.0%	14.0%	28.9%	16.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	30.3%	16.5%	37.7%	15.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	31.9%	6.5%	41.1%	20.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	36.5%	11.6%	26.5%	25.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	31.2%	13.4%	37.0%	18.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	43.6%	20.5%	26.8%	9.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	37.0%	14.7%	30.3%	18.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	34.4%	16.4%	32.6%	16.7%	100.0%

Table Q32

		Q32 How do you feel about new laws that restrict access to abortion						Total
		Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neutral	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	11.3%	25.9%	23.4%	8.2%	27.2%	4.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	26.8%	10.1%	12.8%	13.3%	33.9%	2.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	14.9%	14.3%	18.7%	10.0%	38.4%	3.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	8.9%	18.9%	10.1%	17.9%	37.4%	6.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	15.3%	18.7%	16.1%	20.2%	25.0%	4.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	11.7%	14.2%	23.4%	9.2%	37.0%	4.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	15.9%	21.4%	12.3%	7.5%	40.0%	2.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	13.4%	24.3%	18.9%	12.0%	28.3%	3.2%	100.0%
	Female	15.6%	12.9%	14.6%	12.2%	39.2%	5.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	15.0%	18.0%	15.3%	11.6%	35.5%	4.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	12.4%	19.2%	24.2%	13.2%	28.6%	2.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.3%	28.9%	16.3%	6.5%	15.5%	5.5%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	6.9%	14.5%	21.0%	14.7%	39.6%	3.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	5.7%	9.3%	11.2%	11.0%	61.6%	1.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	9.6%	2.3%	14.1%	30.6%	29.0%	14.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	15.3%	12.7%	10.6%	17.0%	39.8%	4.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	9.3%	16.0%	21.7%	13.3%	36.8%	3.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	19.5%	26.5%	15.9%	7.1%	26.7%	4.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	12.8%	17.6%	17.2%	13.6%	35.3%	3.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	18.3%	19.6%	16.9%	7.8%	31.3%	6.2%	100.0%

Table Q33

Q33 How much do you think about the Kansas Legislature continuing to pass laws restricting abortion access

		A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	Not at all	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	16.9%	24.8%	33.8%	14.9%	9.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	26.6%	23.4%	21.0%	15.8%	13.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	19.3%	13.0%	29.2%	22.2%	16.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	10.3%	5.2%	33.0%	31.4%	20.1%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	16.9%	11.9%	27.4%	23.9%	19.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	16.1%	14.7%	36.2%	17.2%	15.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	19.9%	21.8%	27.7%	21.6%	9.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	15.0%	18.1%	34.6%	20.9%	11.4%	100.0%
	Female	20.1%	14.7%	25.8%	21.9%	17.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	18.4%	16.7%	28.3%	20.7%	16.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	15.4%	15.2%	37.2%	22.6%	9.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	17.9%	14.1%	29.6%	17.5%	21.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	16.4%	17.5%	29.0%	24.5%	12.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	21.7%	22.1%	37.5%	16.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	11.3%	4.6%	17.9%	38.7%	27.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	19.5%	9.6%	29.2%	23.7%	18.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	16.0%	12.6%	33.5%	24.8%	13.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	18.9%	26.8%	26.7%	15.5%	12.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	15.4%	17.0%	31.1%	22.5%	14.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	23.2%	14.9%	27.8%	17.9%	16.2%	100.0%

Table Q34_1

		Q34_1 Migrants legally in the U.S.- They contribute to the economic vitality of my community						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	36.6%	29.2%	21.7%	3.2%	4.0%	5.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	38.9%	40.2%	6.8%	4.6%	7.5%	1.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	36.3%	21.1%	18.1%	6.5%	14.8%	3.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	31.3%	29.4%	21.2%	5.5%	9.6%	3.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	27.9%	27.4%	23.7%	6.0%	9.9%	5.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	38.0%	23.8%	20.7%	6.4%	9.2%	2.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	40.6%	33.8%	10.6%	2.9%	8.7%	3.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	37.4%	34.5%	14.8%	3.2%	8.0%	2.2%	100.0%
	Female	34.0%	23.6%	20.8%	6.8%	10.5%	4.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	37.3%	28.3%	15.4%	5.5%	9.7%	3.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	29.2%	30.1%	28.0%	3.1%	7.5%	2.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	29.4%	34.0%	12.9%	6.4%	15.2%	2.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	34.2%	23.9%	23.6%	5.3%	8.1%	4.9%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	51.9%	25.5%	17.2%	2.2%	2.0%	1.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	34.5%	35.5%	15.0%	2.5%	1.4%	11.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	36.6%	17.8%	24.0%	8.4%	8.1%	5.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	32.4%	31.0%	18.9%	4.2%	10.5%	3.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	39.4%	36.0%	10.7%	3.4%	8.8%	1.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	36.6%	30.4%	16.5%	4.0%	8.3%	4.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	33.2%	24.0%	21.8%	7.3%	11.5%	2.2%	100.0%

Table Q34_2

		Q34_2 Migrants legally in the U.S.- They contribute to economic vitality of Kansas						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	36.0%	27.7%	19.7%	9.0%	2.9%	4.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	36.8%	34.3%	12.8%	5.8%	7.5%	2.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	33.2%	27.9%	17.0%	7.0%	12.9%	1.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	34.8%	28.5%	19.5%	4.8%	9.6%	2.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	24.5%	31.4%	23.0%	6.2%	11.2%	3.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	37.8%	24.4%	19.6%	7.3%	8.0%	2.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	41.8%	31.4%	10.8%	7.2%	6.3%	2.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	34.1%	36.2%	14.1%	6.4%	7.0%	2.1%	100.0%
	Female	35.7%	22.8%	20.4%	7.1%	9.7%	4.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	35.3%	29.4%	16.8%	6.4%	9.0%	3.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	33.5%	28.0%	21.0%	8.8%	6.1%	2.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	23.7%	35.3%	17.7%	7.4%	13.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	35.5%	25.3%	19.1%	8.6%	7.9%	3.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	56.8%	22.4%	16.0%	2.5%	0.6%	1.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	34.5%	35.5%	13.3%	5.5%	0.0%	11.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	37.5%	19.8%	19.7%	9.8%	8.3%	4.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	36.4%	28.1%	18.1%	5.9%	9.3%	2.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	32.2%	39.0%	13.9%	6.0%	7.2%	1.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	35.8%	30.1%	16.6%	7.0%	7.2%	3.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	33.1%	26.3%	20.5%	6.6%	11.1%	2.4%	100.0%

Table Q34_3

		Q34_3 Migrants legally in the U.S.- They contribute to economic growth of the U.S.						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	43.0%	24.3%	20.4%	4.1%	4.4%	3.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	34.6%	36.7%	11.2%	7.8%	7.8%	1.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	32.7%	30.2%	18.7%	5.1%	10.9%	2.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	33.8%	31.6%	16.1%	7.9%	9.6%	1.1%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	29.9%	29.9%	22.5%	6.1%	8.0%	3.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	40.5%	26.3%	15.6%	6.4%	9.0%	2.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	38.5%	32.7%	14.2%	5.5%	7.7%	1.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	33.6%	36.7%	14.6%	5.9%	7.9%	1.4%	100.0%
	Female	38.8%	23.7%	19.3%	6.0%	8.6%	3.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	35.8%	30.3%	17.0%	5.7%	8.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	37.7%	28.8%	18.6%	6.9%	6.6%	1.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.0%	35.8%	15.4%	8.6%	14.5%	0.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	39.6%	23.7%	21.3%	5.1%	6.4%	3.9%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	54.7%	29.7%	11.4%	2.4%	1.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	28.3%	33.6%	21.4%	5.5%	0.0%	11.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	37.4%	23.6%	20.3%	7.7%	6.5%	4.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	37.6%	29.9%	16.5%	5.3%	9.9%	0.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	34.9%	35.8%	14.3%	5.5%	7.7%	1.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	37.3%	30.6%	15.7%	5.9%	7.9%	2.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	33.9%	28.1%	21.4%	5.9%	8.8%	2.0%	100.0%

Table Q34_4

		Q34_4 Migrants legally in the U.S.- They take jobs that Americans don't want						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	26.0%	29.3%	28.5%	5.9%	6.0%	4.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	26.4%	28.4%	27.0%	7.2%	9.1%	1.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	31.1%	24.2%	23.2%	10.1%	8.8%	2.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	27.3%	31.6%	17.4%	13.8%	9.1%	0.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	27.1%	19.5%	34.5%	9.7%	6.4%	2.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	26.8%	31.3%	22.6%	9.2%	8.6%	1.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	29.7%	33.3%	16.5%	8.6%	9.2%	2.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	26.9%	33.7%	22.5%	9.5%	7.1%	0.4%	100.0%
	Female	28.7%	23.5%	25.2%	8.9%	9.2%	4.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	28.5%	27.5%	23.6%	10.3%	7.8%	2.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	25.9%	30.8%	26.4%	5.5%	9.5%	1.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	21.8%	32.8%	18.7%	12.1%	13.0%	1.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	27.8%	25.2%	29.0%	7.6%	7.0%	3.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	40.8%	30.9%	17.0%	7.3%	2.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	27.2%	10.2%	46.5%	8.3%	0.0%	7.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	28.1%	25.2%	26.1%	9.0%	6.8%	4.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	27.2%	25.5%	28.6%	9.0%	8.9%	0.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	29.6%	32.9%	17.7%	10.1%	8.2%	1.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	27.6%	28.0%	26.1%	8.3%	7.3%	2.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	28.7%	28.5%	18.9%	11.6%	10.1%	2.3%	100.0%

Table Q34_5

		Q34_5 Migrants legally in the U.S.- They contribute to government revenue by paying taxes						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	34.4%	27.1%	21.8%	6.1%	6.7%	3.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	39.2%	22.5%	20.9%	6.7%	8.8%	1.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	37.9%	23.2%	16.5%	5.1%	14.9%	2.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	38.1%	28.2%	10.4%	8.5%	10.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	28.5%	22.2%	22.1%	7.3%	15.2%	4.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	36.8%	26.4%	14.4%	8.6%	10.6%	3.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	45.0%	27.8%	15.1%	4.1%	6.1%	2.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	33.8%	31.2%	18.2%	4.5%	9.6%	2.7%	100.0%
	Female	40.5%	19.8%	16.4%	8.1%	11.2%	3.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	38.5%	25.7%	16.6%	6.2%	10.5%	2.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	31.3%	25.1%	20.0%	7.8%	9.8%	6.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.6%	29.4%	14.0%	8.0%	17.3%	3.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	39.1%	24.3%	18.4%	6.2%	8.2%	3.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	52.7%	25.1%	13.7%	4.8%	3.2%	0.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	35.5%	9.1%	43.1%	3.6%	2.5%	6.3%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	39.0%	15.3%	19.7%	8.6%	11.9%	5.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	34.5%	26.2%	19.3%	8.7%	9.9%	1.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	39.4%	32.5%	12.9%	2.7%	9.6%	2.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	38.4%	25.6%	17.7%	6.9%	8.6%	3.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	34.0%	25.2%	16.6%	5.5%	14.6%	4.1%	100.0%

Table Q34_6

		Q34_6 Migrants legally in the U.S.- They enrich American culture and values						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	34.0%	28.1%	22.7%	3.5%	7.9%	3.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	31.0%	20.9%	21.3%	6.4%	14.8%	5.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	28.5%	23.7%	25.4%	7.1%	12.7%	2.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	31.5%	21.0%	21.0%	9.6%	13.1%	3.8%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	24.8%	15.0%	30.1%	8.6%	14.4%	7.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	33.7%	25.5%	18.4%	7.2%	12.8%	2.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	34.9%	30.4%	19.9%	4.2%	8.8%	1.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	28.9%	29.1%	23.9%	7.0%	9.0%	2.1%	100.0%
	Female	33.2%	18.8%	22.1%	5.9%	14.6%	5.4%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	31.9%	23.1%	22.6%	7.4%	11.6%	3.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	27.8%	27.3%	24.2%	3.4%	12.5%	4.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	20.4%	29.9%	21.8%	9.3%	16.0%	2.5%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	30.5%	22.2%	23.9%	6.8%	12.4%	4.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	54.7%	19.4%	17.9%	1.6%	5.4%	1.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	30.7%	11.0%	38.7%	2.5%	0.0%	17.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	34.4%	13.4%	21.2%	8.3%	14.1%	8.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	30.0%	27.4%	25.1%	6.0%	10.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	30.5%	29.1%	21.0%	6.0%	11.9%	1.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	32.0%	25.9%	22.8%	6.5%	9.4%	3.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	29.3%	18.8%	23.1%	6.5%	17.5%	4.8%	100.0%

Table Q34_7

		Q34_7 Migrants legally in the U.S.- Immigrants take jobs away from American citizens						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	11.6%	17.5%	19.9%	9.7%	37.7%	3.5%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	17.2%	25.2%	10.6%	17.2%	27.9%	1.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	17.5%	14.2%	18.8%	15.0%	32.0%	2.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	9.9%	14.6%	20.8%	20.7%	31.8%	2.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	17.1%	22.2%	16.8%	9.9%	31.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	12.4%	16.2%	18.5%	16.7%	33.9%	2.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	12.5%	13.3%	19.2%	18.2%	33.9%	2.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	14.9%	17.9%	16.6%	17.5%	31.1%	2.1%	100.0%
	Female	13.3%	16.7%	19.6%	13.4%	33.8%	3.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	14.6%	18.6%	18.8%	15.9%	29.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	11.7%	11.7%	16.2%	12.7%	44.8%	2.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	21.0%	22.6%	19.8%	17.8%	15.7%	3.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	13.7%	13.3%	20.6%	12.3%	37.7%	2.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	2.6%	12.6%	10.3%	17.1%	57.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	6.3%	21.7%	17.7%	11.8%	33.6%	9.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	12.8%	13.0%	19.9%	11.0%	40.6%	2.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	11.8%	16.3%	23.4%	15.2%	32.1%	1.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	16.6%	22.3%	10.9%	18.8%	28.4%	2.9%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	11.7%	15.4%	17.0%	16.4%	36.8%	2.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	19.3%	21.2%	21.3%	12.0%	23.6%	2.5%	100.0%

Table Q34_8

		Q34_8 Migrants legally in the U.S.- Immigrants take social services away from American citizens						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	13.4%	19.5%	17.1%	11.8%	34.3%	3.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	21.9%	19.2%	16.9%	13.7%	24.9%	3.5%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	19.9%	19.9%	18.2%	8.3%	30.5%	3.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	17.5%	22.9%	13.1%	22.7%	21.9%	1.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	18.9%	26.2%	13.4%	14.7%	23.0%	3.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	17.8%	16.0%	18.2%	16.0%	27.8%	4.1%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	16.6%	19.6%	17.2%	10.9%	34.2%	1.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	20.6%	19.1%	19.7%	10.8%	28.3%	1.5%	100.0%
	Female	15.5%	22.0%	13.3%	16.5%	27.9%	4.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	17.8%	23.2%	18.0%	11.7%	26.5%	2.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	17.9%	10.4%	10.9%	21.1%	35.5%	4.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	30.0%	25.2%	18.5%	12.3%	11.7%	2.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	13.7%	22.1%	17.5%	8.8%	34.2%	3.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	5.2%	5.4%	13.9%	22.1%	51.8%	1.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	6.3%	25.7%	5.4%	28.1%	25.5%	9.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	16.9%	15.9%	15.3%	15.1%	31.9%	4.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	15.7%	21.1%	18.4%	12.9%	28.8%	3.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	21.1%	21.9%	15.8%	14.2%	26.0%	1.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	16.7%	17.6%	17.1%	14.2%	31.8%	2.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	20.2%	27.1%	15.1%	12.3%	20.9%	4.4%	100.0%

Table Q34_9

		Q34_9 Migrants legally in the U.S.- There are too many immigrants coming to the U.S.						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	21.1%	16.3%	17.8%	18.0%	23.5%	3.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	21.6%	24.9%	18.6%	12.4%	19.0%	3.5%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	27.4%	18.7%	23.1%	10.4%	16.8%	3.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	26.9%	19.9%	28.7%	13.5%	9.8%	1.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	26.3%	24.0%	19.6%	10.7%	15.2%	4.2%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	24.2%	17.2%	25.3%	12.8%	18.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	23.3%	17.4%	21.7%	16.7%	18.5%	2.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	26.4%	19.8%	21.6%	15.0%	15.9%	1.3%	100.0%
	Female	22.9%	19.3%	22.8%	12.2%	18.2%	4.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	26.3%	20.7%	22.3%	12.6%	15.9%	2.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	18.1%	14.0%	21.8%	18.2%	22.7%	5.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	39.8%	23.9%	19.6%	9.2%	5.5%	2.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	22.0%	15.2%	25.0%	16.1%	19.6%	2.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	5.2%	14.7%	22.1%	16.0%	37.9%	4.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.8%	32.6%	18.9%	19.0%	14.6%	11.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.0%	15.2%	24.9%	10.2%	23.0%	3.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	21.6%	20.4%	25.2%	14.2%	15.4%	3.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	28.0%	20.6%	17.4%	17.1%	15.2%	1.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	22.6%	16.6%	22.0%	16.6%	19.8%	2.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	29.0%	26.0%	22.4%	6.5%	11.8%	4.3%	100.0%

Table Q34_10

		Q34_10 Migrants legally in the U.S.- Accepting more low-skilled immigrants into the U.S.						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	15.1%	20.1%	29.4%	12.8%	14.0%	8.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	10.2%	14.3%	46.4%	15.3%	10.3%	3.5%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	11.6%	14.5%	38.7%	9.9%	19.8%	5.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	5.8%	15.4%	30.8%	21.1%	20.0%	6.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	10.8%	7.4%	35.0%	16.6%	22.0%	8.2%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	11.0%	17.9%	32.7%	17.0%	15.4%	5.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	11.1%	22.9%	37.8%	10.3%	13.2%	4.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	12.5%	21.1%	35.5%	13.2%	15.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	Female	9.3%	12.3%	34.6%	15.4%	18.3%	10.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	10.2%	17.3%	35.8%	14.6%	16.5%	5.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	14.0%	13.4%	34.3%	13.2%	16.5%	8.7%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	9.3%	20.6%	28.1%	12.5%	24.8%	4.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	8.9%	11.9%	39.2%	18.0%	14.5%	7.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	21.1%	20.8%	33.4%	12.6%	7.3%	4.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	4.6%	5.7%	61.7%	6.1%	7.1%	14.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	10.2%	7.9%	36.2%	16.7%	18.1%	10.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	9.9%	17.2%	38.5%	14.5%	15.3%	4.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	13.0%	22.8%	32.0%	12.9%	15.5%	3.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	10.7%	16.1%	38.5%	13.9%	14.7%	6.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	11.8%	17.1%	27.6%	15.2%	21.1%	7.2%	100.0%

Table Q34_11

		Q34_11 Migrants legally in the U.S.- Accepting more high-skilled immigrants into the U.S.						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	28.1%	26.1%	30.4%	6.0%	3.7%	5.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	23.5%	24.9%	28.6%	8.2%	10.4%	4.4%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	17.5%	28.6%	29.2%	6.4%	14.9%	3.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	10.6%	31.8%	29.7%	12.2%	11.1%	4.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	18.1%	17.1%	31.7%	11.7%	14.7%	6.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	16.1%	26.9%	34.6%	7.2%	9.7%	5.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	25.3%	38.7%	22.8%	5.5%	6.3%	1.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	21.3%	33.9%	27.1%	8.0%	8.6%	1.1%	100.0%
	Female	18.6%	22.9%	31.4%	7.8%	11.4%	7.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	19.3%	29.1%	28.6%	7.7%	11.1%	4.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	21.7%	24.1%	33.7%	9.0%	6.1%	5.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	14.3%	35.2%	28.0%	6.0%	13.6%	2.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	22.9%	21.9%	30.7%	10.5%	8.2%	5.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	30.0%	34.0%	24.2%	6.7%	2.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	4.8%	4.3%	48.4%	6.7%	21.7%	14.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	17.2%	18.2%	35.7%	9.8%	9.7%	9.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	17.1%	26.3%	32.9%	6.9%	13.4%	3.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	25.8%	38.9%	21.3%	7.4%	5.8%	0.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	22.5%	26.5%	30.5%	7.2%	9.2%	4.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	13.6%	31.8%	27.2%	9.7%	11.8%	5.9%	100.0%

Table Q35_1

		Q35_1 Immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally- They contribute more to society than they take in terms of resources						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	24.0%	26.2%	20.8%	12.4%	11.6%	5.1%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	35.6%	25.0%	9.8%	5.3%	20.6%	3.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	15.0%	17.8%	12.9%	16.8%	34.4%	3.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	13.5%	16.3%	17.7%	14.4%	37.4%	0.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	19.1%	21.0%	16.3%	14.1%	24.9%	4.7%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	15.2%	21.1%	15.9%	14.3%	30.6%	2.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	26.9%	21.5%	15.1%	11.4%	23.2%	1.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	22.0%	23.9%	16.9%	12.3%	23.5%	1.4%	100.0%
	Female	19.6%	18.5%	14.7%	13.8%	29.1%	4.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	21.1%	20.6%	14.2%	13.0%	28.6%	2.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	18.7%	23.6%	22.2%	13.8%	17.3%	4.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	12.6%	16.7%	8.0%	16.5%	45.6%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	18.7%	21.8%	19.7%	16.2%	18.4%	5.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	40.8%	24.0%	22.0%	2.8%	7.7%	2.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	21.9%	35.4%	20.9%	2.4%	12.2%	7.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.7%	17.2%	15.2%	9.9%	29.4%	4.4%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	16.4%	22.9%	18.4%	15.4%	24.3%	2.6%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	23.0%	23.1%	13.5%	13.5%	25.2%	1.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	21.0%	23.6%	17.6%	13.3%	21.4%	3.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	19.9%	15.0%	11.6%	12.5%	37.7%	3.3%	100.0%

Table Q35_2

		Q35_2 Immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally- They are a danger to public safety						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	10.7%	29.8%	20.9%	19.7%	13.4%	5.5%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	37.4%	16.2%	16.8%	16.3%	12.0%	1.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	23.3%	19.8%	22.3%	16.2%	17.7%	0.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	20.6%	18.1%	21.6%	16.5%	19.5%	3.8%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	21.0%	19.2%	22.3%	19.4%	14.3%	3.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	22.1%	25.3%	20.0%	16.8%	13.3%	2.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	21.0%	20.8%	20.4%	16.3%	19.9%	1.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	20.0%	27.8%	20.2%	17.3%	13.4%	1.3%	100.0%
	Female	22.9%	16.7%	21.3%	16.7%	17.9%	4.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	22.6%	20.6%	22.5%	15.3%	16.2%	2.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	16.9%	26.0%	14.8%	25.3%	14.3%	2.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	35.6%	33.6%	14.6%	7.2%	7.8%	1.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	16.9%	17.9%	24.3%	23.9%	13.6%	3.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	3.0%	9.7%	19.5%	27.4%	36.0%	4.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	17.5%	10.2%	40.8%	5.1%	19.9%	6.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	22.3%	15.1%	18.4%	21.7%	18.4%	4.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	15.4%	17.0%	28.7%	17.7%	18.8%	2.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	27.6%	32.9%	14.7%	13.4%	10.1%	1.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	17.2%	19.8%	23.7%	19.0%	17.8%	2.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	31.2%	26.5%	13.8%	13.1%	11.3%	4.1%	100.0%

Table Q35_3

		Q35_3 Immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally- They should be left alone by authorities if they don't break other laws						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	28.2%	26.9%	19.0%	10.5%	12.1%	3.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	30.1%	23.2%	17.6%	10.9%	17.0%	1.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	18.7%	14.7%	19.7%	14.4%	30.8%	1.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	15.9%	22.8%	11.6%	9.6%	38.2%	1.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	21.3%	24.5%	16.2%	11.0%	24.1%	2.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	17.7%	21.0%	15.5%	15.0%	28.6%	2.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	28.6%	19.9%	19.2%	9.2%	22.0%	1.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	25.4%	20.7%	16.6%	12.5%	23.8%	1.0%	100.0%
	Female	19.9%	21.9%	17.9%	10.9%	26.2%	3.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	23.0%	20.6%	17.3%	10.7%	26.4%	2.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	21.2%	26.2%	16.4%	14.9%	19.4%	1.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	13.6%	16.0%	11.7%	13.8%	43.6%	1.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	21.2%	24.5%	21.6%	10.0%	19.5%	3.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	41.1%	24.4%	18.7%	11.8%	3.3%	0.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	33.2%	29.3%	18.6%	6.2%	8.2%	4.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.7%	19.5%	19.2%	9.5%	25.5%	2.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	24.1%	25.4%	11.4%	12.2%	24.5%	2.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	20.4%	20.3%	21.1%	12.6%	25.0%	0.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	24.8%	22.9%	19.8%	10.5%	19.7%	2.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	17.6%	18.5%	10.8%	14.1%	37.3%	1.7%	100.0%

Table Q35_4

Q35_4 Immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally- They should be arrested and put in detention camps while awaiting deportation hearings

		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	16.2%	18.5%	15.8%	14.3%	29.8%	5.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	23.7%	16.2%	11.1%	9.2%	37.2%	2.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	23.6%	16.2%	21.3%	9.6%	25.9%	3.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	22.4%	16.5%	14.0%	19.4%	25.7%	1.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	22.8%	14.2%	12.5%	16.0%	29.5%	5.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	20.6%	18.5%	20.7%	11.1%	24.9%	4.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	20.7%	17.7%	15.7%	13.0%	31.9%	1.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	24.9%	21.3%	14.3%	13.9%	24.3%	1.2%	100.0%
	Female	18.2%	13.0%	18.2%	12.3%	32.7%	5.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	21.9%	18.1%	15.4%	12.2%	29.1%	3.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	19.1%	13.3%	18.9%	17.5%	27.7%	3.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	37.7%	25.5%	12.2%	12.5%	9.8%	2.3%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	15.9%	13.8%	23.1%	12.4%	30.4%	4.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	4.1%	10.5%	12.0%	18.1%	53.1%	2.3%	100.0%
	Don't Know	5.5%	4.1%	9.3%	8.6%	63.9%	8.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	18.0%	15.0%	17.1%	12.3%	32.4%	5.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	17.8%	12.3%	14.2%	15.5%	36.2%	3.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	28.5%	23.6%	17.7%	11.8%	18.0%	0.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	17.9%	14.8%	17.0%	14.7%	32.4%	3.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	29.3%	22.1%	14.6%	9.6%	20.4%	4.1%	100.0%

Table Q36

		Q36 What is the most root cause of homelessness						
		Lack of affordable housing	Mental health issues	Addictions	Domestic violence	Personal responsibility	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	38.1%	24.4%	25.5%	3.0%	5.7%	3.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	49.5%	19.7%	15.3%	4.0%	9.2%	2.3%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	28.0%	25.9%	25.8%	0.7%	15.9%	3.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	18.6%	37.7%	13.8%	0.0%	21.9%	8.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	32.1%	25.9%	21.4%	1.2%	15.5%	3.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	31.7%	24.1%	24.6%	0.8%	12.2%	6.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	33.2%	31.5%	18.0%	2.7%	12.3%	2.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	26.6%	29.5%	21.9%	2.1%	16.3%	3.6%	100.0%
	Female	37.2%	25.4%	20.7%	1.5%	10.6%	4.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	32.3%	28.5%	19.5%	1.8%	13.2%	4.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	31.3%	23.3%	27.9%	1.5%	12.9%	3.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	23.3%	28.7%	22.0%	2.8%	18.5%	4.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	32.2%	26.7%	25.3%	1.2%	11.0%	3.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	42.3%	31.1%	10.6%	0.9%	10.8%	4.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	59.1%	9.3%	21.1%	1.4%	1.9%	7.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	39.5%	25.5%	17.0%	1.1%	10.9%	6.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	32.9%	29.0%	18.9%	1.1%	14.6%	3.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	26.2%	28.7%	25.4%	2.8%	13.9%	3.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	33.0%	28.4%	20.2%	1.5%	13.2%	3.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	30.7%	24.3%	23.5%	2.2%	13.1%	6.1%	100.0%

Table Q37

		Q37 Importance high-quality, affordable infant and toddler childcare is for families in Kansas					
		Extremely Important	Highly Important	Slightly Important	Not Important at All	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	47.9%	40.3%	8.1%	2.5%	1.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	64.4%	32.0%	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	52.6%	38.0%	5.5%	1.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	52.9%	39.8%	5.3%	0.0%	1.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	54.6%	38.7%	4.1%	1.3%	1.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	54.0%	33.2%	8.6%	2.6%	1.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	52.1%	41.8%	4.6%	0.6%	1.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	40.4%	50.8%	7.0%	0.7%	1.2%	100.0%
	Female	64.3%	27.1%	4.6%	2.4%	1.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	53.7%	39.7%	4.8%	0.8%	1.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	51.4%	32.8%	9.2%	4.2%	2.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	41.4%	45.7%	8.7%	2.0%	2.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	55.6%	38.1%	5.1%	0.5%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	71.2%	22.7%	2.6%	2.7%	0.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	56.5%	38.6%	0.0%	1.4%	3.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	68.3%	24.3%	3.8%	0.8%	2.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	50.1%	43.2%	4.7%	1.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	45.8%	42.6%	8.4%	1.5%	1.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	52.3%	39.5%	5.7%	1.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	55.7%	34.7%	5.7%	2.5%	1.4%	100.0%

Table Q38_1

		Q38_1 Access to affordable childcare strengthens the economy						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	53.4%	27.2%	13.1%	3.9%	0.9%	1.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	61.8%	31.1%	2.1%	2.5%	1.4%	1.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	46.9%	39.9%	7.8%	2.9%	0.0%	2.6%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	43.2%	43.7%	11.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	47.0%	35.5%	13.1%	2.4%	0.7%	1.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	49.1%	33.2%	9.9%	3.1%	2.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	54.4%	37.9%	5.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	45.1%	40.6%	10.0%	1.9%	1.4%	1.0%	100.0%
	Female	55.4%	31.1%	8.4%	2.7%	0.4%	2.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	50.1%	37.2%	8.6%	1.7%	0.8%	1.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	50.7%	30.5%	11.4%	5.3%	1.0%	1.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	40.5%	46.2%	8.3%	2.3%	1.8%	1.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	52.5%	29.3%	13.3%	2.0%	0.2%	2.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	65.5%	25.5%	3.7%	4.6%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	52.0%	42.9%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	56.4%	25.9%	9.7%	3.2%	2.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	47.3%	40.1%	9.2%	1.8%	0.7%	0.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	50.3%	38.0%	8.4%	2.6%	0.0%	0.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	50.2%	36.7%	9.1%	1.5%	0.9%	1.5%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	51.0%	32.7%	9.5%	4.8%	0.7%	1.4%	100.0%

Table Q38_2

		Q38_2 Affordable and accessible childcare is an obstacle to women's economic participation						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	40.2%	26.7%	17.3%	7.4%	5.8%	2.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	50.3%	29.3%	8.4%	2.5%	8.4%	1.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	35.9%	34.5%	13.9%	6.3%	7.3%	2.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	29.5%	40.2%	15.6%	5.5%	7.0%	2.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	31.8%	36.7%	20.8%	0.7%	5.8%	4.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	39.8%	31.7%	14.0%	3.5%	8.7%	2.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	41.2%	30.5%	9.4%	12.3%	6.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	28.8%	35.0%	17.4%	9.5%	6.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	Female	46.7%	31.0%	11.6%	2.2%	6.7%	1.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	39.8%	35.8%	12.9%	4.3%	5.1%	2.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	30.0%	22.1%	20.1%	11.7%	13.9%	2.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	27.4%	45.7%	14.1%	6.9%	3.8%	2.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	40.0%	28.5%	13.7%	6.3%	8.9%	2.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	54.5%	14.0%	15.5%	4.4%	10.5%	1.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	39.7%	37.2%	17.8%	0.0%	2.5%	2.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	46.2%	20.0%	16.9%	4.2%	7.2%	5.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	39.5%	34.7%	13.9%	4.0%	7.4%	0.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	30.0%	40.7%	12.8%	9.6%	6.2%	0.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	38.4%	29.9%	16.7%	6.6%	6.8%	1.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	37.0%	39.6%	8.8%	3.9%	7.5%	3.3%	100.0%

Table Q38_3

		Q38_3 Affordable and accessible childcare is an obstacle to women's progress						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	42.6%	28.5%	15.0%	6.2%	5.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	42.2%	26.1%	10.7%	11.4%	9.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	34.2%	31.1%	16.1%	8.0%	8.4%	2.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	23.9%	40.0%	17.2%	6.9%	9.4%	2.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	34.7%	31.5%	18.1%	6.7%	5.2%	3.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	37.0%	31.9%	13.4%	5.3%	10.5%	1.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	34.3%	32.1%	14.2%	11.0%	7.7%	0.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	26.1%	33.7%	19.7%	9.9%	8.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	Female	44.1%	30.5%	11.0%	6.0%	6.9%	1.4%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	36.7%	32.8%	14.6%	7.4%	6.4%	2.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	30.0%	28.1%	17.2%	9.3%	13.2%	2.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.1%	35.9%	20.2%	9.9%	6.2%	2.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	38.5%	32.9%	9.5%	6.7%	9.9%	2.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	51.1%	19.1%	13.2%	8.4%	8.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	33.4%	36.2%	28.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	40.2%	25.2%	16.0%	4.6%	9.1%	4.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	39.6%	33.0%	11.9%	8.3%	7.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	27.3%	35.7%	18.2%	10.2%	7.2%	1.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	36.5%	31.5%	15.8%	7.6%	6.8%	1.8%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	33.4%	31.9%	13.6%	8.2%	10.3%	2.7%	100.0%

Table Q38_4

		Q38_4 Mothers should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	26.7%	19.2%	23.0%	16.0%	12.3%	2.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	25.3%	20.2%	20.9%	14.4%	18.2%	0.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	12.4%	17.9%	23.3%	21.3%	24.3%	0.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	4.2%	17.3%	29.8%	23.3%	24.7%	0.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	21.4%	19.2%	26.0%	20.1%	11.4%	1.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	14.7%	15.1%	22.9%	21.0%	24.0%	2.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	14.6%	21.2%	23.5%	16.9%	23.8%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	17.8%	24.3%	20.7%	19.2%	16.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	Female	16.3%	13.6%	26.9%	19.2%	22.4%	1.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	16.4%	18.9%	25.2%	18.1%	20.5%	0.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	17.8%	17.7%	21.4%	22.6%	17.2%	3.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.8%	21.1%	20.7%	19.5%	11.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	12.2%	17.9%	25.4%	19.1%	23.9%	1.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	5.7%	18.0%	26.2%	19.4%	29.9%	0.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	25.8%	8.2%	34.9%	15.4%	11.5%	4.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	15.3%	16.0%	20.5%	24.3%	21.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	17.4%	14.4%	31.8%	17.1%	19.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	16.9%	26.6%	18.2%	16.6%	20.1%	1.6%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	16.8%	16.5%	26.8%	20.2%	18.4%	1.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	16.9%	23.6%	18.2%	16.3%	23.3%	1.6%	100.0%

Table Q38_5

		Q38_5 Grandparents should stay at home to provide infant and toddler childcare						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	9.1%	19.4%	28.2%	15.6%	22.7%	5.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	18.5%	19.9%	13.8%	19.3%	28.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	6.1%	11.5%	30.5%	18.3%	32.4%	1.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	1.0%	4.8%	19.3%	30.6%	42.5%	1.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	7.9%	9.9%	21.2%	25.6%	32.4%	3.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	5.4%	10.2%	27.7%	22.2%	31.3%	3.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	9.7%	20.0%	23.6%	15.3%	30.9%	0.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	11.6%	19.5%	25.1%	18.8%	23.1%	1.9%	100.0%
	Female	4.2%	8.3%	23.9%	22.1%	38.9%	2.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	7.6%	14.3%	22.7%	20.8%	33.0%	1.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	8.5%	11.4%	30.6%	19.2%	25.7%	4.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	8.7%	18.1%	22.6%	22.3%	25.0%	3.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	7.6%	11.8%	24.8%	21.5%	32.2%	2.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	7.5%	10.9%	26.4%	17.1%	37.6%	0.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	5.0%	5.8%	27.7%	14.3%	45.1%	2.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	7.0%	5.6%	23.8%	22.4%	36.9%	4.5%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	6.3%	9.9%	28.5%	22.7%	32.2%	0.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	10.6%	25.5%	18.6%	16.6%	26.7%	2.2%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	8.0%	14.2%	27.1%	19.2%	29.2%	2.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	7.5%	12.0%	17.9%	24.1%	36.4%	2.0%	100.0%

Table Q38_6

		Q38_6 Federal government should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare						Total
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	42.0%	31.4%	14.9%	4.7%	4.5%	2.5%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	58.1%	27.6%	10.8%	0.4%	2.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	51.7%	25.4%	11.5%	5.1%	3.8%	2.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	41.5%	29.2%	20.2%	7.5%	1.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	50.7%	24.3%	14.0%	4.4%	4.2%	2.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	46.6%	28.4%	13.6%	5.5%	4.2%	1.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	45.6%	31.7%	15.8%	4.5%	1.6%	0.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	40.6%	32.8%	15.1%	6.5%	3.6%	1.5%	100.0%
	Female	53.5%	24.6%	13.9%	3.3%	3.1%	1.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	49.4%	28.2%	13.1%	4.5%	3.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	39.4%	29.8%	20.1%	5.9%	3.8%	1.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	34.9%	35.3%	16.5%	6.9%	5.1%	1.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	50.6%	24.0%	15.7%	4.4%	2.6%	2.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	63.7%	21.3%	10.9%	2.2%	1.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	54.9%	37.2%	4.4%	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	56.2%	20.1%	9.7%	7.1%	3.9%	3.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	49.8%	26.4%	18.7%	2.2%	2.2%	0.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	38.5%	37.3%	14.1%	6.1%	3.4%	0.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	45.7%	29.0%	15.9%	4.7%	3.2%	1.5%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	51.8%	27.0%	11.1%	5.1%	3.5%	1.6%	100.0%

Table Q38_7

		Q38_7 State government should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	44.6%	34.2%	8.8%	5.4%	5.0%	2.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	55.7%	27.3%	13.0%	0.9%	2.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	51.5%	26.4%	12.6%	5.2%	1.8%	2.5%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	42.0%	30.7%	19.7%	5.4%	2.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	52.9%	25.6%	14.3%	3.0%	2.6%	1.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	45.5%	28.8%	14.2%	5.8%	3.8%	2.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	45.6%	34.4%	11.5%	5.0%	2.8%	0.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	39.9%	35.1%	12.2%	7.0%	4.0%	1.8%	100.0%
	Female	54.6%	25.5%	14.5%	2.2%	2.1%	1.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	48.6%	29.8%	13.5%	4.2%	2.3%	1.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	44.2%	30.8%	12.0%	6.2%	5.8%	1.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	35.1%	36.9%	16.1%	7.3%	4.1%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	52.3%	25.1%	13.1%	3.5%	3.0%	3.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	61.5%	23.3%	10.2%	3.2%	1.6%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	56.3%	37.9%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	58.5%	20.1%	11.0%	5.2%	3.0%	2.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	49.3%	29.7%	15.4%	3.2%	1.3%	1.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	38.1%	38.2%	13.1%	5.6%	4.4%	0.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	46.9%	30.6%	13.3%	4.8%	3.2%	1.3%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	50.3%	28.1%	13.1%	4.3%	2.6%	1.6%	100.0%

Table Q38_8

		Q38_8 Local governments should pass laws to expand access to affordable childcare						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	41.5%	31.2%	15.9%	7.2%	2.1%	2.1%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	58.5%	28.5%	8.7%	1.3%	2.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	53.5%	22.7%	11.8%	5.4%	2.9%	3.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	36.0%	33.1%	17.7%	10.2%	3.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	51.0%	24.2%	10.9%	10.3%	1.9%	1.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	43.7%	30.5%	15.2%	4.0%	4.7%	2.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	45.9%	30.7%	15.3%	5.2%	1.6%	1.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	40.0%	31.2%	14.6%	9.7%	2.5%	2.1%	100.0%
	Female	52.4%	26.8%	12.9%	3.5%	2.9%	1.5%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	47.3%	29.0%	13.4%	5.9%	2.6%	1.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	43.7%	28.0%	15.8%	8.4%	3.0%	1.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	36.0%	35.2%	15.4%	7.7%	5.0%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	49.2%	23.8%	15.1%	7.4%	1.7%	2.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	61.0%	22.6%	10.3%	3.5%	0.8%	1.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	51.4%	38.4%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	54.4%	23.5%	12.2%	4.8%	3.0%	2.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	50.3%	25.3%	15.4%	7.3%	0.5%	1.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	37.3%	36.4%	13.6%	7.2%	4.4%	1.2%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	45.7%	28.1%	14.8%	6.9%	3.0%	1.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	49.0%	30.1%	11.7%	5.2%	1.9%	2.1%	100.0%

Table Q39_1

Q39_1 Elderly care in KS- State policymakers have an important role in ensuring that elderly Kansans are taken care of

		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	40.3%	34.8%	19.2%	2.3%	1.4%	2.1%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	64.6%	25.9%	6.0%	2.3%	0.0%	1.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	46.5%	34.3%	13.1%	3.0%	1.7%	1.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	62.0%	31.4%	3.7%	0.7%	1.3%	0.8%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	49.4%	28.9%	12.5%	4.1%	2.0%	3.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	52.1%	32.6%	11.5%	2.7%	0.8%	0.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	52.9%	35.2%	10.3%	0.0%	1.0%	0.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	47.5%	38.0%	11.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%	100.0%
	Female	55.4%	27.1%	10.6%	3.5%	1.0%	2.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	54.1%	31.5%	9.7%	2.1%	1.1%	1.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	41.2%	36.2%	18.0%	2.3%	1.9%	0.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	54.3%	33.0%	10.1%	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	46.2%	32.6%	13.5%	4.0%	2.1%	1.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	53.4%	36.2%	7.8%	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	60.7%	14.8%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	58.5%	24.0%	9.7%	1.9%	2.0%	3.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	49.9%	37.1%	11.8%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	48.3%	34.6%	12.0%	4.1%	1.0%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	49.4%	35.1%	12.1%	0.9%	1.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	56.0%	25.7%	10.1%	5.3%	1.6%	1.3%	100.0%

Table Q39_2

		Q39_2 Elderly care in KS- Larger investments are needed in services and care for elderly Kansans						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	34.4%	28.9%	25.1%	6.5%	1.4%	3.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	51.1%	30.4%	11.8%	3.9%	0.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	47.7%	35.0%	14.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	50.5%	34.5%	14.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	40.5%	38.2%	14.5%	3.4%	0.0%	3.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	50.7%	26.4%	19.2%	1.3%	1.8%	0.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	44.6%	32.8%	17.0%	3.9%	0.0%	1.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	42.3%	34.3%	19.2%	2.9%	0.5%	0.8%	100.0%
	Female	47.9%	29.9%	15.5%	3.0%	0.7%	3.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	47.2%	33.2%	15.0%	2.3%	0.2%	2.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	36.9%	30.1%	25.3%	5.5%	1.9%	0.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	44.2%	34.9%	17.1%	0.8%	0.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	42.2%	32.5%	17.8%	5.2%	0.6%	1.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	55.2%	26.8%	14.2%	2.5%	0.0%	1.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	36.4%	32.1%	23.1%	2.1%	0.0%	6.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	53.7%	23.5%	15.8%	2.9%	0.0%	4.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	45.8%	37.4%	15.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	39.1%	34.5%	19.0%	4.8%	1.7%	0.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	43.8%	33.0%	17.2%	3.4%	0.8%	1.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	48.0%	30.7%	17.2%	1.8%	0.0%	2.2%	100.0%

Table Q39_3

		Q39_3 Elderly care in KS- Kansas should invest more in developing workers for long-term care services						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	32.7%	31.8%	25.3%	5.5%	2.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	54.3%	17.9%	22.5%	2.6%	0.9%	1.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	49.9%	28.6%	19.1%	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	42.3%	41.0%	15.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	42.2%	31.9%	20.4%	1.3%	1.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	46.6%	29.4%	19.5%	3.1%	0.7%	0.7%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	43.3%	31.3%	20.6%	3.4%	0.0%	1.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	41.1%	30.7%	23.3%	3.4%	0.2%	1.2%	100.0%
	Female	46.5%	30.7%	17.5%	2.0%	1.3%	2.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	46.1%	31.4%	18.8%	1.7%	0.1%	2.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	34.4%	29.1%	27.3%	6.1%	3.1%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	43.1%	34.0%	18.4%	2.4%	0.0%	2.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	39.1%	32.5%	21.9%	4.5%	0.2%	1.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	53.1%	26.2%	17.0%	0.0%	3.5%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	49.4%	12.0%	36.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	49.8%	23.2%	21.2%	2.4%	0.5%	2.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	46.1%	31.2%	20.1%	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	38.0%	35.2%	20.9%	4.8%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	42.0%	30.1%	22.2%	3.5%	0.3%	1.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	48.0%	32.3%	16.4%	0.5%	1.8%	1.0%	100.0%

Table Q39_4

Q39_4 Elderly care in KS- Kansans who require long-term care services should be able to get the care needed in own community

		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	44.3%	30.6%	15.7%	5.3%	1.2%	2.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	56.6%	21.1%	20.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	57.9%	30.5%	10.0%	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	62.2%	32.2%	5.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	52.7%	29.1%	14.6%	1.3%	0.6%	1.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	55.8%	29.7%	10.4%	2.8%	1.0%	0.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	56.3%	29.7%	10.6%	2.4%	0.6%	0.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	47.9%	34.3%	13.4%	2.7%	0.7%	1.0%	100.0%
	Female	61.6%	24.1%	11.0%	1.8%	0.7%	0.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	56.6%	29.7%	11.4%	1.0%	0.4%	0.9%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	47.2%	28.9%	15.2%	6.6%	1.7%	0.4%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	52.7%	32.0%	12.1%	1.5%	0.4%	1.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	55.4%	31.2%	8.9%	2.8%	1.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	59.5%	25.6%	11.0%	3.0%	0.7%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	47.3%	11.8%	38.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	63.2%	19.6%	13.3%	1.1%	0.7%	2.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	55.1%	27.8%	15.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	48.6%	37.7%	7.7%	3.9%	1.5%	0.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	52.9%	29.3%	13.6%	2.4%	0.7%	1.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	59.1%	29.4%	8.7%	1.7%	0.7%	0.4%	100.0%

Table Q39_5

		Q39_5 Elderly care in KS- Supporting the mental health needs of aging Kansans is important						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	47.5%	30.1%	12.9%	4.2%	2.3%	2.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	65.9%	20.1%	11.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	62.6%	25.7%	9.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	69.5%	27.3%	2.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	63.4%	24.6%	6.5%	2.1%	1.9%	1.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	62.7%	24.0%	10.2%	2.8%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	56.1%	30.6%	10.5%	1.7%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	51.7%	34.9%	10.6%	1.8%	0.2%	0.8%	100.0%
	Female	68.3%	18.7%	7.9%	2.6%	1.1%	1.4%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	62.3%	25.7%	8.8%	1.5%	0.4%	1.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	52.7%	29.9%	10.7%	4.7%	2.0%	0.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	54.2%	34.2%	8.6%	1.5%	0.4%	1.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	58.3%	28.8%	8.2%	3.1%	0.3%	1.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	74.1%	11.0%	10.2%	2.3%	2.3%	0.2%	100.0%
	Don't Know	68.7%	10.0%	17.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	69.8%	17.2%	8.3%	2.3%	0.6%	1.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	63.1%	25.4%	8.7%	1.6%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	52.2%	33.8%	10.3%	2.8%	0.3%	0.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	56.4%	28.9%	10.3%	2.5%	0.4%	1.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	69.8%	20.3%	6.8%	1.3%	1.4%	0.4%	100.0%

Table Q40

		Q40 Know someone currently requiring long-term care and support		
		Yes	No	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	58.7%	41.3%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	33.5%	66.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	46.3%	53.7%	100.0%
	Female	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	36.2%	63.8%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	37.4%	62.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	32.8%	67.2%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	44.7%	55.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	51.5%	48.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%

Table Q41

		Q41 Have any close family members currently requiring long-term care and support		
		Yes	No	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	38.4%	61.6%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	29.9%	70.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	18.3%	81.7%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	31.0%	69.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	35.4%	64.6%	100.0%
	Female	29.9%	70.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	32.5%	67.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	33.0%	67.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	32.1%	67.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	29.7%	70.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	28.7%	71.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	30.6%	69.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	37.9%	62.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	32.3%	67.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	32.9%	67.1%	100.0%

Table Q42

		Q42 Do you help provide care for them		
		Yes	No	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	67.8%	32.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	63.9%	36.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	61.2%	38.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	68.7%	31.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	71.8%	28.2%	100.0%
	Female	61.7%	38.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	63.8%	36.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	79.5%	20.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	59.9%	40.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	63.9%	36.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	65.0%	35.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	60.1%	39.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	79.7%	20.3%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	63.8%	36.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	74.6%	25.4%	100.0%

Table Q43

		Q43 Opinion state regulation of nursing home in KS						
		Far too much	A little bit too much	About right	A little bit too little , or	Much too little	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	2.6%	20.1%	33.1%	14.8%	7.5%	21.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	12.7%	16.5%	20.7%	24.5%	5.4%	20.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	9.1%	8.2%	25.9%	19.3%	17.4%	20.1%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	3.1%	4.9%	22.5%	16.3%	24.6%	28.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	5.6%	14.3%	28.0%	18.0%	14.6%	19.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	5.9%	10.4%	23.9%	15.8%	17.1%	26.8%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	7.3%	12.5%	27.1%	20.4%	11.6%	21.2%	100.0%
Gender	Male	5.9%	18.0%	32.4%	16.1%	11.1%	16.5%	100.0%
	Female	6.9%	7.0%	21.0%	20.0%	17.1%	27.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	6.5%	11.6%	23.0%	19.0%	15.6%	24.2%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	5.2%	15.1%	39.6%	15.2%	8.7%	16.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	8.7%	20.5%	21.6%	14.5%	12.8%	21.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	4.9%	7.4%	30.8%	20.0%	13.6%	23.4%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	3.1%	9.6%	28.0%	15.8%	20.6%	22.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	11.4%	1.7%	21.4%	35.8%	7.6%	22.3%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	7.5%	7.2%	28.4%	12.0%	19.1%	25.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	6.2%	7.0%	26.8%	20.2%	16.7%	23.2%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	5.1%	22.8%	25.4%	20.8%	8.4%	17.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	4.1%	9.7%	31.5%	17.0%	12.7%	24.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	11.8%	18.7%	13.5%	20.8%	17.9%	17.3%	100.0%

Table Q45

		Q45 Water conservation in your part of KS local government action				
		Too much	The right amount	Not enough	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	7.8%	47.6%	27.3%	17.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	19.2%	30.7%	32.8%	17.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	2.7%	42.1%	35.4%	19.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	2.3%	36.6%	39.5%	21.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	7.3%	36.7%	38.1%	17.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	5.5%	39.3%	32.6%	22.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	7.7%	44.9%	31.0%	16.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	10.7%	50.4%	27.9%	11.0%	100.0%
	Female	3.4%	32.1%	38.1%	26.4%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	8.0%	39.2%	33.5%	19.3%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	2.7%	46.6%	32.7%	17.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	8.7%	45.4%	23.7%	22.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	6.5%	40.1%	37.6%	15.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	6.0%	40.2%	37.0%	16.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	0.0%	13.5%	57.4%	29.1%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	6.6%	37.1%	35.0%	21.3%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	2.6%	37.8%	39.2%	20.4%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	12.3%	46.8%	27.8%	13.2%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	6.2%	43.4%	33.2%	17.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	8.4%	33.5%	34.5%	23.7%	100.0%

Table Q46

		Q46 Water conservation in your part of KS state government action				
		Too much	The right amount	Not enough	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	8.2%	38.7%	33.2%	19.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	13.5%	36.8%	31.9%	17.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	3.4%	39.0%	37.4%	20.2%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	1.6%	31.3%	44.6%	22.5%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	6.7%	36.5%	38.0%	18.9%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	5.8%	30.3%	38.6%	25.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	5.8%	42.3%	35.2%	16.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	9.1%	44.6%	34.5%	11.9%	100.0%
	Female	3.4%	30.3%	38.3%	27.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	5.5%	37.8%	36.1%	20.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	8.1%	33.1%	39.7%	19.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	5.8%	43.7%	28.0%	22.5%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	6.8%	33.1%	41.6%	18.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	6.9%	35.8%	40.4%	16.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	0.0%	19.6%	51.4%	29.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	8.6%	34.6%	33.1%	23.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	2.7%	33.5%	43.3%	20.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	8.1%	43.5%	34.9%	13.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	6.2%	36.9%	37.9%	19.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	5.6%	36.4%	34.6%	23.4%	100.0%

Table Q47

		Q47 Importance for the state government to invest in water conservation for future use					Total
		Extremely Important	Highly Important	Slightly Important	Not Important at All	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	29.2%	45.5%	13.6%	3.5%	8.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	46.2%	33.5%	6.1%	4.0%	10.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	29.8%	37.9%	22.5%	3.4%	6.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	35.4%	37.7%	18.4%	0.6%	7.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	30.8%	40.0%	16.0%	2.4%	10.8%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	31.4%	42.3%	16.9%	1.9%	7.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	38.5%	37.0%	15.5%	4.1%	4.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	30.9%	45.3%	16.0%	3.2%	4.7%	100.0%
	Female	36.3%	33.7%	16.2%	2.7%	11.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	33.6%	37.6%	17.6%	2.5%	8.7%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	34.2%	45.9%	11.4%	4.2%	4.2%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	26.7%	42.2%	20.8%	1.1%	9.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	33.5%	41.2%	14.5%	4.0%	6.8%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	44.9%	36.7%	9.7%	4.8%	3.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	43.6%	17.5%	18.7%	0.0%	20.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	36.1%	30.8%	17.0%	4.3%	11.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	36.5%	41.3%	15.0%	1.6%	5.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	29.9%	43.6%	17.7%	3.3%	5.5%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	33.5%	40.7%	14.5%	3.7%	7.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	34.0%	36.2%	20.3%	0.9%	8.7%	100.0%

Table Q48

		Q48 Water conservation voluntary action enough or local governments pass laws to limit usage			
		Voluntary action is sufficient	Government should pass new laws	No opinion	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	37.0%	40.8%	22.3%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	39.0%	31.7%	29.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	46.7%	31.5%	21.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	43.7%	29.7%	26.6%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	40.1%	30.3%	29.5%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	42.0%	29.8%	28.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	43.4%	40.9%	15.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	51.8%	33.4%	14.8%	100.0%
	Female	33.6%	33.6%	32.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	43.3%	33.4%	23.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	37.1%	35.8%	27.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	50.7%	24.6%	24.7%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	39.0%	38.5%	22.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	33.6%	49.5%	16.9%	100.0%
	Don't Know	31.0%	11.6%	57.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	44.1%	24.1%	31.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	40.4%	37.6%	22.0%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	43.2%	39.1%	17.8%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	40.0%	34.9%	25.1%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	46.3%	31.3%	22.4%	100.0%

Table Q49

		Q49 Water conservation voluntary action enough or state government pass laws to limit usage			
		Voluntary action is sufficient	Government should pass new laws	No opinion	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	34.5%	42.6%	22.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	37.2%	31.6%	31.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	45.8%	35.3%	18.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	42.8%	30.2%	27.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	44.5%	26.9%	28.6%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	41.2%	29.5%	29.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	36.3%	49.0%	14.7%	100.0%
Gender	Male	50.2%	37.0%	12.8%	100.0%
	Female	32.2%	33.7%	34.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	40.6%	35.9%	23.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	40.4%	34.5%	25.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	50.2%	24.8%	25.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	38.7%	38.6%	22.7%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	31.5%	55.0%	13.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	17.2%	23.6%	59.2%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	39.6%	27.7%	32.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	44.6%	34.5%	20.8%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	37.5%	45.2%	17.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	40.0%	36.8%	23.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	41.2%	32.8%	26.0%	100.0%

Table Q50

		Q50 Concern KS farms running out of water					
		Very Concerned	Moderately Concerned	Slightly Concerned	Not Concerned at all	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	18.7%	30.6%	23.4%	16.7%	10.6%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	24.1%	29.3%	24.3%	15.2%	7.1%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	23.4%	27.7%	34.0%	6.5%	8.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	24.1%	29.8%	26.8%	13.0%	6.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	19.7%	26.0%	28.0%	15.9%	10.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	21.3%	28.9%	29.3%	11.0%	9.5%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	25.8%	32.4%	26.2%	11.1%	4.5%	100.0%
Gender	Male	21.4%	32.9%	27.6%	13.7%	4.6%	100.0%
	Female	23.3%	26.4%	28.0%	11.1%	11.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	22.6%	30.4%	27.6%	11.6%	7.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	20.7%	25.8%	28.3%	16.1%	9.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	19.1%	28.1%	29.1%	13.7%	10.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	25.6%	28.3%	25.6%	13.0%	7.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	25.5%	30.0%	30.6%	11.7%	2.1%	100.0%
	Don't Know	10.5%	41.3%	21.5%	3.9%	22.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.3%	17.2%	34.7%	14.7%	10.1%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	23.9%	31.3%	22.1%	13.1%	9.6%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	19.6%	37.8%	28.2%	10.0%	4.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	21.8%	29.7%	28.9%	12.5%	7.0%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	23.5%	28.2%	24.3%	12.4%	11.5%	100.0%

Table Q51

		Q51 KS farmers need to change farming practices due to declining water						
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	DONT KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	19.0%	32.9%	31.4%	3.2%	1.7%	11.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	23.5%	37.5%	15.9%	4.8%	4.1%	14.2%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	16.7%	41.9%	19.9%	4.8%	2.8%	13.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	14.9%	41.2%	24.0%	7.1%	0.9%	11.9%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	14.5%	36.0%	28.7%	7.5%	2.9%	10.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	17.1%	33.3%	27.2%	4.2%	2.0%	16.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	22.1%	45.4%	15.8%	3.3%	1.9%	11.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	18.2%	40.2%	25.8%	5.2%	3.3%	7.2%	100.0%
	Female	17.6%	37.3%	21.8%	4.7%	1.3%	17.3%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	19.5%	38.6%	20.6%	5.3%	2.6%	13.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	13.3%	37.9%	34.5%	3.5%	0.9%	10.0%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	17.1%	36.4%	25.3%	3.3%	1.9%	16.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	18.9%	36.2%	22.7%	6.1%	3.9%	12.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	22.9%	45.6%	20.6%	4.5%	0.0%	6.5%	100.0%
	Don't Know	3.1%	42.6%	28.1%	8.1%	0.0%	18.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	18.9%	29.4%	27.5%	8.0%	1.4%	14.8%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	15.9%	39.9%	24.2%	3.7%	2.7%	13.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	20.3%	45.0%	20.4%	3.9%	2.1%	8.4%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	20.0%	36.2%	25.8%	4.4%	1.0%	12.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	13.3%	43.8%	18.2%	6.0%	5.3%	13.4%	100.0%

Table Q52

		Q52 Concern with water quality in Kansas					Total
		Very Concerned	Moderately Concerned	Slightly Concerned	Not Concerned at all	DONT KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	17.9%	34.1%	20.8%	18.7%	8.5%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	30.8%	17.1%	30.5%	14.7%	6.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	20.6%	30.9%	29.9%	15.6%	3.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	17.3%	21.4%	35.6%	20.3%	5.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	23.1%	27.2%	25.4%	16.9%	7.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	16.7%	26.3%	32.4%	17.7%	7.0%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	22.5%	28.8%	28.7%	17.5%	2.4%	100.0%
Gender	Male	19.6%	29.0%	31.2%	18.5%	1.8%	100.0%
	Female	21.8%	26.1%	26.1%	16.8%	9.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	21.9%	26.4%	29.0%	17.7%	5.0%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	16.3%	30.9%	28.2%	16.5%	8.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	18.5%	27.8%	30.3%	18.5%	4.9%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	19.3%	27.7%	28.0%	17.6%	7.3%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	20.7%	29.0%	32.0%	16.8%	1.4%	100.0%
	Don't Know	44.4%	16.3%	11.4%	12.2%	15.8%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.6%	18.4%	31.8%	17.3%	8.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	24.2%	24.9%	23.9%	21.8%	5.3%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	14.3%	39.0%	32.2%	11.8%	2.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	20.5%	25.7%	30.2%	18.3%	5.4%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	21.3%	31.4%	24.8%	15.5%	7.0%	100.0%

Table Q53

		Q53 concern foreign ownership of KS farmland					Total
		Very Concerned	Moderately Concerned	Slightly Concerned	Not Concerned at all	DON'T KNOW	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	19.6%	26.8%	28.0%	15.8%	9.8%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	36.8%	19.3%	20.4%	8.7%	14.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	36.7%	26.1%	18.1%	11.4%	7.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	43.1%	28.2%	14.9%	10.8%	3.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	27.7%	25.4%	21.4%	15.2%	10.2%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	29.2%	28.6%	20.0%	13.6%	8.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	41.8%	23.6%	20.3%	8.4%	5.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	36.5%	30.7%	21.8%	9.2%	1.9%	100.0%
	Female	30.9%	21.0%	19.2%	14.3%	14.6%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	35.5%	24.4%	21.5%	11.1%	7.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	25.5%	31.0%	17.7%	16.3%	9.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	43.2%	24.4%	19.1%	7.9%	5.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	30.7%	27.5%	18.4%	14.0%	9.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	23.3%	27.8%	25.8%	16.3%	6.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	17.5%	14.8%	29.3%	13.1%	25.3%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	27.4%	22.0%	20.6%	17.4%	12.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	32.9%	27.2%	23.2%	10.0%	6.6%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	39.2%	28.4%	18.2%	9.5%	4.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	31.6%	23.1%	23.9%	13.9%	7.5%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	37.2%	32.0%	12.6%	7.7%	10.5%	100.0%

Table Q54

		Q54 Household owns any guns		
		Yes, I or someone else in my household owns a gun	No, no one in my household owns a gun	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	36.6%	63.4%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	52.1%	47.9%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%
Gender	Male	50.5%	49.5%	100.0%
	Female	38.8%	61.2%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	35.2%	64.8%	100.0%
	Don't Know	16.5%	83.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	39.9%	60.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	56.9%	43.1%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	56.9%	43.1%	100.0%

Table Q55

		Q55 Raise Kansas minimum wage						Total
		Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neutral	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Don't know	
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	49.1%	25.3%	12.6%	6.1%	1.7%	5.2%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	71.6%	8.1%	7.2%	9.1%	3.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	72.3%	14.5%	7.0%	2.6%	3.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	36.3%	40.5%	9.7%	6.7%	6.8%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	66.2%	20.1%	8.2%	2.2%	0.0%	3.3%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	52.6%	25.7%	7.8%	4.0%	8.7%	1.2%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	54.2%	22.0%	11.6%	10.0%	2.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	49.6%	31.7%	9.6%	5.0%	4.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	64.2%	14.1%	9.4%	6.8%	3.6%	1.8%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	56.8%	25.2%	8.1%	4.6%	4.8%	0.5%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	57.2%	16.0%	12.9%	9.2%	1.3%	3.5%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	42.7%	36.6%	6.5%	7.6%	6.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	61.8%	14.2%	11.7%	7.3%	2.3%	2.6%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	63.3%	20.8%	13.1%	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	88.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	8.6%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	70.0%	18.7%	5.4%	2.3%	3.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	60.5%	15.2%	14.0%	3.9%	3.5%	2.9%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	46.2%	32.5%	6.8%	10.4%	4.1%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	56.3%	23.2%	7.9%	7.8%	2.6%	2.2%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	58.4%	21.1%	12.8%	0.9%	6.8%	0.0%	100.0%

Table Q56

		Q56 Raise Kansas minimum wage with context of neighboring states raising theirs						
		Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neutral	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Don't know	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	61.2%	15.8%	14.9%	1.9%	4.2%	2.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	61.8%	16.6%	6.0%	5.2%	7.5%	2.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	65.8%	18.6%	6.6%	2.6%	6.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	53.2%	31.5%	12.5%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	65.2%	21.2%	10.3%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	68.8%	16.6%	8.2%	1.1%	4.7%	0.6%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	50.7%	23.1%	12.5%	6.3%	6.1%	1.3%	100.0%
Gender	Male	53.6%	26.9%	11.6%	2.9%	5.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Female	67.5%	15.6%	8.3%	2.2%	4.5%	1.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	59.5%	22.6%	9.1%	2.7%	5.4%	0.8%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	67.3%	11.0%	17.5%	1.8%	1.2%	1.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	39.9%	35.4%	10.9%	6.0%	6.7%	1.2%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	68.8%	12.9%	12.0%	0.7%	5.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	84.2%	11.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%
	Don't Know	72.2%	3.5%	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	70.7%	9.3%	10.7%	0.0%	8.7%	0.6%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	65.3%	19.1%	12.8%	0.9%	0.8%	1.1%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	47.3%	34.3%	4.9%	7.3%	6.1%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	63.3%	18.7%	11.1%	1.5%	4.6%	0.9%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	54.7%	25.4%	8.5%	5.0%	5.0%	1.4%	100.0%

Table Q57

		Q57_1 I use generative AI (creates new content) in my daily personal life	Q57_2 I use non-generative AI (analyzes, classifies, optimizes) in my daily personal life	Q57_3 I use generative AI (creates new content) for tasks at work	Q57_4 I use non-generative AI (analyzes, classifies, optimizes) for tasks at work	Q57_5 I am not sure if I use AI of any type	Q57_6 I do not use any artificial intelligence technologies in any part of my life
		I use generative AI (creates new content) in my daily personal life	I use non-generative AI (analyzes, classifies, optimizes) in my daily personal life	I use generative AI (creates new content) for tasks at work	I use non-generative AI (analyzes, classifies, optimizes) for tasks at work	I am not sure if I use AI of any type	I do not use any artificial intelligence technologies in any part of my life
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	45.6%	41.2%	39.7%	39.8%	22.6%	20.3%
	35-44 Years Old	23.4%	16.4%	29.7%	21.5%	19.3%	15.5%
	45-64 Years Old	25.0%	29.3%	28.4%	34.0%	22.8%	28.3%
	65 Years and Older	6.0%	13.1%	2.3%	4.6%	35.3%	36.0%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equivalent or Some HS	22.7%	20.6%	12.2%	20.1%	34.6%	41.3%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	24.5%	28.0%	27.2%	25.6%	34.8%	34.2%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	52.7%	51.4%	60.7%	54.4%	30.6%	24.5%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gender	Male	65.9%	61.8%	65.6%	58.1%	32.3%	38.3%
	Female	34.1%	38.2%	34.4%	41.9%	67.7%	61.7%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	71.9%	76.3%	73.3%	73.6%	79.6%	79.9%
	Do not identify as white	28.1%	23.7%	26.7%	26.4%	20.4%	20.1%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PoOrient	Identify Republican	35.8%	39.6%	44.3%	30.5%	45.1%	39.0%
	Identify Independent	46.8%	38.1%	42.0%	49.7%	34.6%	43.8%
	Identify Democrat	17.4%	22.4%	13.6%	19.8%	20.3%	17.2%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	23.6%	14.1%	12.2%	9.3%	28.5%	41.6%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	27.5%	33.6%	32.6%	33.9%	48.2%	38.6%
	\$75,000 or more	48.8%	52.3%	55.2%	56.8%	23.4%	19.7%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	78.2%	68.2%	82.7%	82.0%	73.4%	64.5%
	Non-Metro	21.8%	31.8%	17.3%	18.0%	26.6%	35.5%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table Q58_1

		Q58_1 Education beyond high school offers a - Good return on investment for the student						
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	30.4%	32.6%	17.8%	9.9%	3.4%	5.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	41.1%	24.8%	14.9%	11.1%	7.2%	0.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	28.7%	32.2%	17.2%	13.1%	7.4%	1.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	22.7%	45.4%	19.2%	6.9%	4.8%	1.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	24.5%	29.3%	22.2%	13.0%	6.7%	4.4%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	25.6%	36.9%	16.9%	12.3%	6.0%	2.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	38.1%	36.6%	13.7%	6.6%	4.4%	0.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	34.0%	37.8%	13.4%	7.3%	6.7%	0.8%	100.0%
	Female	26.6%	31.7%	20.5%	12.8%	4.7%	3.7%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	32.0%	33.4%	16.0%	9.4%	6.8%	2.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	21.4%	37.6%	23.4%	14.2%	1.4%	2.1%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	30.2%	40.1%	14.7%	8.3%	5.3%	1.4%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	27.3%	29.2%	21.4%	12.4%	6.7%	3.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	31.2%	40.0%	14.6%	7.1%	5.4%	1.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	40.2%	12.5%	17.8%	19.8%	0.0%	9.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	22.1%	30.4%	21.0%	12.8%	8.8%	4.9%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	26.8%	39.3%	13.7%	12.4%	6.1%	1.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	40.0%	33.7%	18.2%	6.2%	1.8%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	29.8%	36.2%	17.1%	8.4%	5.9%	2.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	29.8%	29.2%	18.3%	15.3%	4.9%	2.5%	100.0%

Table Q58_2

Q58_2 Education beyond high school offers a - Good return on investment for the general population of KS

		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	27.5%	34.9%	21.0%	4.6%	6.2%	5.9%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	38.9%	28.0%	18.5%	7.3%	6.3%	0.9%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	27.7%	29.4%	23.5%	12.7%	5.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	21.0%	48.6%	20.3%	4.3%	3.9%	2.0%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	21.7%	27.3%	28.5%	8.3%	9.0%	5.1%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	22.7%	39.3%	21.2%	8.3%	6.1%	2.3%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	37.8%	39.3%	14.7%	6.0%	1.7%	0.6%	100.0%
Gender	Male	27.7%	42.5%	18.5%	5.1%	5.4%	0.8%	100.0%
	Female	28.7%	29.3%	23.1%	9.1%	5.5%	4.1%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	29.5%	35.2%	19.8%	7.3%	5.8%	2.4%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	22.2%	35.7%	26.8%	8.0%	3.9%	3.3%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	25.9%	41.2%	20.2%	5.6%	5.1%	2.0%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	26.7%	30.7%	23.8%	10.2%	5.5%	3.1%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	31.5%	39.8%	15.9%	3.8%	7.4%	1.6%	100.0%
	Don't Know	37.8%	15.0%	25.7%	11.7%	0.0%	9.7%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	21.4%	27.9%	27.7%	8.4%	8.8%	5.7%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	26.6%	39.5%	16.9%	8.7%	6.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	35.5%	38.4%	19.9%	5.5%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	29.3%	36.1%	21.0%	6.4%	4.6%	2.6%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	24.5%	33.5%	21.5%	9.9%	7.2%	3.3%	100.0%

Table Q59

		Q59 The main goal of higher education is an educated citizenry for democratic functioning or it is to develop a workforce					
		Creating an educated citizenry is much more important	Creating an educated citizenry is slightly more important	Developing a workforce is slightly more important	Developing a workforce is much more important	DON'T KNOW	Total
AgeCategory	18-34 Years Old	25.0%	39.1%	17.6%	10.4%	8.0%	100.0%
	35-44 Years Old	37.5%	28.7%	12.3%	9.7%	11.8%	100.0%
	45-64 Years Old	28.3%	21.1%	20.9%	17.9%	11.8%	100.0%
	65 Years and Older	21.7%	24.4%	28.5%	17.2%	8.2%	100.0%
Education	High School Diploma/Equiv or Some HS	19.5%	29.3%	23.0%	13.3%	15.0%	100.0%
	Associates/Technical Degree or Some College	24.6%	23.0%	22.2%	19.4%	10.9%	100.0%
	Bachelors Degree or Higher	36.3%	33.0%	16.3%	10.6%	3.9%	100.0%
Gender	Male	33.2%	30.4%	19.2%	12.2%	5.0%	100.0%
	Female	21.8%	26.5%	21.5%	16.3%	13.9%	100.0%
WhiteorNot	Identify as white	26.4%	28.2%	21.1%	14.2%	10.1%	100.0%
	Do not identify as white	30.7%	29.7%	17.9%	13.8%	7.9%	100.0%
PolOrient	Identify Republican	21.0%	25.4%	19.6%	22.8%	11.1%	100.0%
	Identify Independent	23.0%	31.6%	24.4%	10.9%	10.2%	100.0%
	Identify Democrat	49.3%	24.0%	16.5%	7.4%	2.7%	100.0%
	Don't Know	26.5%	41.1%	8.8%	2.1%	21.5%	100.0%
HouseholdIncome	Less than \$35,000	31.4%	21.0%	16.0%	17.5%	14.0%	100.0%
	\$35,000-\$74,999	23.5%	30.6%	23.5%	12.8%	9.5%	100.0%
	\$75,000 or more	27.9%	32.6%	21.3%	13.4%	4.7%	100.0%
MetroLevel	Metro	30.2%	29.1%	20.1%	11.9%	8.7%	100.0%
	Non-Metro	20.0%	27.0%	20.8%	19.6%	12.6%	100.0%