Written Communication Outcomes 1.1A.1, 1.1A.2; and Critical Thinking Outcome 1.5.3 Course: CRJ 499

## **Objectives:**

Students will effectively develop, express, and exchange ideas in the English language, . . . in writing . . . , with clarity and coherence.

Students will recognize, analyze, criticize, evaluate, and formulate arguments in ways characterized by intellectual courage and reflective self-criticism.

By graduation students will:	Not Proficient 1 Point	Developing Proficiency 2 Points	Proficient 3 Points	Exceeding Proficiency 4 Points
Write a <i>persuasive essay</i> that includes the following: a clear and debatable thesis, fully developed and supported ideas, clear organizational structure, effective consideration of opposing arguments, use of credible sources, appropriate documentation of sources, consideration of a target audience, and conventional grammar and mechanics.	Essay lacks a clear and debatable thesis, or it fails to construct a persuasive and insightful problem statement, perhaps because the ideas are not fully developed and supported or because it overwhelmingly fails to conform to conventional grammar and mechanics.	Essay somewhat constructs a persuasive problem statement, but fails to adequately consider evidence of the most relevant contextual factors, or lacks credible and appropriately documented sources, or fails to address opposing arguments, or has too many grammatical/mechanical errors.	Essay effectively constructs a persuasive problem statement, supporting a clear thesis with credible and appropriately documented sources and thoroughly addresses opposing arguments referencing relevant contextual factors.	Essay masterfully identifies a persuasive problem statement, demonstrating exceptional skill and finesse in connecting related contextual factors.
Produce a <i>discipline-specific document</i> judged proficient according to a criminal justice department-approved rubric in the student's major.	The essay proposes a solution/hypothesis that is difficult to evaluate because it is vague or indirectly addresses the problem statement, or includes little analysis and mostly just summarizes the text.	The essay superficially proposes one solution/hypothesis, perhaps with an inappropriate or undeveloped theoretical approach, that appears "off the shelf" rather than designed to address specific contextual factors.	The essay applies one or more solutions/hypotheses that indicates analysis, sensitive to contextual factors as well as following ethical, logical, and cultural dimensions of the problem.	The essay applies one or more advanced solutions/hypotheses that indicates deep analysis, demonstrating comprehension of the problem, while remaining sensitive to contextual factors as well as following ethical, logical, and cultural dimensions of the problem.
Produce a written document on a difficult question involving the disciplinary content of the student's major that subjects the student's reasoning to sustained, intelligent	The essay's evaluation of solutions is superficial and adds little to the analysis of the problem. The essay fails to compare solutions given the	The essay's evaluation of solutions is brief and includes only some consideration of the history of problem, while providing some review of logic and reasoning, but still fails to sustain intelligent criticism by	The essay's evaluation of solutions is adequate and includes consideration for the history of the problem, and mostly reviews logic and reasoning when examining feasibility of	The essay's evaluation of solutions is critical yet elegant, and includes, deep and thorough consideration for the history of the problem, review of logic and reasoning, examination of feasibility of solutions, and

criticism according to the	history of the problem	weighing the impact of each	each solution. The essay	weighs impacts of each solution.
standards of that discipline.	by weighing the impact	solution.	provides an argument for	The essay provides a convincing
	of the solution through		the value of one solution	argument for the value of one
	logic and reasoning.		over all others.	solution over all others.

## **Assignment meeting all Outcomes:**

Semester Writing Project – Policy Proposal: Students will submit a policy proposal paper that includes the literature review, incorporating changes as identified in the previously graded assignment, and discussion about the proposed policy. The discussion will demonstrate a clear connection between the literature and the need for the proposed policy. The completed paper will be at least 5000 words of content, in standard 12 pt. Times New Roman font, - inch margins, and completed in APA format. Students will use 10 – 15 sources, including scholarly articles, books, reports, and chapters from the text book. An outline is provided below to help students organize the final paper. Online sources will not be allowed, except for those retrieved from Forsyth Library's online databases or from Google Scholar.

## **Outline – Literature Review & Policy Proposal**

#### I. Introduction

- A. Attention Getter (write this out) could be a startling statistic/fact, an anecdote, quote, rhetorical question
- B. Explanation of attention getter (write out)
- C. Thesis statement (write this out)

#### II. Section 1 – Literature Review

- A. Topic Sentence Define problem (write out)
  - 1. Describe
  - 2. Examples
  - 3. Who affected
- B. Topic Sentence Cause/history of the problem (write out)
  - 1. Where/how it originated
  - 2. Causes
- C. Topic Sentence Seriousness/Consequences (write out)
  - 1. Negative affects
  - 2. How serious
  - 3. Consequences
- D. Topic Sentence Cause/history of the problem (write out)
  - 1. Where/how it originated

- 2. Causes
- E. Topic Sentence Seriousness/Consequences (write out)
  - 1. Negative affects
  - 2. How serious
  - 3. Consequences
- F. Topic Sentence Cause/history of the problem (write out)
  - 1. Where/how it originated
  - 2. Causes
- G. Topic Sentence Seriousness/Consequences (write out)
  - 1. Negative affects
  - 2. How serious
  - 3. Consequences
- H. Topic Sentence Cause/history of the problem (write out)
  - 1. Where/how it originated
  - 2. Causes
- I. Topic Sentence Seriousness/Consequences (write out)
  - 1. Negative affects
  - 2. How serious
  - 3. Consequences

Transition sentence to lead into the solution (write out)

## III. Section 2 – Policy Proposal (Final Paper)

- J. Topic Sentence Solution #1 (write out)
  - 1. Good point
  - 2. Negative
  - 3. Rebuttal
- K. Topic Sentence Overview of Solution (Write out)
  - 1. Step 1
  - 2. Step 2
  - 3. Step 3
- L. Topic Sentence Solution #2 (write out)
  - 1. Good point
  - 2. Negative
  - 3. Rebuttal
- M. Topic Sentence Overview of Solution (Write out)
  - 1. Step 1
  - 2. Step 2

- 3. Step 3
- N. Topic Sentence Solution #3 (write out)
  - 1. Good point
  - 2. Negative
  - 3. Rebuttal
- O. Topic Sentence Overview of Solution (Write out)
  - 1. Step 1
  - 2. Step 2
  - 3. Step 3
- P. Sustained Criticism Conclusion of "best fit" policy
  - 1. Summarize policies (strengths and weaknesses)
  - 2. Identify the best solution for the problem
  - 3. Convince the reader of the best solution

### **IV. Conclusion**

- A. Summary statement (write out)
- B. Relate to the world today or future (write out)
- C. Call to action/final thought (write out)

# **Policy Proposal – Grading Rubric**

	20	17	14	10
Define Problem	Demonstrates the	Demonstrates the	Begins to	Demonstrates a
	ability to construct a	ability to construct a	demonstrate the	limited ability in
	clear and insightful	problem statement	ability to construct a	identifying a
	problem statement	with evidence of	problem statement	problem statement
	with evidence of all	most relevant	with evidence of	or related
	relevant contextual	contextual factors	most relevant	contextual factors.
	factors.	and problem	contextual factors,	
		statement is	but problem	
		adequately detailed.	statement is	
			superficial.	
Propose	Proposes one or more	Proposes one or	Proposes one	Proposes a
Solutions/Hypotheses	solutions/hypotheses	more	solution/hypothesis	solution/hypothesis
	that indicates a deep	solutions/hypotheses	that is "off the	that is difficult to
	comprehension of the	that indicates	shelf" rather than	evaluate because it
	problem.	comprehension of	individually	is vague or only
	Solution/hypotheses	the problem.	designed to address	indirectly
	are sensitive to	Solution/hypotheses	the specific	addresses the
	contextual factors as	are sensitive to	contextual factors of	problem statement.
	well as all of the	contextual factors as	the problem.	

	1	T		, ,
	following: ethical,	well as one of the		
	logical, and cultural	following: ethical,		
	dimensions of the	logical, and cultural		
	problem.	dimensions of the		
		problem.		
<b>Evaluate Potential</b>	Evaluation of	Evaluation of	Evaluation of	Evaluation of
Solutions	solutions is deep and	solutions is adequate	solutions is brief	solutions is
	elegant (for example,	(for example,	(for example,	superficial (for
	contains thorough and	contains thorough	explanation lacks	example, contains
	insightful	explanation) and	depth) and includes	cursory, surface
	explanation) and	includes the	the following:	level explanation)
	includes, deeply and	following: considers	considers history of	and includes the
	thoroughly, all of the	history of problem,	problem, reviews	following:
	following: considers	reviews	logic/reasoning,	considers history
	history of problem,	logic/reasoning,	examines feasibility	of problem,
	reviews	examines feasibility	of solution, and	reviews
			*	
	logic/reasoning,	of solution, and	weighs impacts of	logic/reasoning,
	examines feasibility	weighs impacts of	individual solutions.	examines
	of solution, and	each solution and		feasibility of
	provides a convincing	provides an		solution, and fails
	argument for the	argument for the		to weighs the
	value of one solution	value of one		impacts of each
	over all others.	solution over all		solution and final
		others.		best fit.
Implications	Insightfully discusses	Discusses relevant	Presents relevant	Presents
	in detail relevant and	and supported	and supported	implications, but
	supported	implications.	implications.	they are possibly
	implications.			irrelevant and
				unsupported.
Mechanics	No grammatical,	Minimal	Consistent	Significant
	punctuation, spelling,	grammatical,	grammatical,	grammatical,
	and APA errors.	punctuation,	punctuation,	punctuation,
		spelling, and APA	spelling, and APA	spelling, and APA
		errors.	errors.	errors.